

A STEP-BY-STEP GUIDE TO SUCCESSFUL DATA ANALYSIS

H

Second Edition

FOR SPSS VERSION 18.0

RONALD D. YOCKEY

SPSS DEMYSTIFIED

A Step-by-Step Guide to Successful Data Analysis

For SPSS Version 18.0

Second Edition

Ronald D. Yockey California State University, Fresno



First published 2011, 2008 by Pearson Education, Inc.

Published 2016 by Routledge 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN 711 Third Avenue, New York, NY, 10017, USA

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

Copyright © 2011, 2008 Taylor & Francis. All rights reserved.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Notice:

Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

ISBN 13: 978-0-205-73582-2 (pbk)

Cover Designer/Administrator: Joel Gendron

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Yockey, Ronald D.

SPSS demystified : a step-by-step guide to successful data analysis / Ronald D. Yockey.—2nd ed. p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-205-73582-2 (alk. paper)

1. SPSS for Windows. 2. Social sciences—Statistical methods—Computer programs.

3. Social sciences-Statistical methods-Data processing. I. Title.

HA32.Y63 2011

300.285'55-dc22

2010043221

For JMJ, my wife Michele, and my children, Christian, Samuel, Timothy, William, Stephen, and Catherine. You are the joy of my life and the inspiration for writing this book! This page has been left blank intentionally

Contents

PREFACE	ix
UNIT I INTRODUCTION TO SPSS, DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS, GRAPHICAL DISPLAYS OF DATA, AND RELIABILITY USING COEFFICIENT ALPHA	1
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION TO SPSS	2
Starting SPSS	2
The Data Editor Window	3
Creating Data Files in SPSS	6
Data Entry and Analysis	8
Viewer (Output) Window	15
Saving Files	16
Printing Files	18
Exercises	20
CHAPTER 2 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS: FREQUENCIES, MEASURES OF CENTRAL TENDENCY,	
AND MEASURES OF VARIABILITY	22
Frequencies	24
Measures of Central Tendency and Variability	26
Analysis of Groups Using the Means Procedure	26
Summary of Steps to Conduct the Frequencies and Means Procedures	34
Exercises	34
CHAPTER 3 GRAPHICAL PROCEDURES	37
Bar Charts	38
Histograms	39
Scatterplots	40
Boxplots	42
Summary of Steps for Producing Bar Charts,	
Histograms, Scatterplots, and Boxplots in SPSS	46
Exercises	47

CHAPTER 4 RELIABILITY (AS MEASURED BY COEFFICIENT ALPHA)	Δ
Example	5
Objective and Data Requirements of Coefficient Alpha	5
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	5
Expression of the Results	5
Summary of Steps for Conducting a Reliability Analysis in SPSS	5
Exercises	5
UNIT II INFERENTIAL STATISTICS	5
CHAPTER 5 THE ONE-SAMPLE t TEST	6
Example	6
Objective and Data Requirements of the One-Sample t Test	6
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	6
Research Question	6
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	6
Effect Sizes	6
Expression of the Results in APA Format	6
Assumptions of the One-Sample <i>t</i> Test	6
Summary of Steps for Conducting a One-Sample t Test in SPSS	6
Exercises	6
CHAPTER 6 THE INDEPENDENT-SAMPLES	
t TEST	7
Example	7
Objective and Data Requirements of the Independent-Samples t Test	7
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	7
Research Question	7
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	7
Effect Sizes	7
Expression of the Results in APA Format	7
Assumptions of the Independent-Samples t Test	7
Summary of Steps for Conducting an Independent-Samples	
t Test in SPSS	7
Exercises	8
CHAPTER 7 THE DEPENDENT-SAMPLES t TEST	8
Example	8
Objective and Data Requirements of the Dependent-Samples t Test	8
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	8
Research Question	8
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	8
Effect Sizes	8

Expression of the Results in APA Format	87
Assumptions of the Dependent-Samples t Test	87
Summary of Steps for Conducting a Dependent Samples	
t Test in SPSS	88
Exercises	88
CHAPTER 8 THE ONE-WAY BETWEEN SUBJECTS	
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)	91
Example	91
Objective and Data Requirements of the One-Way	
between Subjects ANOVA	91
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	91
Research Question	92
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	92
Effect Sizes	99
Expression of the Results in APA Format	100
Assumptions of the One-Way between Subjects ANOVA	100
Summary of Steps for Conducting a One-Way	
between Subjects ANOVA in SPSS	100
Exercises	101
CHAPTER 9 THE TWO-WAY BETWEEN SUBJECTS	
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)	104
Example	104
Objectives and Data Requirements of the Two-Way	
between Subjects ANOVA	105
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	105
Research Questions	106
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	107
Effect Sizes	117
Expression of the Results in APA Format	118
Assumptions of the Two-Way between Subjects ANOVA	118
Summary of Steps for Conducting a Two-Way Between	
Subjects ANOVA in SPSS	119
Exercises	120
CHAPTER 10 THE ONE-WAY WITHIN SUBJECTS	
ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)	123
Example	123
Objectives and Data Requirements of the One-Way	
within Subjects ANOVA	123
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	123
Research Question	124
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	124
Effect Sizes	134

Expression of the results in Arra of office	135
Assumptions of the One-Way within Subjects ANOVA	136
Summary of Steps for Conducting a One-Way	
Within Subjects ANOVA in SPSS	136
Exercises	137
CHAPTER 11 THE ONE-BETWEEN–ONE-WITHIN SUBJECTS ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)	139
Example	139
Objectives and Data Requirements of the One-Between–One-Within ANOVA	139
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	140
Research Questions	140
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	141
Effect Sizes	153
Expression of the Results in APA Format	154
Assumptions of the One-Between–One-Within Subjects ANOVA	154
Summary of Steps for Conducting a One-Between–One-Within	
ANOVA in SPSS	155
Exercises	156
CHAPTER 12 THE PEARSON r CORRELATION	
COEFFICIENT	158
Example	158
Objective and Data Requirements of the Pearson r Correlation	
Coefficient	158
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	158
Research Question	159
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	159
Effort Sizes	
Effect Sizes	162
Expression of the Results in APA Format	162 163
Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient	162 163 163
Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson	162 163 163
Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS	162 163 163
Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS Exercises	162 163 163 163 164
Effect Sizes Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS Exercises CHAPTER 13 SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION	 162 163 163 164 166
Effect Sizes Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS Exercises CHAPTER 13 SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION Example	 162 163 163 163 164 166
Effect Sizes Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS Exercises CHAPTER 13 SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION Example Objective and Data Requirements of Simple Regression	162 163 163 163 164 166 166
Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS Exercises CHAPTER 13 SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION Example Objective and Data Requirements of Simple Regression Null and Alternative Hypotheses	162 163 163 163 164 166 166 166
Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS Exercises CHAPTER 13 SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION Example Objective and Data Requirements of Simple Regression Null and Alternative Hypotheses Research Question	162 163 163 163 164 166 166 166 166
 Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS Exercises CHAPTER 13 SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION Example Objective and Data Requirements of Simple Regression Null and Alternative Hypotheses Research Question Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS 	162 163 163 163 164 166 166 166 166 166
 Expression of the Results in APA Format Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS Exercises CHAPTER 13 SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION Example Objective and Data Requirements of Simple Regression Null and Alternative Hypotheses Research Question Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS Effect Sizes 	162 163 163 163 164 166 166 166 166 167 167 173

Assumptions in Simple Regression	174
Summary of Steps for Conducting a Simple Linear	
Regression Analysis in SPSS	174
Exercises	175
CHAPTER 14 MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION	177
Example	177
Objective and Data Requirements of Multiple Regression	177
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	177
Research Questions	179
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	179
Effect Sizes	186
Expression of the Results in APA Format	186
Assumptions in Multiple Regression	187
Summary of Steps for Conducting a Multiple Regression	
Analysis in SPSS	187
Exercises	188
CHAPTER 15 THE CHI-SQUARE GOODNESS OF FIT TEST	191
Example	191
Objective and Data Requirements of the Chi-Square	
Goodness of Fit Test	191
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	191
Research Question	192
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	194
Expression of the Results in APA Format	199
Assumptions of the Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test	200
Summary of Steps for Conducting a Chi-Square Goodness	
of Fit Test in SPSS	200
Exercises	201
CHAPTER 16 THE CHI-SQUARE TEST OF INDEPENDENCE	203
Example	203
Objective and Data Requirements of the Chi-Square	• • •
Test of Independence	204
Null and Alternative Hypotheses	204
Research Question	204
Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS	204
Effect Sizes	212
Expression of the Results in APA Format	212
Assumptions of the Chi–Square Test of Independence	212
Summary of Steps for Conducting a Chi-Square Test of	
Independence in SPSS	213
Exercises	214

APPENDIX A	DATA TRANSFORMATIONS AND OTHER	
	PROCEDURES	216
The Recode P	Procedure	216
The Compute	Procedure	220
The Select Ca	ises Procedure	223
The Split File	Procedure	227
Turning Off th	he Split File Procedure	229
APPENDIX B	IMPORTING FILES INTO SPSS	231
APPENDIX C	SOLUTIONS TO CHAPTER EXERCISES	236
NOTES		258
REFERENCES		264
INDEX		265

Preface

W ithout question, statistics is one of the most dreaded courses for students in the social and behavioral sciences. Enrolling in their first statistics course, students are often apprehensive, fearful, or extremely anxious toward the subject matter. And while SPSS is one of the more easy-to-use statistical software programs available, for anxious students who realize they not only have to learn statistics, but also new software, the task can seem insurmountable.

Keenly aware of students' anxiety with statistics (and the fact that this anxiety can affect performance), I've incorporated a number of features into the text to both alleviate anxiety toward the subject matter and build a successful experience analyzing data in SPSS. Several of these features are described below.

Features of the Text

First and foremost, the book is designed to be hands-on, with the user performing the analyses alongside on their computer as they read through each chapter. To help the reader stay on-track a step-by-step approach is used, beginning with creating the variables in SPSS and ending with writing the results in the format of the American Psychological Association. Screen shots of each step in SPSS are included, and call-out boxes are used to highlight important information in the results. These features are designed to create a user-friendly and successful experience in SPSS, thereby reducing anxiety toward the subject matter. Each of these features (and others included in the book) are detailed in Table 1 on page xii.

In addition to the features described in Table 1, exercises are included at the end of each chapter, with the solutions to the exercises provided in Appendix C. Students are encouraged to work through the exercises to gain the experience required to become more proficient in statistics and with SPSS.

Coverage and Organization of the Text

The text is designed for students in introductory statistics and research methods courses, as well as for those in intermediate statistics and graduate courses in quantitative methods. Procedures in the text that are often covered in introductory statistics or research methods courses include descriptive statistics, *t* tests, one-way between ANOVA, one-way within ANOVA, two-way between ANOVA, chi-square, correlation, and regression. For intermediate statistics and graduate courses, more in-depth coverage of the two-way between subjects ANOVA is presented in Chapter 9, and chapters on multiple regression, one-between–one-within ANOVA, and reliability are also included. As individual classes will differ in their coverage of the material, each chapter provides stand-alone coverage of a given procedure so that instructors can choose the chapters that best meet their course objectives and their students' needs.

Regarding the organization of the text, the book is divided into two sections. The first section introduces the SPSS software program (Chapter 1), covers descriptive statistics (Chapter 2), discusses how to use SPSS to produce a variety of graphs (Chapter 3), and concludes with a chapter on estimating the internal consistency reliability of a scale using coefficient alpha (Chapter 4). The second section covers inferential statistics, including: *t* tests (Chapters 5–7), analysis of variance procedures (Chapters 8–11), correlation (Chapter 12), simple and multiple regression

Feature	Description		
Four-step process of data analysis	In each chapter, ^a the process of data analysis is divided into four easy-to-follow steps, including		
	Step 1: Create the variables. Variables are created, including entering value labels as necessary.		
	Step 2: Enter the data. The correct structuring of the data file is illustrated.		
	Step 3: Analyze the data. How to run the correct analysis using the pull-down menus in SPSS is illustrated.		
	Step 4: Interpret the results. Each table of output is discussed, one table at a time, with sample write-up of the results in APA format provided for Chapters 4–16.		
Screen shots	Several screen shots are included in each chapter, helping the reader stay on-track as they progress through each chapter.		
Call-out boxes	Call-out boxes are used to highlight important information and to alert the reader to areas of potential confusion in SPSS (e.g., what to enter in the <i>Test Value</i> box for the one-sample t test).		
Research question and null and alternative hypotheses	A research question and the null and alternative hypotheses are presented for each procedure to help better connect the data to the research question and hypotheses of interest (applies to Chapters 5–16).		
Effect sizes	How to calculate, report, and interpret effect sizes is presented. (Reporting of effect sizes is recommended by the APA and is required by several journals for manuscript submission; applies to Chapters 5–14 and 16.)		
Assumptions	The assumptions of each inferential procedure are provided, along with the impact of violating the assumption on the accuracy of the procedure, helping the reader to determine whether their data meet the requirements for a statistical procedure of interest (applies to Chapters 5–16).		

Table 1Features of the Text

^aChapter 3 does not include Steps 1 and 2.

(Chapters 13–14), and chi-square procedures (Chapters 15–16). Also, data transformations and importing Excel files into SPSS are covered in Appendices A and B, respectively.

For those who are new to SPSS and have chosen this text for help with a specific procedure, after reading the introductory chapter you should be able to turn to the chapter of interest and follow the instructions to correctly enter and analyze your data.

Formatting Used in the Book

As far as the formatting of the text is concerned, variables and important terminology are presented in lowercase **boldface** type. When referring to specific windows, dialog boxes, or options to select within a dialog box, *italics* are used. Italics will also be used for information to be entered into SPSS (with the exception of variables).

IBM SPSS/PASW Version 18 (and Previous Versions)

While this edition of the text is designed for use with version 18 of the SPSS software program (also referred to as PASW Statistics), those using versions 17 and below shouldn't experience difficulty following the instructions to analyze their data successfully. For the procedures we'll be covering

in the text, version 18 is very similar to both versions 17 and 16, with the primary difference being that version 18 incorporates slightly different graphics. Versions 16 through 18 differ from earlier versions of the software in that the buttons of the dialog boxes are transposed (this change coincided with SPSS moving to a Java-based program beginning with version 16). For example, in version 18, the *OK* button is on the *left-hand* side of the dialog box, while for versions 15 and below the *OK* button is on the *right-hand* side of the dialog box. If you're using SPSS version 15 or earlier, once you're aware that the buttons are reversed it shouldn't cause any difficulty. One additional difference worth noting involves the output (Viewer) files created in SPSS. Output files saved in versions 15 and below cannot be opened in later versions of SPSS unless a free legacy viewer from SPSS.com is downloaded.

Acknowledgments

I would like to offer my appreciation to a number of people for their help in the development of this text. First and foremost, I would like to thank all my students over the years whose many questions and feedback have provided me valuable information in learning "what works" in teaching both statistics and SPSS. Thanks to Jeff Marshall, Executive Editor with Prentice Hall, for his enthusiasm and continued support with this project. Thanks also to Jessica Mosher, acquisitions editor for Prentice Hall, who helped make the first edition of this text a reality. I am also appreciative of the helpful comments and suggestions of those who reviewed the first two editions of the text, including Linda Refsland, Iona College; Thom Dunn, University of Northern Colorado; Carolyn Springer, Adelphi University; Timothy Franz, St. John Fisher College; Joshua Priddy, University of Houston; Dan Swift, University of Michigan-Dearborn; Eve Brank, University of Florida; Arnie Cann, UNC Charlotte; Rafael Klorman, University of Rochester; Paul Westmeyer, University of Texas at San Antonio; Brian Stults, University of Florida; John Sparrow, University of New Hampshire at Manchester; Jianjian Qin, California State University; Robert Griffore, Michigan State University; Raymond Liedka, Oakland University; and Katie Hartman.

While I've strived to write a book that "demystifies" the process of analyzing data in SPSS, ultimately you're the judge of whether I've succeeded in this endeavor. I sincerely hope that this book helps you to successfully analyze and understand your data. Please feel free to contact me (ryockey@csufresno.edu) to provide any feedback you might have about the text (please put *SPSS Demystified* in the subject line of your message).

Now that you know about the approach we'll be taking in the text as well as the topics we'll be covering, it's time to get started. The best way that I know how to do that is to dive in!

Ronald D. Yockey

This page has been left blank intentionally

Introduction to SPSS, Descriptive Statistics, Graphical Displays of Data, and Reliability Using Coefficient Alpha

In this first unit, Chapter 1 introduces the SPSS software program, including creating variables, entering and analyzing data, saving files, and printing your results. In Chapter 2, using SPSS to calculate a number of different descriptive statistics is illustrated, including frequencies, measures of central tendency, and measures of variability. Chapter 3 illustrates how to produce a number of different graphs in SPSS, including a bar chart, histogram, scatterplot, and a boxplot. Finally, Chapter 4 illustrates how to use SPSS to estimate the internal consistency reliability of a scale using coefficient alpha.

Introduction to SPSS

In this chapter, the SPSS software program is introduced, including starting SPSS, creating variables, entering data, performing a basic analysis using the pull-down menus, saving files, and printing your results. Let's begin by starting SPSS.

Starting SPSS

To start SPSS, first you'll need to find where it's located on your computer. Depending on the configuration of your computer, SPSS should be located in one or more of the following places:

- 1. As an icon¹ () on your desktop (the desktop is the main area of workspace on your computer screen).
- 2. Within the *Programs* menu.
- 3. On the Quick Launch Toolbar (the Quick Launch Toolbar is located to the right of the *Start* button at the bottom of your computer screen).

Starting SPSS from each of these locations is described next. (As you read the instructions below, choose only *one* of the following methods to open SPSS, so that multiple copies of the program are not open on your computer.)

Starting SPSS from the icon on your screen

- 1. Locate the SPSS icon (2) on your desktop.
- 2. Double-click² the SPSS icon. SPSS should open shortly thereafter.

Starting SPSS from the programs menu

- 1. Click the *Start* button (d) Start).
- 2. Click *Programs* (or *All Programs*).
- 3. Select SPSS Inc. (or SPSS for Windows).
- 4. Select *PASW Statistics 18.0.* (*Note:* Consider the title "PASW" synonymous with "SPSS." If you are using a different version than 18, you may see the name "SPSS" instead; in either case, it's "SPSS" software that is being used.) See Figure 1.1 for details.

Starting SPSS from the Quick Launch Toolbar

1. Depending on the configuration of your computer, you may have an SPSS icon () to the right of the *Start* button. If you see an SPSS icon located to the right of the *Start* button on your computer, you can single-click it to start the SPSS program. (In Figure 1.1, SPSS is the second icon to the right of the *Start* button.)

If you haven't done so already, open SPSS using one of the methods described above.



Figure 1.1 Opening SPSS from the *Programs* menu. (*Note*: While your screen will not be identical to the one above, SPSS should be located within the *Programs* menu on your computer.)

Once SPSS is opened, a dialog box^3 may appear with the phrase "What would you like to do?" near the top of its window (see Figure 1.2 on page 4). This dialog box is designed to assist you in getting started in SPSS. Since we will not be using this dialog box in this book (we'll learn how to navigate through SPSS on our own), if you see it on your computer screen, click the *Cancel* button to close it.

The Data Editor Window

When SPSS opens, the *Data Editor* window is presented on the screen (the *Data Editor* window is shown in Figure 1.3 on page 4). The *Data Editor* window is used to create variables and enter data in SPSS. At the very top of the window the SPSS filename is displayed (the name *Untitled* indicates that the file hasn't been given a name yet). Located below the filename is the menu bar, which contains several different menu options (*File, Edit, View*, etc.) that are used to complete a variety of tasks in SPSS (such as saving files, printing results, etc.). Directly below the menu bar are a number of toolbar buttons that provide quick access to several different options in SPSS. The main area of the *Data Editor* window consists of a number of white rectangular objects (known as cells) which are used for entering data.

The *Data Editor* consists of two different windows, the *Data View* window and the *Variable View* window. Each of these windows may be accessed by clicking on the appropriate tab at the bottom left-hand corner of the screen. The tab that has the gold-colored background indicates which of the two windows is currently open (notice in Figure 1.3 that the *Data View* window is currently open). If the *Data View* window is not currently open on your computer, click the *Data View* tab to open it.

We'll discuss the Data View and Variable View windows next.

4 Unit I / Introduction to SPSS, Descriptive Statistics, Graphical Displays of Data, and Reliability Using Coefficient Alpha

III PASW Statistics 18	\mathbf{X}
PASW Statistics	
What would you like to do?	
© Open an existing data source	◎ Run the tutorial
	© <u>T</u> ype in data
O Open another type of file	◎ <u>R</u> un an existing query
More Files	Create new guery using Database Wizard
Don't show this dialog in the future	OK Cancel





Figure 1.3 The Data Editor window in SPSS (with the Data View tab selected).

The Data View Window

The main area of the *Data View* window shown in Figure 1.4 consists of a number of cells, which are used to enter **data** (data usually consists of numbers but can also be letters or symbols).⁴ The rows of the *Data View* window are numbered (with rows 1 to 28 shown in Figure 1.4), and the columns all initially have the name *var*. The first cell (the cell in the upper left-hand corner) has a gold-colored background, indicating that it is **active** or ready to receive input.

🏢 *Untitled1 [DataSet0] - PASW Statistics Data	Editor			- 🗆 ×
File Edit View Data Transform Analyze Grap	hs Utilities Add-ons Window Help			
				ABS
			V	sible: 0 of 0 Variables
var var var	var var v	ar var	var var	var
				<u>*</u>
2				
3				
	All variables are named			
5 I he active cell;	var by default (until data			
6 It is ready to	are entered of their			
7 Teceive input.	the user)			
8				
9				
10				
	<i>lia</i>			
In the Data	h row io			
15 for a differen				
	it person.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
The Data View tab is sel	ected,			
25 indicating that the Data	View			
26 window is currently oper	1.			
27				
28				
Data View Variable View				
		PASW Stat	istics Processor is ready	

Figure 1.4 The Data View window in SPSS.

Data View window—The window in SPSS that is used for entering data.

The Variable View Window

The Variable View window is used for creating variables in SPSS and adding information to a data file. The Variable View window is accessed by clicking the Variable View tab at the bottom of the screen. Since the Data View window is currently open, we'll need to click on the Variable View tab to open the Variable View window.

1. Open the *Variable View* window by clicking on the *Variable View* tab. The *Variable View* window is presented in Figure 1.5 (page 6).



Figure 1.5 The Variable View window in SPSS.

As was the case with the *Data View* window, each of the rows of the *Variable View* window is numbered, and the main area of the window consists of a number of cells. Unlike the *Data View* window, however, each of the columns in the *Variable View* window has a different name and function. The different columns of the *Variable View* window are described in Figure 1.6.

Of the *Variable View* window column attributes, we will use the *Name* and *Values* options in this text (the default values for the other columns will be used).

Variable View window—The window in SPSS that is used for creating variables and adding information to a data file.

With the key features of the Data Editor introduced, let's create a data file in SPSS.

Remember: In the *Data Editor*, the *Variable View* window is for creating *variables* and the *Data View* window is for entering data.

Creating Data Files in SPSS

An SPSS **data file** is a computer file that contains information (data) on one or more variables. A **variable** is an attribute or characteristic that takes on two or more values.

Data file—A computer file that contains information on one or more variables. Variable—An attribute or characteristic that takes on two or more values.

To create a data file in SPSS, we'll use the data set shown in Figure 1.7. Figure 1.7 contains data for five people on the variables **gender**, **age**, **employment**, and **iq** (variables will be shown in boldface type throughout the text). Notice that each row in Figure 1.7 contains the values for a

Name of column	Function
Name	<i>Name</i> is used for naming a variable. In SPSS versions 11.5 and earlier, variable names could be no more than 8 characters in length (a character is a letter, number, or symbol). In SPSS version 12.0 and above, variable names can be up to 256 characters in length. Each variable must begin with a letter, and no two variables can have the same name. No spaces are allowed in variable names.
Туре	<i>Type</i> indicates the type of data that are stored in a variable. A number of different types exist including, numeric, comma, dot, scientific notation, etc. In this text, we will be working with numeric data.
Width	<i>Width</i> indicates the number of characters that are displayed in the <i>Data View</i> window. The default ⁵ is 8.
Decimals	<i>Decimals</i> indicates the number of decimals that are displayed in the <i>Data View</i> window. The default is 2.
Label	<i>Label</i> is used for describing a variable. Up to 256 characters are allowed. The information entered in the <i>Label</i> column will appear in the output.
Values	<i>Values</i> is used for coding categorical variables (categorical variables are discussed in the next section). This feature will be used throughout this text.
Missing	<i>Missing</i> indicates the values that are read as missing data (missing data means that certain values are not available or are "missing" for a variable). The default value for missing data is a period, "."
Columns	<i>Columns</i> indicates the width of the columns that are displayed in the <i>Data View</i> window. The default width is 8 characters.
Align	<i>Align</i> positions the data either to the left, to the right, or in the center of the cells in the <i>Data View</i> window. The default alignment is to the right.
Measure	<i>Measure</i> describes the measurement level of the variable. The available options are nominal, ordinal, or scale. The default is scale.
Role	<i>Role</i> is a new feature in SPSS 18 that allows for preclassifying variables for use in certain dialog boxes. Role categories include <i>Input</i> , <i>Target</i> , <i>Both</i> , <i>None</i> , <i>Partition</i> , and <i>Split</i> . The default value for Role is <i>Input</i> , which will be used throughout the text.

Figure 1.6 The name and function of the different columns of the Variable View window.

Person	Gender	Age	Employment (Employment status)	IQ (IQ score)
Person 1	Male	23	Employed	115
Person 2	Male	19	Not employed	90
Person 3	Female	32	Employed	120
Person 4	Female	28	Not employed	90
Person 5	Male	18	Employed	116

Figure 1.7 The sample data set to be entered into SPSS. (*Note*: The *Person* column is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

different person on the variables of interest. In the first row, for example, the values for the first person are displayed: Person 1 is male, 23 years old, employed, and has an IQ score of 115. The values for the remaining four people are presented in rows 2–5.

Notice in Figure 1.7 that the variables **age** and **iq** are in numeric form (i.e., they have numbers for values), while **gender** and **employment** are in character form (i.e., they have words for values). An important point to remember (for the vast majority of the procedures covered in this text) is that *to perform analyses on a variable in SPSS, it must be in numeric form*. Therefore, before entering the data into SPSS, we'll need to change the variables that are currently in character form (**gender** and **employment**) to numeric form. This process is illustrated next.

Assigning Numbers to the Categories of Gender and Employment

We'll begin by assigning numbers to the different categories of **gender**. As far as the rules for assigning numbers are concerned, any number can be assigned to the different categories of a variable as long as each category is assigned a different number (you may recognize this as an example of a nominal scale of measurement). To illustrate this process, we'll assign males a 1 and females a 2. Therefore, for every male in the data set we'll enter a 1, and for every female we'll enter a 2.

For **employment**, we'll assign employed a 1 and not employed a 2.

The data set (with the numeric values entered for the variables **gender** and **employment**) is presented in Figure 1.8.

	Gender	Age	Employment (Employment status)	IQ (IQ score)
Person 1	1	23	1	115
Person 2	1	19	2	90
Person 3	2	32	1	120
Person 4	2	28	2	90
Person 5	1	18	1	116

Figure 1.8 The revised data with numeric values entered for gender and employment. (Note: For gender, 1 = "male", 2 = "female"; for employment, 1 = "employed",

2 = "not employed".)

Variables such as **gender** and **employment** are known as categorical variables. **Categorical variables** take on a limited number of values; categorical variables that take on only two values (such as **gender** and **employment**) are known as **dichotomous variables**. The variables **age** and **iq** are **continuous variables**. Continuous variables take on a large number of different values.

Categorical variable—A variable that has a limited number of values. Dichotomous variable—A categorical variable that has only two values. Continuous variable—A variable that has a large number of different values.

Data Entry and Analysis

With all the variables now in numeric form, we can enter and analyze the data in SPSS. Throughout this text, the process of data entry and analysis will be divided into the following four steps: (1) create the variables, (2) enter the data, (3) analyze the data, and (4) interpret the results. In this chapter, each of these steps will be illustrated using the sample data set provided in Figure 1.8.

Step 1: Create the Variables

We'll start by creating the variables **gender**, **age**, **employment**,⁶ and **iq**. To create variables in SPSS, follow the instructions below.

Creating variables in SPSS

- 1. Make sure the *Variable View* window is open. If it isn't open, click the *Variable View* tab at the bottom left-hand corner of the screen.
- 2. The first cell in the upper left-hand corner of the *Variable View* window should be active. If the first cell is not active, click on it.
- 3. In the first row of the *Variable View* window, enter the name **gender** and press the *Enter* key. Notice that all the cells to the right are automatically filled in with the default values (the default value for *Label* is an empty cell).

- 4. In row 2, enter the name **age** and press the *Enter* key.
- 5. In row 3, enter the name **employment** and press the *Enter* key.
- 6. In row 4, enter the name **iq** and press the *Enter* key. The four variables are now created in SPSS. See Figure 1.9 for details.

36			2 B	1	ata 📓		4≥ Ⅲ	1		85	
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	gender	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	& Scale	> Input
2	age	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	A Scale	> Input
3	employment	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	Scale 8	> Input
4	iq	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	Scale	> Input
5	1										
	4										



Value Labels

Recall that we assigned numbers (i.e., 1, 2) to the different categories of **gender** and **employment** because they needed to be changed to numeric form to be analyzed in SPSS. You may also recall that the numbers we assigned were arbitrary (any two numbers could have been chosen), as they served only to differentiate between the categories of the variable. To help us keep track of our numeric assignments more easily, we'll enter the categories that the different numbers represent into SPSS (e.g., that 1 is for males and 2 is for females), a process known as creating value labels. We'll create value labels for the categorical variables **gender** and **employment**.

Let's start by creating value labels for gender.

Creating value labels for gender

- 1. Make sure the *Variable View* window is open. In the first row of the *Variable View* window (the row for **gender**), click the cell in the *Values* column (where the word *None* is displayed). After clicking on the cell labeled *None*, an ellipsis () should appear in the right-hand corner of the cell.⁷
- 3. The Value Labels dialog box opens (see Figure 1.11 on page 10).

	View Data Ir	anstorm Ana	lyze <u>G</u> raphs	Littles Add	I-ons <u>V</u> undow				AB	s		_
	Nome	Tung	Miliatta		Lohal	Valua			Alian	Maacura	Pala	_
1	gender	Numeric	8	2	Longi	None	None	8	∃ Right	A Scale	N Input	-
2	age	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	i≣ Right	@ Scale	> Input	
3	employment	Numeric	8	2	/	None	None	8	≡ Right	Scale	> Input	
4	iq	Numeric	8	2		None	Note	8	i≣ Right	# Scale	> Input	
5				Tocr	eate valu	6				1		
6				label	labels for gender Then click the ellipsis							
7				firet	s ior gene							
8				IIISt C		;						
9				vaiue	es cell,							
10				label	ed <i>None</i> .							
11												
12												
13												
14												
14 15	_											

Figure 1.10 Selecting the Values cell for gender.

📰 Value Labels	X
Value Labels	Spelling
Remove OK Car	ncel Help

Figure 1.11 The *Value Labels* dialog box.

4. First, we'll code into SPSS that males were assigned a 1. Enter a *l* to the right of *Value* and *male* to the right of *Label*. See Figure 1.12 for details.

📰 Value Labels		×
Value Labels	The number assigned to the category is entered in the <i>Value</i> box.	
		Spelling
Label: male	The name of the category is entered in the <i>Label</i> box.	
Add		
Remove	After the <i>Value</i> and <i>Label</i> information have been entered, click the <i>Add</i> button.	
	OK Cancel Help	

Figure 1.12 The Value Labels dialog box (continued).

- 5. Click *Add*. To the right of the *Add* button, 1.00 = "male" should now be displayed. See Figure 1.13 for details.
- 6. Next we'll code into SPSS that females were assigned a 2. Enter a 2 to the right of *Value* and *female* to the right of *Label*.
- 7. Click *Add*. The box to the right of the *Add* button should now display 1.00 = "male" and 2.00 = "female." See Figure 1.14 for details.
- 8. Click OK.

With the value labels for **gender** entered, next we'll enter the value labels for **employment**. Recall that those who were employed were assigned a 1 and those who were not employed were assigned a 2.

Creating value labels for employment

- 1. In row 3 of the *Variable View* window (the row for **employment**), click the cell in the *Values* column.
- 2. Click the ellipsis button (....).
- 3. The *Value Labels* dialog box opens. Enter a *1* to the right of *Value* and *employed* to the right of *Label*.

🏭 Value Labels	×
Value Labels Value: [Spelling
OK Cancel Help	J

Figure 1.13 The Value Labels dialog box (continued).

🛿 Value La	bels	
_Value Label: Val <u>u</u> e:	3	Spelling
Label:	1 00 = "male"	
<u>A</u> dd Chang	2.00 = "female"	
Remov	e	
	OK Cancel Help	

Figure 1.14 The Value Labels dialog box (continued).

- 4. Click *Add*. In the box to the right of the *Add* button, 1 = "employed" is displayed.
- 5. Enter a 2 to the right of *Value* and *not employed* to the right of *Label*.
- 6. Click *Add*. In the box to the right of the *Add* button, both 1.0 = "*employed*" and 2.0 = "*not employed*" are displayed.
- 7. Click OK.

With the value labels created for gender and employment, next we'll enter the data into SPSS.

Step 2: Enter the Data

To enter the data into SPSS

1. Click the *Data View* tab to open the *Data View* window (see Figure 1.15 on page 12).

In the *Data View* window, notice that the first four columns are named **gender**, **age**, **employment**, and **iq**, which correspond to the four variables we created earlier in SPSS. Recall that in the *Data View* window each *row* corresponds to a different *person* in the data set, so that when the data are



Figure 1.15 SPSS *Data View* window with the variables gender, age, employment, and iq in the first four columns.

entered, row 1 will contain the values for the first person, row 2 will contain the values for the second person, and so on.

- 2. Consulting Figure 1.8 (the data), we'll enter the values for each person on the four variables of interest. To enter the values for the first participant, click the first cell in row 1 of the *Data View* window. Enter the values *1*, *23*, *1*, and *115* for the variables **gender**, **age**, **employment**, and **iq**, respectively. (An efficient method of data entry is to press the right-arrow key (→) on the keyboard after entering each value for a variable. For example, for the first person you would enter a *1* for **gender** and then press the right-arrow key, enter a *23* for **age** followed by the right-arrow key, and so on.)
- 3. To enter the values for the second participant, click the first cell in the second row of the *Data View* window. Enter the values *1*, *19*, *2*, and *90*, for the variables **gender**, **age**, **employment**, and **iq**, respectively.
- 4. Enter the data for the remaining three participants. The completed data file is shown in Figure 1.16.

Now that the data are entered, we'll perform a basic analysis in SPSS.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

Throughout this text, we'll be using the pull-down menus in SPSS to perform statistical analyses of our data. For our first analysis, we'll start with a basic procedure in SPSS—producing a summary report of each of our variables using the *Case Summaries* procedure.

To perform the Case Summaries procedure in SPSS

 From the menu bar, select Analyze > Reports > Case Summaries . . . (see Figure 1.17). (When the menu commands are provided, the sign ">" indicates the next selection to make. In this case, "Analyze > Reports > Case Summaries" reads, "Select Analyze, then select Reports, then select Case Summaries.")

🏢 *Untitle	d1 [DataSet0] - P	ASW Statis	tics Data Edito	r						- 0	×
File Edit	view <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ran	sform <u>A</u> nal	yze <u>G</u> raphs	Litilities Add-on	s <u>W</u> indow	Help					
		5		4	離醫					ABS	
									Visib	le: 4 of 4 Varia	ables
	gender	age	employment	iq	var	var	var	var	var	var	
1	1.00	23.00	1.00	115.00							4
2	1.00	19.00	2.00	90.00							
3	2.00	32.00	1.00	120.00							100
4	2.00	28.00	2.00	90.00							
5	1.00	18.00	1.00	116.00							-
	4)	
Data View	Variable View										
Data view	Y GIRLING TICYF										
							PASVV Stati	stics Processor	is ready		

Figure 1.16 The completed data file in SPSS.

III *Untitle File Edit y	d1 [DataSet0] - P. view <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> rans	ASW Statistics Data Editor	Add- <u>o</u> ns	<u>Window H</u>	jelp			- 🗆 ×
		Reports	*	Codebook				ABC
		Descriptive Statistics	1	OLAP Cubes	i			
	1	Tables	12	Case Summa	ries		Visible: 4 of 4	4 Variables
	gender	age Compare Means		Report Sumr	naries in Rows	var	var	Va
1	1.00	2 Generalized Linear Models		Report Summ	naries in Columns			-
2	1.00	1 Wived Medele						
3	2.00	3 milled models						
4	2.00	Barrassian					-	_
5	1.00							
6]	Classify						
7		Dimension Reduction						
8		Scale						
9		Nonnarametric Tests						
10		Eprecasting						
11		Survival						
12		Multiple Response						
13		I Missing Value Analysis						
14	1	Multiple Imputation						
15		Complex Samples						
16	1	Quality Control						
17	1	ROC Curve						
18								-
	4							1
Data View	Variable View							
Case Summari	es				PASWS	tatistics Processor is re	ady	

Figure 1.17 Menu commands for the Case Summaries procedure in SPSS.

A Summarize Cases dialog box appears (see Figure 1.18 on page 14).

The Summarize Cases dialog box shown in Figure 1.18 is representative of many of the dialog boxes you will encounter in SPSS. First, notice that when the dialog box opens, the variables **gender**, **age**, **employment**, and **iq** are located on the left-hand side. To the right of the variables are two right-arrow buttons ()) which are used for moving the variables on the left to the boxes on the right to be analyzed. There are also a number of buttons (*Statistics, Options, OK, Paste, Reset*, etc.) which perform different operations in SPSS, and check boxes which allow certain options to be turned on or off. The boxes that are checked when the dialog box opens are known as the default settings.

Let's perform the *Case Summaries* procedure on each of the variables by moving them to the *Variables* box.

🔡 Summarize Cases	×	
gender age employment iq In SPSS,when a dialog box first opens, the variables are located on the left-hand side. Display cases Limit cases to first Display cases Limit cases to first Show only valid cases Show case numbers OK Paste	Variables: Statistics Options Grouping Variable(s): The variables can be moved to these boxes to be analyzed. Check boxes allow options to be enforced (if they are checked) or not enforced (if they are not checked) Reset Cancel Help	Buttons allow for selecting various options or running program commands.

Figure 1.18 The Summarize Cases dialog box.

- To move the variables to the *Variables* box, select gender and press and hold down the *shift* key. With the *shift* key continued to be held down, select the last variable iq. All four variables should be selected. Click the upper-right arrow button (→) to move the four variables to the *Variables* box.⁸ See Figure 1.19 for details.
- 3. Click OK.

SPSS opens a new window containing the output—called the *Viewer* window—that presents the results of the *Case Summaries* procedure. We'll discuss the output next.

	🏥 Summarize Cases	×
	Variables:	Statistics
Clicking <i>OK</i> runs a given procedure in SPSS (in this case, the <i>Case</i> <i>Summaries</i> procedure).	Display cases Display cases Limit cases to first Show only valid cases Show case numbers OK Paste Reset Cancel	

Figure 1.19 The Summarize Cases dialog box (continued).



Figure 1.20 The SPSS Viewer window with the results of the Case Summaries procedure shown.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the Case Summaries procedure is presented in Figure 1.20.

Viewer (Output) Window

In SPSS, the Viewer window is divided into two different sections, with the left side of the window containing an *outline* of the requested analyses, and the right side of the window displaying the results of the analyses. We'll focus our attention throughout this text on the results shown in the right side of the Viewer window which, in this case, consist of the Case Processing Summary and Case Summaries tables. These tables will be discussed next.

The Case Processing Summary and Case Summaries tables are displayed in Figure 1.21.

Summarize Case Processing Summary ^a Case Processing Summary ^a Case Processing Summary ^a Included Cases Included Excluded Total gender 5 100.0% 0 .0% 5 100.0% age 5 100.0% 0 .0% 5 100.0% employment 5 100.0% 0 .0% 5 100.0% iq 5 100.0% 0 .0% 5 100.0%													
			Cas	ses									
	Includ	bed	Exclu	uded			То	tal					
	N	Percent	Ν	Percent		Ν		Percent					
gender	5	100.0%	0		.0%	5		100.0%					
age	5	100.0%	0		.0%		5	100.0%					
employment	5	100.0%	0		.0%		5	100.0%					
iq	5	100.0%	0		.0%		5	100.0%					
	c	ase Summa	ries ^a				(mal emp geno (inst	e/female, em loyed) are dis der and empl ead of 1 and of the benefit	ployed/not splayed for loyment 2), which is s of creating				
	gender	age	employr	nent		iq	value	e labels in SF	SS.				
1	male	23.00	employed	*	1	15.00							
2	male	19.00	not emplo	yed		90.00							
3	female	32.00	employed		1	20.00							
4	female	28.00	not emplo	yed		90.00							
5	male	18.00	employed		1	16.00							
Total N	5	5		5		5							

a. Limited to first 100 cases.

Figure 1.21 The output of the Case Summaries procedure in SPSS.

Summarize

At the top of the output is the title, *Summarize*, which indicates the procedure we selected in SPSS (the *Case Summaries* procedure).

Case Processing Summary

The first table of results, *Case Processing Summary*, displays the number of participants (i.e., cases) in the data file for each of the variables. The first column, *Included*, reports an *N* of 5 for each of the variables (*N* corresponds to the number of participants or cases in the data file), indicating that five people were included in the analysis for each variable. The *Excluded* column reports an *N* of 0 for each variable, indicating that none of the participants were *excluded* from the analyses (everyone had values on all four variables). The last column, *Total*, displays the total number of participants in the data set, which is equal to 5.

Case Summaries

The second table, *Case Summaries*, displays the values for each of the participants on the four variables of interest. Notice that the value labels we created for **gender** and **employment** are displayed in the table instead of the numeric values (i.e., 1 and 2) we originally entered into SPSS, which makes it easier to read the results.

This concludes the discussion of the *Case Summaries* procedure in SPSS. Next we'll discuss how to save SPSS files.

Saving Files

In SPSS, output files and data files are saved separately using different file extensions. File extensions commonly consist of three or four letters at the end of a file name and are preceded by a period (e.g., ".*docx*," ".*html*," and ".*mp3*"). In SPSS, the file extension for data files is ".*sav*" and the file extension for output files is ".*spv*."

We'll practice saving both data files and output files in SPSS. Let's start by saving an output file. To save the output file, first make sure the *Viewer* (output) window is active. (If the *Viewer* window is active, you should see it on your screen with a dark blue bar at the top of its window.) If the *Viewer* window is not active, click on it.

To save the output file

- 1. With the *Viewer* window active, select **File > Save As** . . . (see Figure 1.22).
- 2. The Save Output As dialog box opens (see Figure 1.23).

Toward the bottom of the *Save Output As* dialog box are the *File name* and *Save as type* boxes (see Figure 1.23). In the *File name* box, the default name *Output1* appears, and in the *Save as type* box, *Viewer Files* (*.*spv*) is shown, confirming that we're saving the results of the *Viewer* window (the output). Notice that *Output1* is selected, indicating that the file name is in edit mode and will be replaced by what is entered on the keyboard.

Let's name the file Introduction output.

3. Type the name *Introduction output*. (You should now see *Introduction output* in the *File name* text box.)

Next, we'll select a location for the file to be saved. Let's save the file to the desktop. To save the file to the desktop,

- 4. Click the list arrow () to the right of the *Look in* box (located near the top of the dialog box). A list of folders and/or drives should appear.
- 5. Select *Desktop* (see Figure 1.24). (*Note:* If you prefer to save the file elsewhere, select the location of your choice.)

🎬 *Output1 (Docume	nt1] - PASW	/ Statist	ics View	er										- 🗆 ×
<u>File</u> <u>E</u> dit ⊻iew <u>D</u> ata	Transform	ļnsert	Format	Analyze	Graphs	Utilities	Add-ons	Windo	w <u>H</u> elp					
<u>N</u> ew Open		r F	K				L =	Q	••	Ş				
Open Database		•												_
Close	Ctrl+F4		mma	rize										1
Save	Ctrl+S													
Save As					Cas	e Proce	ssing Sum	тагу ^а						
Export							Cas	ses	14]		
Display Data File Inform	nation	•	Included				Excluded			Total]		
Stop Processor	Ctrl+Per	iod		N	Per	rcent	Ν	Perce	nt N		Percent			
💮 Switch Server			der	100	5 10	0.0%	0	.0	1%	5	100.0%			
Page Attributes Page Setup			oloymen	E .	5 10 5 10 5 10	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0 0 0	.0 .0 .0	1% 1% 1%	5 5 5	100.0% 100.0% 100.0%			
Print Preview Print Recently Used Data	Ctrl+P		i. Limited	d to first 100) cases.							-		
Recently Used Files			-		Case S	ummari	esª			2				
Exit			<u> </u>	gend	ler	age	employn	nent	iq 445.00					
1		2		male male		23.00 19.00	not employe	d oyed	115.00 90.00					-
Save As	ا رکلی							PA	SW Statistic:	s Proces	ssor is read	ly 🗌	H: 22, V	/: 1161 pt.

Figure 1.22 Menu commands for saving a file.

.ook in: 🔁 PASWS	tatistics18			for the <i>Look in</i>
ext s extensions s JRE te lang v Looks	cripts yntax_xml emplate /C8	The <i>File name</i> box is where the name of the file being saved is entered. It is not necessary to type the extension'. <i>spv</i> ' when entering		box. The location in which to save the file is selected here.
Samples	/t1 /	the file name.	Save Paste	The Save as type box indicates the type of file that is being saved. (In this case, a View

Figure 1.23 The Save Output As dialog box.

a Save Outp	out As				2
Look in: 🛅 P	ASW/Statistics18	*	ii: E		
ext exter JRE lang Look Reac Sam	esktop My Documents My Computer Local Disk (C:) Program Files SPSSInc PASWStatistics18 Local Disk (D:)	4			
File name:	Introduction output			Save	0.00
Save as type:	Viewer Files (*.spv)		-	Paste	
	Lock file to prevent editing in Sm	artreader		Cancel	

Figure 1.24 The Save Output As dialog box (continued).

6. Click *Save*. You should see the name *Introduction output.spv* (with or without the file extension) in the upper left-hand corner of the *Viewer* window, indicating that the file has been saved.

Saving a Data File

To save the data file, first we'll need to make the Data Editor window active.

To make the Data Editor window active

1. From the menu bar select **Window > Untitled-PASW Statistics Data Editor** (see Figure 1.25).

📰 Introduction output.spv (Docum	ent1] - PASW Sta	atistics Vie	wer					- 0		
File Edit View Data Transform	nsert Format <u>A</u> r	alyze <u>G</u> ra	phs Utilities	Add-ons	Window	Help				
				4 -	Minim	ze Al Windo	ws			
		· 20			D1 Un	tled1 (DataSe	et0] - PASW Sta	atistics Data Editor		
					₹ 2 intro	duction outpr	ut.spv (Documer	nt1] - PASW Statistics Viewer		
		빈티 단금		L	-					
ia ⊡ Gutput ia - ∰ Summarize	Summariz	e								
Title										
+ Case Processing	Case Processing Summary ^a									
Case Summaries			17	Case	96	12]		
_		Included		Exclud	led		Total			
		N.	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	-		
	gender	5	100.0%	0	.0%	1	5 100.0%			
	age	5	100.0%	0	.0%		100.0%			
	employment	5	100.0%	0	.0%		100.0%			
	a Limited to	Greet 100 era	100.0%	0	.0 %		100.0%	1		
1	a. Littited to	mist roo ca	565.							
		Ca	eo Summari	620						
		nender	ene	employm	ant	in				
	1	male	23.00	employed		15.00				
	2	male	19.00	notemplo	ved	90.00				
	3	female	32.00	employed		20.00				
	4	female	28.00	notemplo	yed	90.00				
	5	male	18.00	employed		16.00				
	Total N	5	5	~ ~	5	5				
4 N	a. Limited to	first 100 ca	ses.							
1 "Untitled1 [DataSet0] - PASYV Statistics Data	a Editor							PASW Statistics Processor is ready H: 22, W. 767		

Figure 1.25 Menu commands for making the Data Editor window active.

To save the data file

- 1. With the *Data Editor* window active, select **File > Save As**... (see Figure 1.26).
- 2. The Save Data As dialog box opens.
- 3. In the File name box, type the name Introduction data.
- 4. Click on the list arrow () to the right of the *Look in* box to select the location where you would like the file to be saved.
- 5. Click *Save*. You should see the name *Introduction data.sav* (with or without the file extension) in the upper left-hand corner of the *Data Editor* window, indicating that the file has been saved.

Printing Files

Next, how to print an output file in SPSS will be illustrated. To print the output, we'll first need to make the *Viewer* window active.

To make the Viewer window active

1. From the menu bar, select Window > Introduction output.*spv*-PASW Statistics Viewer.

*Untitled1 [DataSet0] -	PASW Statistic	s Data Edit	ог							>
<u>File Edit View Data Tra</u>	nsform <u>A</u> nalyz	e <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add-o	ns <u>W</u> ind	dow <u>H</u> elp	i.				
New Open	4 4			11			4			ABC
Open Database	•								Visible: 4 of	4 Variable:
💽 Read Text Data		nployment	iq	var		var	var	var	var	Va
Close	Ctrl+F4	1.00	115.00							4
E Save	Ctrl+S	2.00	90.00							
Save As		1.00	120.00							
Save All Data		2.00	90.00							
Kark File Read Only		1.00	116.00							
Geneme Dataset Display Data File Information Cache Data Stop Processor Switch Server	► Ctrl+Period									
🔍 Print Preview	Ctrl+P									
Recently Used Data Recently Used Files	F F									4
E⊻it										
Save As		-				PA	SVV Statistics	Processor is rea	idy	

Figure 1.26 The Save As command from the File menu.

To print the output

1. With the *Viewer* window active, select **File > Print** . . . (see Figure 1.27).

Introduction output.sp	ov (Docum	ent1]	- PASW St	atistics Vie	ver ten tenne	Add opp	100mminuor	Note	_				:
New Open Open Database	ansionn	+ + +							; 1				
Cose	Ctrl+F4		mmariz	ze									-
Save	Ctrl+S												
Save As					Case Proce	ssing Summ	arya						
Export						Case	s	10]			
Display Data File Information	n		1 1	Inclu	ded	Exclud	led		Total]			
Stop Processor	Ctrl+Period			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent				
😨 Switch Server			der	5	100.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%				
Page Attributes				5	100.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%				
Page Setup			ployment	5	100.0%	0	.0%		5 100.0%				
C. Print Preview			Limited to	5 first 100 eq.	100.0%	U	.0%	5	100.0%				
Print.	Ctrl+P		I. LITTILEU IL	o mat roo ca	565.								
Recently Used Data		+		Ca	se Summari	esa							
Recently Used Elles		•	<u> </u>	gender	age	employme	ent	iq					
Exit				male	23.00	employed	1	15.00					
		2		male	19.00	notemploy	yed	90.00					
		3		female	32.00	employed	1	20.00					
		4		female	28.00	not employ	yed	90.00					
		5		male	18.00	employed	1	16.00					
		Tot	al N	5	5		5	5					
1	F	1	a. Limited to	o first 100 ca:	ses.								
Yint										PASVV S	tatistics Pro	cessor is read	y I

Figure 1.27 Menu commands for printing the output in SPSS.

- 2. The *Print* dialog box opens (see Figure 1.28 on page 20 for details). (The *Print* dialog box shown on your screen may look different from the one presented in Figure 1.28.)
- 3. Click OK.

Assuming you have access to a printer, the *Case Processing Summary* and *Case Summaries* tables should print (along with the title *Summarize*). You can also print a single table by selecting

Printer:	Min32 Printer : \\galton\Fisher H	HP Color LaserJet 5550 PCL 6 🔻
Print Ra	nge	
<u> </u>	isible output	
© Sele	cted output	
Number	of copies: 1	
<u>C</u> ollat	e	

Figure 1.28 The Print dialog box in SPSS.

it (i.e., clicking on it with the mouse) and then selecting *Print* from the *File* menu. (The data file can also be printed by making the *Data Editor* window active (with the *Data View* tab selected) and selecting *Print* from the *File* menu.)

This concludes the introduction to SPSS.

Exercises

1. Shown in Figure 1.29 are data on seven nursing home residents, including their age, gender, well-being (measured on a 1 to 10 scale with higher scores indicating greater levels of well-being), and the number of activities they engage in each week.

Person	Age	Gender	Well– being	Number of Activities
1	86	Male	4	2
2	72	Female	7	6
3	59	Female	6	5
4	86	Female	8	7
5	92	Female	4	1
6	68	Male	2	3
7	73	Male	8	5

Figure 1.29 The data for the nursing home residents. (*Note*: The person variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer each of the questions below. Name the variables **age**, **gender**, **wellbeing**, and **activities**, respectively.

- a. Create value labels for **gender**, assigning males a Value of *1* and the Label *male*, and females a Value of 2 and the Label *female*.
- b. Save the data file to a location of your choice. Name the file *Nursing home data*.
- c. Run the Case Summaries procedure on the data and print your results.
- d. In the *Case Summaries* table, are the numeric values (i.e., 1 and 2) or the value labels (i.e., male and female) output in SPSS? Why?
- 2. A researcher wanted to compare two different types of therapy on children's selfesteem and anger management skills. The therapies investigated were nondirective play therapy (i.e., child directs the play) and directive play therapy (e.g., therapist leads the child through structured play activities). A total of 12 children were

included in the study with 6 children (3 boys and 3 girls) receiving each type of therapy. After six weeks of therapy, the childrens' self-esteem (measured on a 10 to 50 scale) and anger management skills (measured on a 5 to 25 scale) were assessed. The data are presented in the file *Chapter 1_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 1 folder on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **therapy, gender, selfesteem**, and **angermanage**). Open the file and perform the appropriate analyses in SPSS to answer the questions below.

- a. Create value labels for therapy and gender. For therapy,
 - 1 = "nondirective" and 2 = "directive." For gender, 1 = "male" and 2 = "female."
- b. Run the *Case Summaries* procedure on the data and print your results.
- 3. The data file *Chapter 1_Exercise 3.sav* in the Chapter 1 folder on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 contains the values for 10 students on the fol-lowing three variables: gender, number of classes enrolled in (numberclasses), and the number of hours worked per week (hoursworked). Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. Create value labels for gender. For gender, 1 = "male" and 2 = "female."
 - b. Run the *Case Summaries* procedure on the data and print your results.
2

Descriptive Statistics: Frequencies, Measures of Central Tendency, and Measures of Variability

In this chapter, we'll use SPSS to calculate a number of descriptive statistics, including frequencies, measures of central tendency, and measures of variability. Each of these statistical measures is discussed below.

Frequencies refer to the number of observations in each category of a variable. If, for example, for a variable **gender** there were four males and six females in the data set, the frequency reported in SPSS for males would be 4 and for females would be 6. Frequencies are typically obtained on categorical variables.

Measures of central tendency are used to describe the center (or central location) of a set of scores and consist of the mean, median, and the mode. The **mean** is the arithmetic average (the sum of the scores divided by the total number of scores), the **median** is the middle score (assuming the scores were ordered from lowest to highest), and the **mode** is the most frequently occurring score (or scores) in the data set.

Measures of variability are used to describe the amount of spread or variability in a set of scores. The **standard deviation** and **variance** are two of the most commonly used measures of variability. The standard deviation is a measure of how far, on average, scores vary from the mean, and the variance is equal to the standard deviation squared. Other examples of measures of variability include the range (the difference between the highest and the lowest scores) and the interquartile range (the difference between the scores at the 25th and 75th percentiles of a distribution).

How to calculate frequencies, measures of central tendency, and measures of variability in SPSS will be illustrated using the sample data set presented in Figure 2.1.

Participant	Gender	Mathexam	College	Satquant
1	1	24	1	570
2	1	18	2	450
3	2	34	1	600
4	2	27	2	450
5	1	15	1	580
6	1	26	1	550
7	1	42	2	480
8	2	25	1	520
9	2	31	1	450
10	2	44	2	550

Figure 2.1 The sample data set. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

The Data

For each of the participants in Figure 2.1, their **gender**, score on a math entrance exam (**mathexam**), whether they were attending college at home or away (**college**), and their score on the math portion

of the SAT (satquant) were recorded. Gender and college are categorical variables, and mathexam and satquant are continuous variables, with higher scores indicating better performance on both measures (the **mathexam** scores range from 0 to 50 and **satquant** scores range from 200 to 800). For gender, males are assigned a "1" and females are assigned a "2"; for college, those attending college at home are assigned a "1" and those attending college away are assigned a "2."

With an overview of the data set provided, next we'll enter the data into SPSS.

Step 1: Create the Variables

Steps 1 and 2 below describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name descriptive statistics.sav in the Chapter 2 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3 below. 1. Start SPSS.

- - 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, four variables will be created. The variables will be named gender, mathexam, college, and satquant, respectively.

3. Using the process described in Chapter 1, enter the variable names gender, mathexam, college, and satquant, respectively, in the first four rows of the Variable View window (see Figure 2.2).

📰 *Untit	led1 [DataSet0]	- PASW Statis	tics Data Edi	tor									×
<u>File</u> Edit		<u>[</u> ransform <u>A</u> nal	yze <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Ad	ld- <u>o</u> ns <u>W</u> indow	Help							
					1 #1 📕				06	ABG			
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align		Measure	Role	
1	gender	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	· ■ Right	A	Scale	🔪 Input	*
2	mathexam	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	া Right	A	Scale	🔪 Input	
3	college	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	> ■ Right		Scale	🔪 Input	
4	satquant	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	■ Right	A	Scale	🔪 Input	
5													*
	4												1
Data View	Variable View												
								F	ASW Statistics F	Process	or is ready		

Figure 2.2 The Variable View window in SPSS with the variables gender, mathexam, college, and satguant entered.

Prior to entering the data, we'll create value labels in SPSS for the categorical variables gender and college.

4. Using the process described in Chapter 1, create value labels for **gender** and college. For gender, 1 = "male" and 2 = "female." For college, 1 = "home" and 2 = "away."

Step 2: Enter the Data

Next, we'll enter the data into SPSS.

To enter the data

- 1. Click on the Data View tab. The variables gender, mathexam, college, and satquant appear in the first four columns of the *Data View* window.
- 2. Consulting Figure 2.1, enter the scores for each of the participants on the four variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the scores 1, 24, 1, and 570, for the variables gender, mathexam, college, and satquant, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 10 participants. The completed data set is shown in Figure 2.3 (page 24).

III *Untitler	i1 [DataSet0] - Ierry Data Tr	PASW Statisti	cs Data Edito	r Itilities Add.o	ne Mindow	Help				- 🗆 ×
) IC 1		╘┓	#1 1		- S			<u>∧</u>
	, í	10		1		10	1	1	Visible: 4	of 4 Variable:
	gender	mathexam	college	satquant	var	Var	var	var	var	Var
1	1.00	24.00	1.00	570.00						4
2	1.00	18.00	2.00	450.00						
3	2.00	34.00	1.00	600.00						
4	2.00	27.00	2.00	450.00						
5	1.00	15.00	1.00	580.00						
6	1.00	26.00	1.00	550.00						
7	1.00	42.00	2.00	480.00						
8	2.00	25.00	1.00	520.00						
9	2.00	31.00	1.00	450.00						
10	2.00	44.00	2.00	550.00						
	1						1	1	1	P.
Data View	Variable View				***					
						F	ASW Statistics	Processor is re	eady	

Figure 2.3 The completed data set for the 10 participants.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

In analyzing the data, first we'll calculate frequencies for the categorical variables **gender** and **college**, and then we'll calculate measures of central tendency and variability for the continuous variables **mathexam** and **satquant**.

Frequencies

To calculate frequencies for gender and college, from the menu bar, select

1. Analyze > Descriptive Statistics > Frequencies \dots (see Figure 2.4).

		Reports Descriptive Statistics	•			-	1		
		Tables	•	Descriptives				Visible	: 4 of 4 Variab
1 2 3 4	gender math 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1.00	Compare Means <u>General Linear Model</u> Generalized Linear Models Mixed Models <u>Correlate</u> <u>Regression</u>	* * * * *	Explore Crosstabs Ratio P-P Plots QQ Plots		var	var	var	var
6 7 8	1.00 1.00 1.00 2.00	Loglinear Classify Dimension Reduction	* * * *						
9 10 11 12	2.00 2.00	30ge Nonparametric Tests Forecasting Survival	* * * *						
13 14 15		Munipie Response Missing Value Analysis Multiple Imputation Complex Samples	р } }						
16 17 18		Quality Control	*						
10	1		-	***	_				1

Figure 2.4 Menu commands for the Frequencies procedure.

A *Frequencies* dialog box opens with the variables **gender**, **mathexam**, **college**, and **satquant** on the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 2.5).

 <i>d</i> gender <i>m</i>athexam 	Variable(s):	Statistics
In College In Provide the International Interna	\$	<u>Format</u>
🖌 Display frequency ta	bles	

Figure 2.5 The Frequencies dialog box.

- Select the variable gender and then press and hold down the *Ctrl* key (the *Ctrl* key is located in the bottom left-hand corner of your keyboard). Select the variable college (gender and college should now be selected). Click the right-arrow button (
) to move the two variables to the *Variable(s)* box.¹ See Figure 2.6 for details.
- 3. Click OK.

	Variable(s):	Ob-M-M-
🖉 mathexam	gender	
🖗 satquant	College	Charts
		Eormat
	*	
Antonia de la com		

Figure 2.6 The Frequencies dialog box (continued).

The *Frequencies* procedure runs and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window. Prior to discussing the results of the *Frequencies* procedure for **gender** and **college**, we'll obtain descriptive statistics for **mathexam** and **satquant**.

(For consistency throughout the text, we'll be running procedures from within the *Data Editor* window. Since the *Viewer* window is currently active, we'll make the *Data Editor* window active prior to running the next procedure.)

To make the Data Editor window active

 From the menu bar, select Window > Untitled-PASW Statistics Data Editor. The Data Editor window should now be active. (If you opened the data from the web site, you'll select Window > descriptive statistics.sav-PASW Statistics Data Editor from the menu bar. These instructions will apply throughout the chapter for those using the file from the web site.)

Measures of Central Tendency and Variability

Next we'll obtain measures of central tendency and variability for the continuous variables **mathexam** and **satquant** using the *Frequencies* procedure.

To obtain measures of central tendency and variability

- 1. From the menu bar, select **Analyze > Descriptive Statistics > Frequencies** . . . (see Figure 2.4).²
- 2. The *Frequencies* dialog box opens. Click the *Reset* button to clear the existing variables from the *Variable(s)* box. Select the variable **mathexam** and then press and hold down the *Ctrl* key. Select the variable **satquant** (both **mathexam** and **satquant** should now be selected). Click the right-arrow button (\searrow) to move the two variables to the *Variable(s)* box (see Figure 2.7).

gender		⊻ariable(s): Ø mathexam	Statistics
🖉 college		🖉 satquant	<u>Charts</u> Format
	-		(
Z Display fraguation	, tablaa		- 21

Figure 2.7 The Frequencies dialog box (continued).

- 3. Click the *Statistics* button. The *Frequencies: Statistics* dialog box opens. Under *Central Tendency*, select *Mean*, *Median*, and *Mode*. Under *Dispersion* select *Std. deviation*, *Variance*, *Range*, *Minimum*, and *Maximum*. See Figure 2.8 for details.
- 4. Click *Continue*.
- 5. In the *Frequencies* dialog box, click on the check mark to the left of *Display frequency tables* to turn this option off. The box should now be empty, indicating that frequency tables will *not* be produced for the variables **mathexam** and **satquant** (frequency tables are typically not produced for continuous variables, as measures of central tendency and variability are more informative). See Figure 2.9 for details.
- 6. Click OK.

The *Frequencies* procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window. Prior to discussing the results of the *Frequencies* procedure, the *Means* procedure will be illustrated.

Analysis of Groups Using the Means Procedure

The *Means* procedure is useful for obtaining descriptive statistics *separately* for each group of a variable (or variables) of interest. For example, instead of obtaining the mean **satquant** score for all participants, the mean **satquant** scores could be obtained separately for those who attended

Percentile Values	Central Tendency ✓ Mean ✓ Median ✓ Mode
Add Change Remove	Sum.
Dispersion Std. deviation Minimum Maximum Range S.E. mean	Values are group midpoint: Distribution Skewness Kurtosis

Figure 2.8 The Frequencies: Statistics dialog box.

🕼 gender 🖗 college		variabie(s):	<u>Statistics</u> Charts Format
	*		
Display frequency	tables		

Figure 2.9 The *Frequencies* dialog box (with the *Display frequency tables* check box unchecked).

college at home and those who attended college away. We'll illustrate the *Means* procedure by obtaining the mean and standard deviation on **satquant** separately for the different college groups.

To obtain the Means procedure

- 1. Make the *Data View* window active (select Window > Untitled PASW Statistics Data Editor).
- 2. From the menu bar, select **Analyze** > **Compare Means** > **Means** . . . (see Figure 2.10 on page 28 for details).
- 3. The *Means* dialog box opens (see Figure 2.11 on page 28).

The variable that the mean and standard deviation will be obtained on (**satquant**) goes into the *Dependent List* box and the variable that contains the different groups (**college**) goes into the *Independent List* box.

	gender r	Reports Descriptive Statistics Tables	+				80.0
1	gender n		P			Visible: 4 o	f 4 Variables
1	1.00	nathe) Compare Means	+	Means	var	var	var
		2 General Linear Model	1	Cone-Sample T Test			4
2	1.00	1 Generalized Linear Models		Independent-Samples T Test			
3	2.00	Mixed Models	1	Paired-Samples T Test			_
4	2.00	2 <u>Correlate</u>	1				
5	1.00		1	Die-Way ANOVA			
6	1.00	Closoftu	1				
7	1.00	4 Dimension Reduction					
8	2.00	Scale					
9	2.00	3 Nonparametric Tests					
10	2.00	4 Forecasting					
11		Survival					
12		Muttiple Response					
13		EZ Missing Value Analysis					
14		Multiple Imputation					
15		Complex Samples					
16		Quality Control					
17		ROC Curve					
18							*
4		and the second	_	***			4
Data View Varia	able View						

Figure 2.10 Menu commands for the Means procedure.

🖉 gender	Dependent List:	Options.
I mathexam I college I satquant	Layer 1 of 1	
	Previous Ne	xt

Figure 2.11 The Means dialog box.

- 4. Select **satquant** and click the upper right-arrow button () to move it to the *Dependent List* box.
- 5. Select **college** and click the lower right-arrow button () to move it to the *Independent List* box (see Figure 2.12).
- 6. Click Options. The Means: Options dialog box opens (see Figure 2.13).

On the left-hand side of the dialog box (labeled *Statistics*) are a number of statistics that can be selected, and on the right-hand side (labeled *Cell Statistics*) are the statistics that are calculated by default. Since the *Mean* and *Standard Deviation* are on the right-hand side already, we'll leave the default options in place. (*Number of Cases* could be moved to the *Statistics* box by selecting it and clicking the arrow button, but we'll leave it there since it won't adversely affect our results.)

- 7. Click Continue.
- 8. Click OK.

🖉 gender 🔗 mathexam	Dependent List:	Options
	Layer 1 of 1	ext
	Independent List:	

Figure 2.12 The Means dialog box (continued).

Median	-	Mean	
Grouped Median		Number of Cases	
Std. Error of Mean		Standard Deviation	
Sum			
Minimum			
Maximum			
Range			
First	4		
Last			
Variance	8		
Kurtosis			
Std. Error of Kurtosis			
Skewness			
Std. Error of Skewness			
Harmonic Mean	Y		

Figure 2.13 The Means: Options dialog box.

The *Means* procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window. Prior to discussing the results, we'll perform a final analysis using the *Means* procedure. Instead of obtaining the mean and standard deviation separately for the different groups of a *single* variable (as we did with **college**), we'll obtain means for *two* categorical variables using the layered option. To illustrate this procedure, we'll obtain means on **satquant** for the different **college** groups layered (or further subdivided) by **gender**. This will result in four different mean **satquant** scores: males who attended college at home, females who attended college at home, males who attended college away.

To perform the Means procedure using the layered option

- 1. Make the *Data View* window active (select **Window** > **Untitled PASW** Statistics Data Editor).
- From the menu bar, select Analyze > Compare Means > Means . . . (see Figure 2.10 for details).
- 3. The *Means* dialog box opens with **satquant** in the *Dependent List* box and **college** in the *Independent List* box (see Figure 2.14). (*Note*: If you did not perform the previous *Means* procedure, you'll need to move **satquant** and **college** to their respective boxes.)

🖗 gender 🖗 mathexam	Dependent List:	Options
	Layer 1 of 1	
	Independent List:	

Figure 2.14 The Means dialog box.

- 4. To layer the results by gender, in the Layer 1 of 1 section of the dialog box, click the Next button (after clicking on Next, you should see 'Layer 2 of 2' above the Previous button). Select gender and click the lower-right arrow button (≤) to move it to the Independent List box (see Figure 2.15).
- 5. Click OK.

Means		×	
The mathematic and the mathemati	Dependent List:	OK <u>P</u> aste <u>R</u> eset Cancel Help <u>Options</u>	Layer 2 of 2 indicates that the results will be layered by the variable entered into the <i>Independent List</i> box (gender).

Figure 2.15 The Means dialog box using the layered option.

The *Means* procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window. Next we'll discuss the output for the four analyses we conducted in this chapter. As a review, the four analyses were:

- 1. Frequencies on the variables college and gender.
- 2. Measures of central tendency and variability on mathexam and satquant.
- 3. Means and standard deviations on **satquant** for the different **college** groups.
- 4. Means and standard deviations on **satquant** for the different **college** groups layered by **gender**.

Step 4: Interpret the Output

The output of the four analyses is presented in Figures 2.16–2.19. [If you've conducted the analyses on your own computer as you've read through the chapter, scroll to the top of the output (*Viewer*) window to the first set of results—frequencies for **gender** and **college**.]

Analysis 1: Frequencies Procedure—Gender and College

The results of the first analysis we conducted—frequencies for **gender** and **college**—are provided in Figure 2.16.

Frequencies

Statistics					
gender college					
Ν	Valid	10	10		
	Missing	0	0		

Frequency Table

gender							
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent			
male	5	50.0	50.0	50.0			
female	5	50.0	50.0	100.0			
Total	10	100.0	100.0				
1	male female Total	Frequency male 5 female 5 Total 10	genderFrequencyPercentmale550.0female550.0Total10100.0	Frequency Percent Valid Percent male 5 50.0 50.0 female 5 50.0 50.0 Total 10 100.0 100.0			

.

college

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	home	6	60.0	60.0	60.0
	away	4	40.0	40.0	100.0
	Total	10	100.0	100.0	

Figure 2.16 Output of the Frequencies procedure for gender and college.

Frequencies—Statistics

The *Statistics* table displays the sample size for the variables **gender** and **college**. The table indicates that there were 10 valid observations for **gender** and **college** (all 10 people had values on both variables). The value of zero in the *Missing* row indicates that there were no missing values for either of the variables (a missing value for **gender**, for example, would indicate that the **gender** of one of the participants was not recorded).

Frequency Table—Gender

The frequency table for **gender** indicates the frequency, or number of participants, for each category of **gender**. In the table there are five males and five females, with each gender representing 50% of the data set.

Frequency Table—College

The frequency table for **college** indicates the frequency for each category of **college**. The table shows that six students attended college at home and four students attended college away from home, representing 60% and 40% of the data set, respectively.

Analysis 2: Frequencies Procedure—Mathexam and Satquant

The results of the second analysis we conducted—frequencies for **mathexam** and **satquant**—are presented in Figure 2.17 (page 32).

The *Statistics* table displays the results of the *Frequencies* procedure for the continuous variables **mathexam** and **satquant**. (Recall that we requested measures of central tendency and

	Statistics				
		mathexam	satquant		
Ν	Valid	10	10		
	Missing	0	0		
Mean		28.6000	520.0000		
Median		26.5000	535.0000		
Mode		15.00 ^a	450.00		
Std. Devi	ation	9.38320	58.30952		
Variance		88.044	3400.000		
Range		29.00	150.00		
Minimum		15.00	450.00		
Maximum	ו	44.00	600.00		

Frequencies

^{a.} Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Figure 2.17 Output of the *Frequencies* procedure for mathexam and satquant.

variability for these variables.) The mean and standard deviation for **mathexam** is 28.60 and 9.38 (rounding to two decimal places) and for **satquant** is 520.00 and 58.31, respectively. The minimum and maximum values indicate the lowest and highest values in the data set and are helpful for ensuring that all the data are within the possible range of values (a value outside of this range would most likely indicate that a data entry error has been made). The minimum and maximum values of 15.00 and 44.00 for **mathexam** and 450.00 and 600.00 for **satquant** show that there were no out-of-range values for either variable (as indicated earlier, the range of possible scores for **mathexam** is 0 to 50 and for **satquant** is 200 to 800).³

Analysis 3: Means Procedure—Satquant by College

The results of the third analysis we conducted—*Means* of **satquant** for the different college groups—are presented in Figure 2.18.

Means

Case Processing Summary

		Cases				
	Included Excluded			То	tal	
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent
satquant * college	10	100.0%	0	.0%	10	100.0%

Report

satquant						
college	Mean	Ν	Std. Deviation			
home	545.0000	6	53.94442			
away	482.5000	4	47.16991			
Total	520.0000	10	58.30952			



Case Processing Summary

The *Case Processing Summary* table indicates the number of observations included in the analysis (10), the number of observations excluded (0), and the total number of observations in the data set (10). The table indicates that all 10 participants were included in the analysis (all 10 people had values on **satquant** and **college**).

Report

The *Report* table shows the mean, sample size (N), and standard deviation for the college groups home, away, and total (home and away combined). Inspecting the means for the two groups shows

that the six students who attended college at home had a higher mean **satquant** score (545.00) than the four students who attended college away (482.50). Those who stayed at home for college also had **satquant** scores that were slightly more variable than those who attended college away (the standard deviation for home was 53.94 compared to a standard deviation of 47.17 for away). The mean **satquant** score for the entire sample (*Total*) was 520.00 with a standard deviation of 58.31 (this agrees with the values obtained in our second analysis).

Analysis 4: Means Procedure—Satquant by College Layered by Gender

The results of the last analysis we conducted—*Means* of **satquant** by **college** layered by **gender**—are presented in Figure 2.19.

Means

Case	Processing	Summary
------	------------	---------

		Cases				
	Incl	uded	Excluded		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
satquant * college * gender	10	100.0%	0	.0%	10	100.0%

Report satquant						
college	gender	Mean	Ν	Std. Deviation		
home	male	566.6667	3	15.27525		
	female	523.3333	3	75.05553		
	Total	545.0000	6	53.94442		
away	male	465.0000	2	21.21320		
	female	500.0000	2	70.71068		
	Total	482.5000	4	47.16991		
Total	male	526.0000	5	57.70615		
	female	514.0000	5	65.03845		
	Total	520.0000	10	58.30952		

Figure 2.19 Output of the Means procedure on satquant for college layered by gender.

Case Processing Summary

The *Case Processing Summary* table indicates the number of observations included in the analysis (10), the number of observations excluded (0), and the total number of observations in the data set (10). The table indicates that all 10 participants were included in the analysis (all 10 people had values on **satquant**, **college**, and **gender**).

Report

The *Report* table displays the mean, sample size (*N*), and standard deviation on **satquant** for **college** layered by **gender**. The first section of the table displays the mean and standard deviation for males and females who attend college at home. Notice that males have a higher mean score than females on **satquant** (566.67 vs. 523.33) and that females have a larger standard deviation (75.06 vs. 15.28). The second section of the table displays the mean and standard deviation for males and females who attend college away from home. For this group of students, females have a higher mean than males on **satquant** (500.00 vs. 465.00) and a larger standard deviation (70.71 vs. 21.21). Finally, the last section of the table displays the mean and standard deviation for males and females for home and away combined. When the **college** groups are combined, males have a higher mean **satquant** score than females (526.00 vs. 514.00), while females have a larger standard deviation (65.04 vs. 57.71).

This concludes the discussion of the Frequencies and Means procedures in SPSS.

Summary of Steps to Conduct the *Frequencies* and *Means* Procedures

I. Data Entry

- 1. Create the variables in SPSS by entering the variable names in the *Variable View* window.
- 2. Create value labels for each of the categorical variables. In the *Variable View* window, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click *OK*.
- 3. Enter the data for each of the participants in the Data View window.

II. Analysis of the Data

Obtaining frequencies using the Frequencies procedure

- From the menu bar, select Analyze > Descriptive Statistics > Frequencies
- 2. Move the categorical variables to be analyzed to the *Variable(s)* box.
- 3. Click OK.

Obtaining descriptive statistics using the Frequencies procedure

- From the menu bar, select Analyze > Descriptive Statistics > Frequencies....
- 2. Move the continuous variables to be analyzed to the *Variable(s)* box.
- 3. Click Statistics.
- 4. Select the statistics of interest.
- 5. Click Continue.
- 6. In the Frequencies dialog box, uncheck Display frequency tables.
- 7. Click OK.

Obtaining descriptive statistics on one or more categorical variables using the Means procedure

- 1. From the menu bar, select Analyze > Compare Means > Means
- 2. Move the variable(s) that the descriptive statistics will be obtained on to the *Dependent List* box. Move the categorical variable to the *Independent List* box.
- If you want to layer the results by a second categorical variable, click the *Layered* button and move the categorical variable to the *Independent List* box. (Disregard this step if you don't want to layer the results by a second variable.)
- 4. Click OK.

Exercises

A soccer coach evaluated the impact of two different kicking methods (a toe kick and a heel kick) on the effectiveness of kicking a soccer ball. Four boys and four girls kicked a ball using one of the two methods and the distance (in feet) and accuracy (on a 1 to 10 scale) of the kicks were recorded. The experience level of each child was also ascertained, with higher values indicating greater experience. Half of the children (two boys and two girls) kicked the ball using a toe kick and the other half kicked the ball using a heel kick. The data are provided in Figure 2.20. For gender, 1 = "boys" and 2 = "girls." For method, 1 = "toe kick" and 2 = "heel kick."

Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer each of the questions below. Enter value labels as appropriate for **gender** and **method**.

a. Report the frequencies for gender and method.

Gender	Method	Experience	Distance	Accuracy
1	1	5	50	5
1	1	6	45	4
1	2	2	35	6
1	2	9	40	9
2	1	2	42	3
2	1	7	39	6
2	2	4	28	7
2	2	2	32	6

Figure 2.20 Data for the eight children in the soccer ball kicking study.

- b. Report the mean and standard deviation for **experience**, **distance**, and **accuracy** for the entire sample.
- c. Report the mean and standard deviation for **experience**, **distance**, and **accuracy** separately for boys and girls. Which group has a higher mean on each of the three variables? Which group has a larger standard deviation?
- d. Report the mean and standard deviation for **experience**, **distance**, and **accuracy** separately for the toe kicking and heel kicking methods. Which group has a higher mean on each of the three variables? Which group has a larger standard deviation?
- e. Report the mean and standard deviation for **experience**, **distance**, and **accuracy** for **gender** layered by **method**. Which of the four conditions has the lowest mean **experience** and **accuracy** scores? Which has the highest mean kicking **distance**?
- 2. A student collected data on the perception of water quality in three different cities across the United States. She sampled 10 people (5 males and 5 females) each from major cities in the Midwest, on the West Coast, and on the East Coast. Each person tasted the municipal water from their respective city (the water was provided by the researcher) and rated its taste and clarity on a 1–10 scale (with higher ratings indicating better perceived taste and clarity, respectively). The data file is located in the Chapter 2 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 and is named *Chapter*

2_*Exercise 2.sav.* The data file consists of the values of 30 participants on the variables **location**, **gender**, **taste**, and **clarity**. For **location**,

1 = "West Coast," 2 = "Midwest," and 3 = "East Coast." For gender,

1 = "male" and 2 = "female." Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. Report the frequencies for **location** and **gender**.
- b. Report the mean and standard deviation for **taste** and **clarity** for the entire sample.
- c. Report the mean and standard deviation for **taste** and **clarity** separately for the different locations. Which location has the highest mean on each of the two variables? Which location has the largest standard deviation?
- d. Report the mean and standard deviation for **taste** and **clarity** separately for males and females. Which group has the highest mean on each of the two variables? Which group has the largest standard deviation?
- e. Report the mean and standard deviation for **taste** and **clarity** for **gender** layered by **location**. Which of the six conditions has the lowest mean **taste**? Which has the lowest mean **clarity**? Which has the highest mean **taste** and **clarity**?
- 3. A researcher collected data on the reading performance and number of hours of tele-vision watched per day of 30 children. The gender and socioeconomic status (SES) of each participant were also collected. The data file is located in the Chapter 2 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 and is named *Chapter 2_Exercise 3.sav*. The data file contains the variables **gender**, **ses**, **hourstv**, a n d **readingscores**.

For gender 1 = "male", and 2 = "female." For ses, 1 = "low," 2 = "middle," and 3 = "high." The reading scores are on a 0 to 50 scale with higher scores indicating better reading performance. Open the data file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. Report the frequencies for gender and ses.
- b. Report the mean and standard deviation for **hourstv** and **readingscores** for the entire sample.
- c. Report the mean and standard deviation for **hourstv** and **readingscores** separately for males and females. Which group has the highest mean on each variable? Which group has the largest standard deviation?
- d. Report the mean and standard deviation for **hourstv** and **readingscores** for **gender** layered by **ses**. Which group watches the most television on average? Which group has the highest mean reading scores?

Graphical Procedures

CHAPTER

In this chapter we'll create a number of different graphs in SPSS. By creating graphs, you can quickly determine the general shape of a distribution of scores, the overall variability of the data, and can detect outliers, or scores that are unrepresentative of the majority of the values in the data set. We'll use SPSS to create a number of commonly used graphs, including bar charts, histograms, scatterplots, and boxplots.

To create graphs in this chapter, we'll use the data file we created in Chapter 2. A copy of the file is located in the Chapter 3 folder on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/ textbooks/9780205735822 under the file name *descriptive statistics.sav*. (*Note*: The following commands assume that the *SPSS Demystified* files have been downloaded from the web site to your computer.)

To open the file from your computer

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. From the menu bar, select **File > Open > Data**....
- 3. The *Open Data* dialog box appears (if you are using SPSS 14.0 or below, the dialog box is named *Open File*). Click on the list arrow and select the location of the *SPSS Demystified* files on your computer.
- 4. Double-click the *Chapter 3* folder to open it. You should see the file *descriptive statistics.sav* (the file extension '.*sav*' may not be shown on your computer). Double-click the file to open it. After a few moments, the file should open in the SPSS *Data Editor* window. The data file is shown in Figure 3.1. (*Note*: If you don't see the file on your computer screen, select Window > descriptive statistics.sav-PASW Statistics Data Editor from the menu bar to make the *Data Editor* window active.)

III *Untitled File Edit V	I <mark>1 [DataSet0]</mark> · jew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	PASW Statisti ansform <u>A</u> nalyz	cs Data Edito e <u>G</u> raphs I	r Litilities Add-or	is <u>Wi</u> ndov	v <u>H</u> elp				- 🗆
				1	#1		- S			
									Visible: 4 d	of 4 Variable
	gender	mathexam	college	satquant	var	var	var	var	var	var
1	1.00	24.00	1.00	570.00						2
2	1.00	18.00	2.00	450.00						
3	2.00	34.00	1.00	600.00						
4	2.00	27.00	2.00	450.00						
5	1.00	15.00	1.00	580.00						
6	1.00	26.00	1.00	550.00						
7	1.00	42.00	2.00	480.00						
8	2.00	25.00	1.00	520.00						
9	2.00	31.00	1.00	450.00						
10	2.00	44.00	2.00	550.00						
	1	10			-		- ⁽		W	4
Data View	Variable View									

Figure 3.1 The descriptive statistics.sav data file.

Bar Charts

The first graph we'll create in SPSS is a bar chart. Bar charts indicate the frequency, or number of observations, for each category of a variable (bar charts are typically produced on categorical variables). We'll create a bar chart for **college**.

To create a bar chart in SPSS

 From the menu bar select Graphs > Legacy Dialogs > Bar . . . (see Figure 3.2). (*Note*: If you are using SPSS version 14.0 or lower, the menu commands are Graphs > Bar. All other commands are the same.)

<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u>	∕iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> r	ansform <u>A</u> nalyz	e <u>G</u> raphs I	Utilities Add-ons	s <u>W</u> indow	Help			
			Chart	Builder nboard Template C	hooser		14	94	
			Legad	y Dialogs	+	Bar		√isible: 4 o	f 4 Variables
	gender	mathexam	college	satquant	var	IN A A A		var	var
1	1.00	24.00	1.00	570.00		111 <u>3</u> -D Bar			-
2	1.00	18.00	2.00	450.00		Line			
3	2.00	34.00	1.00	600.00		Area			
4	2.00	27.00	2.00	450.00		Pie			
5	1.00	15.00	1.00	580.00		High-Low			
6	1.00	26.00	1.00	550.00		Rewlet			
7	1.00	42.00	2.00	480.00		End Doxpor			
8	2.00	25.00	1.00	520.00		Error Bar			
9	2.00	31.00	1.00	450.00		Population Pyramid			
10	2.00	44.00	2.00	550.00		Scatter/Dot			*
	M					🖬 Histogram			1
Data Minus	Variable View								
Data view	* dificilitie * 16 **								

Figure 3.2 Menu commands for the bar chart procedure.

2. The *Bar Charts* dialog box opens. To create a bar chart for **college**, the default option, *Simple*, will be used. Click *Define* (see Figure 3.3).

🔡 Bar Charts 🛛 🗙
Simple
Clustered
Stacked
Data in Chart Are
Summaries for groups of cases
O Summaries of separate variables
◯ Values of individual cases
Define Cancel Help

Figure 3.3 The Bar Charts dialog box.

- 3. The *Define Simple Bar: Summaries for Groups of Cases* dialog box opens. Select **college** and click the second right-arrow button () from the top to move it to the *Category Axis* box. See Figure 3.4 for details.
- 4. Click OK.

	Bars Represent		Titles
gender		◎ % of cases	Options
Ar matnexam	© <u>C</u> um. N	🔘 Cu <u>m</u> . %	
 and and 	Other statistic (e.g.,	mean)	
	Variable:		
	₩ 		
	Cha	nne Statistic	
	Cria	nge oranoness	
	Category Axis	¢	
	College		
	Panel by		
	Ro <u>w</u> s:		
	Maet varia	plas (no amptu rouve)	
	Columns:	olds (no empty rows)	
	*		
	Nest varja	bles (no empty columns)	
Template			
📗 Use chart specific	ations from:		
Eile)			

Figure 3.4 The Define Simple Bar: Summaries for Groups of Cases dialog box.

A bar chart for **college** is produced in the *Viewer* window (the bar chart will be discussed after all of the graphs have been produced in SPSS).

Histograms

Next, we'll create a histogram in SPSS. Histograms are graphs that indicate the frequency, or number of observations, for intervals of a continuous variable. We'll create a histogram for the variable **mathexam**.

To create a histogram in SPSS

- 1. Make the *Data Editor* window active by selecting **Window** > **descriptive statistics.sav PASW Statistics Data Editor** from the menu bar.
- To produce a histogram in SPSS, from the menu bar select Graphs > Legacy Dialogs > Histogram (see Figure 3.5 on page 40). (*Note*: If you are using SPSS version 14.0 or lower, the menu commands are Graphs > Histogram All other commands are the same.)
- 3. The Histogram dialog box opens.
- 4. Select **mathexam** and click the upper right-arrow button () to move it to the *Variable* box (see Figure 3.6 on page 40).
- 5. Click OK.

🔢 *Untitle	d1 [DataSet0] ·	- PASW Statisti	cs Data Edito	r				- 🗆 ×
<u>File E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> r	ansform <u>A</u> nalyz	e <u>G</u> raphs I	Utilities Add-ons	s <u>W</u> indow	Help		
			Chart	Builder board Template C	hooser		14	
			Legad	y Dialogs	+	Bar	Vis	sible: 4 of 4 Variables
	gender	mathexam	college	satquant	var		r v	ar var
1	1.00	24.00	1.00	570.00		111 <u>3</u> -D Bar		<u>~</u>
2	1.00	18.00	2.00	450.00		Line		
3	2.00	34.00	1.00	600.00		Area		
4	2.00	27.00	2.00	450.00		Pie		
5	1.00	15.00	1.00	580.00		High-Low		
6	1.00	26.00	1.00	550.00		Boynlet		
7	1.00	42.00	2.00	480.00				
8	2.00	25.00	1.00	520.00		Error Bar		
9	2.00	31.00	1.00	450.00		Population Pyramid		
10	2.00	44.00	2.00	550.00		Scatter/Dot		
11					1	Histogram		
12								*
	1							4
Data View	Variable View							
Histogram						PASW Statistics Proces	sor is ready	

Figure 3.5 Menu commands for the Histogram procedure.

🕼 gender 🕼 college 🕼 satquant	Display normal curve	(2000)
	Rows:	
	Nest variables (no empty rows) Columns:	
	Nest variables (no empty columns)	
Template	ations from:	

Figure 3.6 The Histogram dialog box.

A histogram of **mathexam** is produced in the *Viewer* window (the histogram will be discussed after all of the graphs have been produced in SPSS).

Scatterplots

Next, we'll produce a scatterplot in SPSS. Scatterplots plot the coordinates (a point where the scores on two variables meet) of participants' responses on two variables and are often used when calculating correlation coefficients (correlation is discussed in Chapter 12). We'll create a scatterplot of the variables **mathexam** and **satquant**.

To create a scatterplot in SPSS

- 1. Make the *Data Editor* window active by selecting **Window** > **descriptive statistics.sav PASW Statistics Data Editor** from the menu bar.
- To produce a scatterplot, from the menu bar select Graphs > Legacy Dialogs > Scatter/Dot.... (see Figure 3.7). (*Note*: If you are using SPSS version 14.0 or lower, the menu commands are Graphs > Scatter/Dot. All other commands are the same.)

🏢 *Untitl	ed1 [DataSet0] ·	PASW Statistic	s Data Edito:	r		Contraction of the local distance			>
<u>File E</u> dit	⊻iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> r	ansform <u>A</u> nalyze	e <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add-ons	: <u>Wi</u> ndow	Help			
		100	Chart	Builder nboard Template C	hooser		14	9	ABO
			Legad	y Dialogs	+	Ber	Vis	sible: 4 of 4	Variable:
	gender	mathexam	college	satquant	var		r v	ar	var
1	1.00	24.00	1.00	570.00		<u>IIII</u> <u>3</u> -D Bar			-
2	1.00	18.00	2.00	450.00		Line			
3	2.00	34.00	1.00	600.00		Area			
4	2.00	27.00	2.00	450.00		Pie			
5	1.00	15.00	1.00	580.00		High-Low			
6	1.00	26.00	1.00	550.00		Boynist			
7	1.00	42.00	2.00	480.00					
8	2.00	25.00	1.00	520.00		Error Bar			
9	2.00	31.00	1.00	450.00		Population Pyramid			
10	2.00	44.00	2.00	550.00		Scatter/Dot			
11						Histogram			
12									
	1								4
Data Vioue	Variable View								
Data VIBW									
Scatter/Dot						PAS/V Statistics Process	or is ready		

Figure 3.7 Menu commands for the scatterplot procedure in SPSS.

3. The *Scatter/Dot* dialog box opens (see Figure 3.8). To produce a scatterplot of **mathexam** and **satquant**, the default option, *Simple Scatter*, will be used. Click *Define*.



Figure 3.8 The Scatter/Dot dialog box.

- 4. The *Simple Scatterplot* dialog box opens. Select **mathexam** and click the upper right-arrow button () to move it to the *Y Axis* box. Select **satquant** and click the second right-arrow button () to move it to the *X Axis* box (see Figure 3.9 on page 42).
- 5. Click OK.

A scatterplot of **mathexam** and **satquant** is produced in the *Viewer* window (the scatterplot will be discussed after all of the graphs have been produced in SPSS).

📰 Simple Scatterplot		
∲ gender ∲ college	Y Axis: Mathexam X Axis: Set Markers by: Label <u>C</u> ases by: Panel by Rows:	Titles Options
Template	from:]

Figure 3.9 The Simple Scatterplot dialog box.

Boxplots

Next we'll illustrate how to produce a boxplot in SPSS. Boxplots are useful for displaying information about a variable including the center (median), the middle 50% of the data, the overall spread, and whether or not there are any outliers in the data (outliers are points that are unrepresentative of the other values in the data set). We'll produce a boxplot for the variable **mathexam**.

To create a boxplot in SPSS

- Make the *Data Editor* window active by selecting Window > descriptive statistics.sav – PASW Statistics Data Editor from the menu bar.
- 2. To create a boxplot, from the menu bar select **Graphs > Legacy Dialogs > Boxplot**....(see Figure 3.10). (*Note*: If you are using SPSS version 14.0 or lower, the menu commands are **Graphs > Boxplot**. All other commands are the same.)

🏢 *Untit	led1 [DataSet0]	PASW Statisti	cs Data Edito	r					- 🗆 ×
<u>File</u> Edit	<u>⊻</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> r	ansform <u>A</u> nalyz	e <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add-ons	s <u>W</u> indow	Help			
			Chart	Builder hboard Template C	hooser		■ ☆ 	1	•
-			Lega	cy Dialogs	+		Bar	Visi	ible: 4 of 4 Variables
	gender	mathexam	college	satquant	var	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>-</u> u	r Va	ar var
1	1.00	24.00	1.00	570.00		EE :	<u>3</u> -D Bar		<u> </u>
2	1.00	18.00	2.00	450.00			Line		
3	2.00	34.00	1.00	600.00			Area		
4	2.00	27.00	2.00	450.00			Pie		
5	1.00	15.00	1.00	580.00			Hich-Low		
6	1.00	26.00	1.00	550.00			 Bovniat	1	
7	1.00	42.00	2.00	480.00			pollootes		

Figure 3.10 Menu commands for the *Boxplot* procedure.

The *Boxplot* dialog box opens. Leave the default option, *Simple*, selected. In the *Data in Chart Are* section of the dialog box, select *Summaries of separate variables*. (See Figure 3.11.)

🛾 Вохр	lot 🗙
₿₿ŧ₿	Simple
₿ €	Clustered
Data in C O Sumr Sumr	Chart Are naries for groups of cases naries of separate variables
Def	ine Cancel Help

Figure 3.11 The Boxplot dialog box.

- 4. Click Define.
- 5. The *Define Simple Boxplot: Summaries of Separate Variables* dialog box opens. Select the variable, **mathexam**, and click the upper right-arrow button ()) to move it to the *Boxes Represent* box (see Figure 3.12).
- 6. Click OK.

gender college	Boxes Represent: Options
Sauquant	Label Cases by :
	Panel by Rows:
	Nest variables (no empty rows)
	Columns:
	Nest variables (no empty columns)

Figure 3.12 The *Define Simple Boxplot: Summaries of Separate Variables* dialog box.

A boxplot for mathexam is produced in the Viewer window.

Next, the results of the four different graphs we created—the bar chart, histogram, scatterplot, and boxplot—will be described.

Interpret the Results

Bar Chart—College

In the barchart of **college** shown in Figure 3.13 the groups (*home* and *away*) are displayed on the horizontal axis (X-axis) and the frequency (labeled *Count*) is displayed on the vertical axis (Y-axis). On the vertical axis of the bar chart, the height of the bar corresponds to the frequency for a given group. The bar chart indicates that six of the students attended college at home, while four of the students attended college away.



Figure 3.13 The bar chart of college.

Histogram—Mathexam

The histogram shown in Figure 3.14 displays the values of **mathexam** (from smallest to largest) on the *X*-axis and the frequency on the *Y*-axis. Notice on the *X*-axis that each bar in the graph spans a 5-point range: The first bar has a midpoint of 15, the second bar has a midpoint of 20, and so on (the midpoints will vary from one histogram to another). The value with the greatest frequency in the graph is for a midpoint of 25, which has a frequency of 4. Notice that SPSS reports the mean, standard deviation, and sample size to the right of the graph by default.

Scatterplot—Mathexam and Satquant

The scatterplot shown in Figure 3.15 displays the coordinates (each coordinate is indicated by a circle in the plot) for each of the participants on the variables **mathexam** and **satquant**. As we specified in the *Simple Scatterplot* dialog box earlier, the values of **mathexam** are on the *Y*-axis and the values of **satquant** are on the *X*-axis. There are 10 different coordinates in the plot, with each coordinate displaying the scores on the two variables for an individual. The coordinate on the far right of the plot, for example, represents the scores on **mathexam** and **satquant** for the third participant, with scores of 34 and 600, respectively.

Boxplot—Mathexam

The boxplot of **mathexam** shown in Figure 3.16 (page 46) summarizes the scores differently from the histogram that was calculated on **mathexam** earlier. In the boxplot, the rectangular box contains the middle 50% of the data, and the line inside the box is equal to the median. The lines



Figure 3.14 The histogram of mathexam.



Figure 3.15 The scatterplot of mathexam and satquant.

extending from the box correspond to the whiskers. The two end points of the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values, except in the case when there are one or more outliers, which would be shown as an asterisk falling outside of the whiskers (an example of a boxplot with an outlier is provided in the end-of-chapter exercises).

This concludes our discussion on creating graphical displays of data.

	Case Processing Summary									
	Cases									
	Va	alid	Mis	sing	Total					
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	N	Percent				
mathexam	10	100.0%	0	.0%	10	100.0%				





Figure 3.16 The boxplot of mathexam.

Summary of Steps for Producing Bar Charts, Histograms, Scatterplots, and Boxplots in SPSS

- I. Data Entry
 - 1. Create the variables in SPSS by entering the variable names in the Variable View window.
 - 2. Create value labels for each of the categorical variables. In the Variable View window, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click OK.
 - 3. Enter the data for each of the participants.

II. Analysis of the Data

Obtaining a Bar Chart

- 1. From the menu bar, select **Graphs > Legacy Dialogs > Bar** (*Note*: If you're using SPSS version 14.0 or lower, select **Graphs > Bar.**)
- 2. In the Bar Charts dialog box, leave the default option Simple selected. Click Define.
- 3. In the Define Simple Bar: Summaries for Groups of Cases dialog box, move the variable of interest to Category Axis box.
- 4. Click OK.

Obtaining a Histogram

1. From the menu bar, select **Graphs** > **Legacy Dialogs** > **Histogram**.... (*Note*: If you're using SPSS version 14.0 or lower, select **Graphs > Histogram**.)

- 2. In the Histogram dialog box, move the variable of interest to the Variable box.
- 3. Click OK.

Obtaining a Scatterplot

- From the menu bar, select Graphs > Legacy Dialogs > Scatter/Dot.... (*Note*: If you're using SPSS version 14.0 or lower, select Graphs > Scatter/Dot.)
- 2. In the *Scatter/Dot* dialog box, leave the default option *Simple Scatter* selected. Click *Define*.
- 3. In the *Simple Scatterplot* dialog box, move one variable to the *Y Axis* box and the other variable to the *X Axis* box.
- 4. Click OK.

Obtaining a Boxplot

- 1. From the menu bar, select **Graphs > Legacy Dialogs > Boxplot**....(*Note*: If you're using SPSS version 14.0 or lower, select **Graphs > Boxplot**.)
- 2. In the *Boxplot* dialog box, leave the default option *Simple* selected. Under *Data in Chart Are*, select *Summaries of separate variables*. Click *Define*.
- 3. In the *Define Simple Boxplot: Summaries of Separate Variables* dialog box, move the variable of interest to the *Boxes Represent* box.
- 4. Click OK.

Exercises

- Data were collected from 10 sophomores at a local university, including their gender (1 = "male," 2 = "female"), the number of hours they studied per day (hoursstudied), the number of cups of coffee they drank per day (cupscoffee), and their grade point average (gpa). The data are in the file *Chapter 3_Exercise 1.sav* in the Chapter 3 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822. Open the file and perform the appropriate analyses in SPSS to answer the questions below.
 - a. Create a bar chart for **gender** and print your results. How many males and females are in the data set?
 - b. Create a histogram for **hoursstudied** and print your results. What is the mean number of hours studied per day?
 - c. Create a scatterplot using the variables **gpa** and **hoursstudied** and print your results (put **gpa** on the *Y*-axis).
 - d. Create a boxplot of **cupscoffee** and print your results. Are there any outliers in the plot?
- 2. The data file *Chapter 3_Exercise 2.sav* contains data on 15 people including whether or not they had allergies (allergy; 1 = "yes," 2 = "no"), the number of (sick) visits made to a doctor over the last year (doctorvisits), and the number of days missed from work during that same time period (daysmissed). Open the file and perform the appropriate analyses in SPSS to answer the ques-tions below (the file is located in the Chapter 3 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822).
 - a. Create a bar chart for **allergy** and print your results. How many people have allergies? How many people do not have allergies?
 - b. Create a histogram of **daysmissed** and print your results. What is the mean number of days missed per year?
 - c. Create a boxplot of **doctorvisits**. Are there any outliers in the plot?
- 3. Data were collected from seven children including the number of times per week (on average) they ate fast food (**fastfood**) and the degree to which they like **corn**, **carrots**, and **cabbage** (expressed on a 1 to 10 scale with higher scores indicating more favorable ratings). The data are in the file *Chapter 3_Exercise 3.sav* in the

Chapter 3 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822. Open the file and perform the appropriate analyses in SPSS to answer the questions **b**elo@reate a histogram of **fastfood** and print your results.

- b. Create a histogram of **corn** and print your results. What is the mean rating for **corn**?
- c. Create a scatterplot for **fastfood** and **carrots** and print your results (put **carrots** on the *Y*-axis).
- d. Create a boxplot for **cabbage**. Are there any outliers in the plot?

CHAPTER

Reliability (as Measured by Coefficient Alpha)

R eliability refers to the consistency or repeatability of scores on some measure of interest. For example, consider a 10-item measure of assertiveness given twice over a two-week period to a group of participants. If the participants' responses to the items are the same or are very similar across the two administrations, then the measure would possess a high degree of reliability. If the responses are fairly different across the two administrations, then the scale would possess a fairly low degree of reliability.

While there are several different methods of estimating reliability, most fall into one of two types: reliability based on multiple administrations of a measure and reliability based on a single administration of a measure.¹ Assessing reliability over multiple administrations of a measure consists of administering a scale on two different occasions and measuring the consistency of participants' responses to the items over the two administrations. For this type of reliability, **test-retest** reliability (administering the same measure twice) and **alternate form** reliability (administering two very similar versions of a measure once each) are two commonly used methods.

Reliability over a single administration of a measure consists of administering a scale once and measuring the consistency of the participants' responses across the items of the measure. Two different types of reliability for a single administration of a measure are **split-half** reliability and **coefficient alpha** (also known as Cronbach's alpha). With split-half reliability, the measure is split in half and the consistency of the participants' responses on the two halves is estimated. Coefficient alpha, on the other hand, is not calculated on a single split of a measure but is instead mathematically equivalent to the mean of all possible splits that could have been taken of the measure. When using either split-half reliability or coefficient alpha as an estimate of the reliability of a measure, it is important that all of the items on the scale measure the same characteristic; otherwise the internal consistency will most likely be reduced. In this chapter, we'll focus on coefficient alpha, which is one of the most commonly used methods of estimating the reliability of a measure.

Values of coefficient alpha typically range from zero to one with higher values indicating greater internal consistency among a set of items.² Shown in Figure 4.1 is an approximate guideline of the adequacy of a range of different values of coefficient alpha.

An example in which coefficient alpha may be used is presented next.

Coefficient Alpha	Adequacy
.90 and above	Excellent
.80 – .89	Good
.70 – .79	Fair
.60 – .69	Marginal
.59 and below	Poor

Figure 4.1 Adequacy of internal consistency reliability estimates for different values of coefficient alpha.

Example

As part of a research project, a student wanted to estimate the internal consistency reliability of a five-item measure of meaning in life, which is presented in Figure 4.2.

The range of responses for each item on the meaning in life scale shown in Figure 4.2 is from 1 to 5, where 1 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree. A total score on the scale may be calculated by adding together the responses on the five items, with total scores ranging from 5 to 25 for each participant. Higher scores on the scale correspond to higher levels of meaning in life. Twenty-five college students responded to the five-item measure.

Items on the Meaning in Life Scale	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I feel good about the direction of my life.	1	2	3	4	5
2. My life has meaning.	1	2	3	4	5
3. In general, I feel like I'm on track in my life.	1	2	3	4	5
4. I never feel lost in my life.	1	2	3	4	5
 I'm currently working toward fulfilling my goals in life. 	1	2	3	4	5

Figure 4.2 Items on the meaning in life scale. For each item, the response options are 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (neither disagree nor agree), 4 (agree), and 5 (strongly agree). Each participant was instructed to choose only one response option for each question.

If the items on the meaning in life scale have a high degree of internal consistency (i.e., if the scale is reliable), then the participants should respond in a fairly consistent manner to each of the items on the scale. For example, for the items presented in Figure 4.2, people who have a high degree of meaning in life should agree or strongly agree with the items, while people with a low degree of meaning in life should disagree or strongly disagree with the items. This type of consistent response pattern for the participants will result in a high value of coefficient alpha since the participants are responding in a consistent manner on the scale (e.g., one person agrees with all the items, another person disagrees with the items, etc.). The exception to this rule is when one or more negative items are included on the scale, which is discussed next.

Negative Items and Reverse Coding

The meaning in life scale shown in Figure 4.2 is written so that a person with a high degree of meaning in life would tend to agree or strongly agree with each item on the scale (assuming the scale is reliable). Some measures, however, are designed with a mixture of items, where a person high on the characteristic of interest would agree with some items and *disagree* with others. Those items that a person high on the characteristic of interest would be if item 2 in Figure 4.2 was rewritten as "My life has *little* meaning." A person with a high degree of meaning in life would most likely disagree or strongly disagree with this item.) If a scale contains one or more negative items, each of these items needs to be reverse coded prior to estimating the internal consistency of the scale. Reverse coding is a process where the responses to the negative items are literally reversed, so that a 1 becomes a 5, a 2 becomes a 4, and so on. Reverse coding of items is illustrated in Appendix A.

Coefficient Alpha						
Objective	Data Requirements	Example				
To estimate the internal consistency of responses on a measure.	Scores on at least two items (variables) are required (although more than two items are recommended). All items should measure the same trait or characteristic.	Meaning in life scale, consisting of five items (variables). The internal consistency of the participants' responses on the five items will be determined.				

Objective and Data Requirements of Coefficient Alpha

The Data

The responses of the 20 participants to each of the five items of the meaning in life scale are presented in Figure 4.3. Each row in the table contains the responses for a participant, and the columns represent the different items on the scale. **Meaning1** corresponds to the responses on the first item on the scale, **meaning2** corresponds to the responses on the second item, and so on.

Participant	meaning1	meaning2	meaning3	meaning4	meaning5
1	5	5	4	5	4
2	2	3	2	1	3
3	1	2	2	1	2
4	5	5	5	4	5
5	3	4	4	2	3
6	5	5	2	4	3
7	5	4	4	3	4
8	4	4	4	4	4
9	5	4	4	4	3
10	4	5	5	4	3
11	5	5	5	5	5
12	3	2	2	3	3
13	1	1	1	2	1
14	5	5	5	5	5
15	5	4	4	4	5
16	4	4	3	4	3
17	4	4	4	4	3
18	5	5	5	5	5
19	5	4	5	5	4
20	4	5	5	5	4

Figure 4.3 The responses of the participants on the five items of the meaning in life scale. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 below describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *meaning.sav* in the Chapter 4 folder. If you pre-fer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the *Variable View* tab.

In SPSS, five variables will be created, one for each item of the meaning in life scale. The variables will be named **meaning1**, **meaning2**, **meaning3**, **meaning4**, and **meaning5**, respectively.

3. Enter the variable names **meaning1**, **meaning2**, **meaning3**, **meaning4**, and **meaning5**, respectively, in the first five rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 4.4).

📰 *Untitl	ed1 [DataSet0]	- PASW Statist	ics Data Edi	tor							_ 🗆
File Edit	View Data T	ransform <u>A</u> naly.	ze <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add	I-ons <u>Wi</u> ndow I	Help					
							47 III	1 4		5	
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	meaning1	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	·■ Right	🛷 Scale	🦒 Input
2	meaning2	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	ा Right ■	🛷 Scale	🦒 Input
3	meaning3	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	🖉 Scale	🦒 Input
4	meaning4	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	🖉 Scale	🔪 Input
5	meaning5	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	🖉 Scale	S Input
6											
	1										4
Data View	Variable View										
Information a	rea								PASW Statistics	Processor is ready	

Figure 4.4 The Variable View window with the variables meaning1-meaning5 entered.

Step 2: Enter the Data

- 1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **meaning1**, **meaning2**, **meaning3**, **meaning4**, and **meaning5**, respectively, appear in the first five columns of the *Data View* window.
- 2. Consulting Figure 4.3, enter the scores for each of the participants on the five variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the scores 5, 5, 4, 5 and 4, for the variables **meaning1**, **meaning2**, **meaning3**, **meaning4**, and **meaning5**, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 20 participants. The completed data file is shown in Figure 4.5.

🏢 *Untitle	ed1 [DataSet0] -	PASW Statist	ics Data Edit	or						- 🗆 ×
<u>File</u> Edit	<u>V</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	insform <u>A</u> naly	ze <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add-or	ns <u>W</u> indow	Help				
					離翻				90	ABC
									Visible: 5 of 5	5 Variables
	meaning1	meaning2	meaning3	meaning4	meaning5	var	var	var	Var	Va
1	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00					-
2	2.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00					
3	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00					
4	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00					
5	3.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	3.00					
6	5.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	3.00					
7	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00					
8	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00					
9	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00					
10	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	3.00					
11	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00					
12	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00					
13	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00					
14	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00					
15	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00					
16	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00					
17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00					
18	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00					
19	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00					
20	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00					*
	4									1
Data View	Variable View									
							PASVV Statistics P	rocessor is rea	dy	

Figure 4.5 The completed data file for the coefficient alpha example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

From the menu bar, select Analyze > Scale > Reliability Analysis . . . (see Figure 4.6).

🏢 *Untitle	ed1 [DataSet0] - PAS₩	f Statistics Data Editor							- 🗆 ×
<u>File</u> Edit	<u>V</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ransform	Analyze Graphs Utilities	Add-or	ns <u>Wi</u> ndow	Help				
		Reports Descriptive Statistics	*	計翻	4			00	ABC
		Tables						Visible: 5 of 5	Variables
	meaning1 mea	nir Compare Means	•	meaning5	var	Var	var	Var	va
1	5.00	General Linear Model	*	4.00					*
2	2.00	Generalized Linear Models	•	3.00					
3	1.00	Mixed Models	*	2.00				1	
4	5.00	Correlate	*	5.00					
5	3.00	Regression	*	3.00					
6	5.00	Loglinear		3.00					
7	5.00	Classify		4.00					
8	4.00	Dimension Reduction	-	1 00	- 10 (A)				
9	5.00	Noneremetric Tests		Reliability Ar	nalysis				
10	4.00	Ecrecesting		Multidimensi	onal Unfold	ing (PREFSCAL)			
11	5.00	Survival		Multidimensi	onal Scalinj	g (PROXSCAL)			
12	3.00	Multiple Response		Multidimensi	onal Scalin	g (ALSCAL)			
13	1.00	Missing Value Analysis		1.00					
14	5.00	Multiple Imputation		5.00					
15	5.00	Complex Samples		5.00					
16	4.00	Quality Control		3.00					
17	4.00	ROC Curve		3.00					
18	5.00	5.00 5.00	5.00	5.00					*
	KI.	and the second	-						1
Data View	Variable View								
Reliability And	lysis					PASW Statistics Pi	ocessor is rea	ady	

Figure 4.6 Menu commands for the Reliability Analysis procedure.

2. A *Reliability Analysis* dialog box appears with the variables **meaning1–meaning5** in the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 4.7).

meaning1	tems:	Statistics
meaning2		
Meaning4 meaning5	4	
odel: Alpha	-	

Figure 4.7 The Reliability Analysis dialog box.

3. Select the variable **meaning1** and press and hold down the shift key. With the shift key continued to be held down, select the variable **meaning5**. All five variables should be selected. Click the right-arrow button ([™]) to move the variables to the *Items* box (see Figure 4.8 on page 54).

		*	Items: meaning1 meaning2 meaning3 meaning4 meaning5	Statistics
Model: Scale label:	Alpha 🔻	Paste	Reset Cancel Help	

Figure 4.8 The Reliability Analysis dialog box (continued).

4. Click *Statistics*. The *Reliability Analysis: Statistics* dialog box opens. Under *Descriptives for* select *Item* and *Scale* (see Figure 4.9).

📰 Reliability Analysis: Statistic:	s 🗶
Descriptives for	Inter-Item
Scale if item deleted	
Summaries	ANOVA Table
Means	<u>N</u> one
Zariances	© <u>F</u> test
Covariances	O Friedman chi-sguare
Correlations	O Cochran chi-square
Hotelling's T-square Intraclass correlation coefficient	Tukey's test of additivity
Model. Two-Way Mixed 💌	Type: Consistency 💌
Confidence Interval: 95 %	Test value: 0
Continue	el Help

Figure 4.9 The Reliability Analysis: Statistics dialog box.

- 5. Click Continue.
- 6. Click OK.

The reliability procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the Viewer window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the reliability analysis is displayed in Figure 4.10.

Case Processing Summary

The first table, *Case Processing Summary*, shows the number of cases (participants) used in calculating the reliability of the scale. An *N* of 20 is presented in the table, indicating that all 20 participants were included in the analysis (they had complete data on all five variables).

Reliability Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary									
			Ν	%					
Cases Va	alid		20	10	0.0				
E E	cluded ^a		0		.0				
То	otal		20	10	0.0				
 ^{a.} Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure. Reliability Statistics 									
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Ite	ems] _						
.943	4	5]	The valu alpha for meaning	ie of c r the t i in life	coefficie 5–item e scale.	'n		
Item Statistics									
	Mean		Std. De	viation		N			
meaning1	4.00	00	1.	33771		20			
meaning2	4.00	00	1.	16980		20			
meaning3	2 75		1	20260		20			

meaning1	4.0000	1.33771	20
meaning2	4.0000	1.16980	20
meaning3	3.7500	1.29269	20
meaning4	3.7000	1.30182	20
meaning5	3.6000	1.09545	20

Scale Statistics					
Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items		
19.0500	31.418	5.60521	5		

Figure 4.10 Output for the reliability procedure.

Reliability Statistics

The second table, *Reliability Statistics*, displays the value of coefficient alpha (also called Cronbach's alpha) for the five-item scale. The value of coefficient alpha is .94 (rounded to two decimal places), which indicates a very high degree of internal consistency among the items on the scale.

Item Statistics

The next table, *Item Statistics*, displays the mean, standard deviation, and sample size for each of the items on the scale. Examining the means on the five items shown in the *Item Statistics* table, notice that the participants had the highest mean rating on items 1 (**meaning1**) and 2 (**meaning2**), with an average response of 4.00, which corresponds to a response of "agree" on the scale. The remaining three items had mean responses between 3.60 and 3.75. Item 1 was the most variable, with a standard deviation of approximately 1.34 (the standard deviations were fairly similar across all five items). Overall, the participants' responses on the five items indicated that they found their lives to be fairly meaningful, with a mean response on each of the items either equal to or in the direction of "agree" on the scale (i.e., a value of 4.00).

Scale Statistics

The last table, *Scale Statistics*, provides the mean, variance, standard deviation, and number of items for the total scale (the total scale is equal to the sum of the five items). With a possible range of 5 to 25 on the scale, the mean of the five-item scale is 19.05 with a standard deviation of 5.61. Therefore, the participants rate fairly highly on personal meaning, since the mean scale rating of 19.05 is approaching the high end of the scale. The mean of the scale is an alternative way of assessing where the participants fall on the characteristic of interest, and it is equal to the sum of the means on the five items (4.00 + 4.00 + 3.75 + 3.70 + 3.60 = 19.05).

Expression of the Results

Beginning with this chapter, a brief write-up of the results of each procedure will be presented. In writing the results of a reliability analysis, the value of coefficient alpha and the mean and standard deviation of the entire scale will be presented (the mean and standard deviation of the individual items may also be presented in a table if desired). A sample write-up of the results is presented below.

Written Results

A study was conducted to estimate the internal consistency of the five-item meaning in life scale. Coefficient alpha for the scale was .94, indicating a high degree of internal consistency among the items on the scale. The means of the individual items ranged from 3.65 to 4.00, with a mean on the total scale of 19.05 (SD = 5.61). Overall, the participants' responses on the scale indicated that they possessed a fairly high degree of meaning in their lives. The mean and standard deviation of the items of the meaning in life scale are provided in Figure 4.11.³

Item	М	SD	
1	4.00	1.34	
2	4.00	1.17	
3	3.75	1.29	
4	3.70	1.30	
5	3.60	1.10	

Figure 4.11 The mean and standard deviation of the items of the meaning in life scale.

Summary of Steps for Conducting a Reliability Analysis in SPSS

I. Data Entry and Analysis

- 1. Create as many variables in SPSS as there are items on the scale.
- 2. Enter the participants' responses to each of the items on the scale.
- 3. Select Analyze > Scale > Reliability Analysis
- 4. Move each of the items on the scale to the *Items* box.
- 5. Click Statistics. Under Descriptives for select Item and Scale. Click Continue.
- 6. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

- 1. Note the value of coefficient (Cronbach's) alpha in the *Reliability Statistics* table.
- 2. Inspect the *Item Statistics* table and the *Scale Statistics* table to determine the mean response on the items and total scale, respectively.
- 3. Write the results indicating the value of coefficient alpha and the mean and standard deviation of the total scale (the mean and standard deviation of each of the items may also be presented in a table if desired).

Exercises

1. A researcher administered a seven-item measure of resident satisfaction to 20 nursing home residents, whose responses are provided in Figure 4.12. For each

item1	item2	item3	item4	item5	item6	item7
4	3	5	4	4	3	4
1	2	1	1	5	1	2
4	5	2	3	1	1	1
4	3	2	1	1	4	2
6	5	4	2	2	3	1
4	2	6	6	4	2	3
4	2	1	1	5	4	5
4	3	3	2	5	4	6
4	1	1	2	4	4	2
5	4	6	4	5	7	7
5	6	4	2	2	4	7
2	4	6	5	7	7	5
2	4	6	5	4	4	7
1	1	4	2	2	4	2
2	3	4	4	5	4	2
4	6	2	3	5	5	4
2	4	3	4	2	3	4
2	4	5	6	4	4	5
2	4	6	6	5	4	5
6	4	5	5	6	4	5

Figure 4.12 The seven items of the resident satisfaction scale.

item on the measure, a 1 corresponds to a response of strongly disagree and a 7 corresponds to a response of strongly agree. Higher scores on the scale indicate greater levels of resident satisfaction with the nursing home.

Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **item1–item7**, respectively.

- a. What is the reliability (Cronbach's alpha) of the scale?
- b. How would you characterize the reliability?
- c. Provide a written summary of your reliability analysis. Be sure to include the overall reliability of the scale and the mean and standard deviation of the total scale in your written results.
- 2. The responses of 30 people on a 10-item measure of resilience are provided in the file *Chapter 4_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 4 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/ textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **resilience1** to **resilience10**, respectively). The range of possible responses on each of the items is from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree), with higher scores indicating greater resilience. Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. What is the reliability (Cronbach's alpha) of the scale?
 - b. How would you characterize the reliability?
 - c. Provide a written summary of your reliability analysis. Be sure to include the overall reliability of the scale and the mean and standard deviation of the total scale in your written results.
- 3. A student used two different scales for a research project, with one scale measuring self-confidence and the other scale measuring manual dexterity. He calculated coefficient alpha on the combined scales and found an overall reliability coefficient of .41. Why might the reliability be so low?
Inferential Statistics

U nit II is the heart of the text and consists of many commonly used inferential procedures. The following chapters are included in the second unit: the one-sample t test (Chapter 5), the independent-samples t test (Chapter 6), the dependent-samples t test (Chapter 7), the one-way between subjects ANOVA (Chapter 8), the two-way between subjects ANOVA (Chapter 9), the one-way within subjects ANOVA (Chapter 10), the one-between–one-within subjects ANOVA (Chapter 11), correlation (Chapter 12), simple regression (Chapter 13), multiple regression (Chapter 14), the chi-square goodness of fit test (Chapter 15), and the chi-square test of independence (Chapter 16).

Before starting Chapter 5 (the one-sample *t* test), it would be beneficial to review some terminology relevant to hypothesis testing. If you are new to statistics, consulting a chapter on hypothesis testing from an introductory statistics textbook may also be helpful.

Samples and Populations

In statistics, we frequently talk about samples and populations. A **population** consists of an entire collection of events of interest and a **sample** is a smaller part (or subset) of the population. Suppose, for example, that we wanted to conduct research on cell phone usage in the United States and randomly selected 200 cell phone users from various U.S. cities. All people who own a cell phone in the United States would make up the population, and the 200 people selected for the study would make up the sample. In practice, we almost always work with samples, as populations are either too costly or difficult to obtain.

Inferential Statistics

Inferential statistics are statistical procedures that use samples to make generalizations about populations. For example, consider trying to forecast the winner in a presidential election. Using inferential statistics, with a relatively small sample (e.g., 2000 people), statements about the population of *all* U.S. voters can be made with a fairly high degree of accuracy (assuming the sample is representative of the population from which it comes). Inferential statistics are extremely useful because they allow us to draw conclusions about populations based on limited information (i.e., samples).

Hypothesis Testing

When we use a sample to make an inference about a population, we engage in a process known as **hypothesis testing**. In hypothesis testing, it is customary to state two hypotheses, a **null hypothesis** and an **alternative hypothesis**. The **null hypothesis** typically states that a treatment did *not* have an effect, while the **alternative hypothesis** states that the treatment had an effect. Suppose, for example, that a new therapy is being evaluated to determine if it helps people who are suffering from depression. The null hypothesis would state that the therapy did *not* have an effect on depression (i.e., it did not change the way people felt), while the alternative hypothesis would state that the therapy hypothesis would state the null hypothesis would state that the therapy had an effect on depression (i.e., it changed the way people felt). In hypothesis testing, we evaluate the null

hypothesis with the purpose of either rejecting it or not rejecting it. If, based on the results found in our study, the null hypothesis seems reasonable, we do *not* reject it. If, however, the null hypothesis seems unreasonable, we reject it (and side with the alternative hypothesis).

One-Tailed and Two-Tailed Tests

Hypotheses are evaluated using either a **two-tailed** test or a **one-tailed** test. A two-tailed test is used when a treatment is evaluated to see whether it has an impact in either direction (to see if scores are higher *or* lower), while a one-tailed test is used when the intent is to investigate only a single direction (*only* higher or *only* lower). Consider an example where two different therapies are investigated to see which one is more effective at helping people with anxiety (we'll refer to the therapies as therapy A and therapy B). A two-tailed test would allow for the possibility of *either* therapy being more effective (i.e., either A < B or B < A), while a one-tailed test would specify beforehand a single direction to be investigated (e.g., A < B). The advantage of a one-tailed test is that it has a greater chance of finding the effect (assuming it exists in the hypothesized direction); the disadvantage of a one-tailed test is that if the effect is located in the opposite direction of what was anticipated, it cannot be declared. In practice, two-tailed tests are more common in research, and for this reason they will be emphasized in this text.

Type I and Type II Errors

Recall that in hypothesis testing samples are used to make inferences about populations. Because samples are incomplete "pictures" of populations, it is possible to make a mistake in the hypothesis testing process. There are two different types of mistakes that can be made—a Type I error and a Type II error. A **Type I error** occurs if the null hypothesis is rejected when it is true (if it is true, it should not have been rejected). A **Type II error** occurs if the null hypothesis is *not* rejected when it is false (if it is false, it should have been rejected). Both are errors in hypothesis testing since the conclusion made from the hypothesis test is contrary to the true situation.

Power

While Type I and Type II errors are mistakes in hypothesis testing, power is concerned with making a correct decision. **Power** is equal to the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis when it is false (if the null hypothesis is false and it is rejected, a correct decision has been made). Power ranges from 0 to 1, with higher values indicating greater power. Power of .80, for example, means that there is an 80% chance of rejecting the null hypothesis prior to conducting the study. (Put another way, if a study was conducted many times, power of .80 means that 80% of the time the null hypothesis would be rejected—a correct decision—and 20% of the time it would not be rejected—a Type II error.)

Sampling Error

Sampling error is a very important concept in inferential statistics and it's one that, if understood, will make following the logic of hypothesis testing easier. Consider a problem where two different strategies for remembering words are compared. Suppose that there is no difference between the two strategies so that whichever strategy is used, the number of words recalled is the same *in the population*. Imagine that I took a sample of 10 people and gave them the first strategy, and then I took a sample of another 10 people and gave them the second strategy, and then compared the number of words recalled for the two groups. While the strategies result in the same number of words recalled *in the population*, it is extremely unlikely that the number of words recalled for the two groups will be the same *in the samples*. This is because samples are subsets of the population, and since only part of the population is taken, it will not be represented perfectly. Generally speaking, the smaller the sample, the larger the discrepancy between the sample and the population. It is supposed to be the sample and the population is known as **sampling error**. It is

a normal part of statistics and it's important to keep it in mind in the following chapters. When different samples are drawn from the same population, the *samples* will typically not be the same (e.g., they will not have the same mean).

p-Values

Continuing with the word strategy example, if samples are not typically the same when drawn from a population, how can we determine whether there is really a meaningful difference between the samples (i.e., one strategy is really better than the other in the population), or if the difference is just due to sampling error (i.e., the strategies are the same in the population)? This decision is made based on the *p*-value obtained from the output in SPSS. A *p*-value indicates the exact probability of obtaining the specific results (or results even more extreme) if the null hypothesis is true. For example, in the learning strategy problem described previously, let's assume there was a difference of two words recalled between the strategies with a *p*-value of .03. The *p*-value of .03 would indicate that there is only a 3% chance of getting a difference of two words (or more) between the groups *if the null hypothesis was true*. In hypothesis testing, the *p*-value for a test is compared to a predetermined value, known as alpha (represented by the symbol α), and based on that comparison, a decision is made about the null hypothesis. In this text, we will use an alpha level of .05 (the most commonly used value in the social and behavioral sciences) and evaluate the *p*-value against that level.

The process of evaluating the *p*-value is as follows:

If the *p*-value is *less than or equal to .05* (alpha), the null hypothesis is *rejected* (a difference between the strategies is assumed).

If the *p*-value is *greater than* .05 (alpha), the null hypothesis is *not rejected* (a difference between the strategies is not assumed).

In SPSS the *p*-value is reported as "sig."

The decision process for hypothesis testing is summarized in the following table.

<i>p</i> -value (reported as "sig." in SPSS)	Decision
If $p \leq .05$	Reject the null hypothesis
If $p > .05$	Do not reject the null hypothesis

Consider the examples shown in the table below. The first example shows a *p*-value of .020. With an alpha of .05, what decision would be made about the null hypothesis? Since the *p*-value of .02 is less than .05, the null hypothesis is rejected. In examples 2 and 3, the null hypothesis would not be rejected since both *p*-values (.080 and .521) are greater than .05.

Example	<i>p</i> -value (reported as "sig." in SPSS)	Decision (using an alpha of .05)	Interpretation
1	.020	Reject the null hypothesis	Side with the alternative hypothesis
2	.080	Do not reject the null hypothesis	Side with the null hypothesis
3	.521	Do not reject the null hypothesis	Side with the null hypothesis

While an alpha of .05 will be used in this text, if you wish to use another value (such as .01 or .001), all you have to do is adjust your decision rule. If alpha of .01 was used, for example, all three *p*-values in the preceding table would lead to the null hypothesis *not* being rejected since all values are greater than .01. As is shown in this example, the value of alpha (.05 vs. .01) can make a difference in the conclusion made about the null hypothesis (i.e., whether it is rejected or not).

For each of the chapters in this unit, we will examine a *p*-value, and using the process detailed above, we will either reject or not reject the null hypothesis. If the null hypothesis is rejected, the result is often referred to as being "significant" or "statistically significant." If the null hypothesis is not rejected, the result is referred to as "not significant."

Effect Sizes

While hypothesis testing is a powerful tool for making inferences about populations, it is important to recognize what hypothesis testing does and does not tell us. Considering our word strategy problem again, hypothesis testing allows us to conclude, with a reasonable degree of assurance, whether or not the two strategies are *different* in the population. What hypothesis testing fails to indicate, however, is *how different* the groups are (i.e., hypothesis testing indicates that the groups are different but doesn't indicate whether the difference is small, moderate, or large). One way to describe the degree of difference between the groups is by calculating an effect size. **Effect sizes** indicate the magnitude of the results in our study. Effect sizes are described further in Chapter 5 and will be illustrated for several of the procedures described in Unit II.

This concludes our introduction to Unit II. Next we'll begin with the one-sample t test in Chapter 5.

The One-Sample t Test

The one-sample t test is used when the mean of one sample is compared to some known or estimated population mean. The sample is typically different from the population in some way, and the question of interest is whether the mean of the sample differs significantly from the mean of the population on a dependent variable of interest. An example of a one-sample t test is presented below.

Example

An industrial psychologist hired by a leading accounting firm wanted to know if the average number of hours worked per week at the firm was significantly different from the national average of 52 hours for accountants. The industrial psychologist randomly sampled 16 people from different divisions within the firm and recorded the average number of hours they worked per week over a threemonth period. The dependent variable in this study is the number of hours worked per week.

Objective and Data Requirements of the One-Sample t Test

The One-Sample <i>t</i> Test				
Objective	Data Requirements	Example		
To determine whether the mean of a sample differs	One sample of participants	Accountants at leading accounting firm		
significantly from some	Dependent variable	Dependent variable		
known or estimated population mean.	Continuous	 Number of hours worked per week 		

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

The null hypothesis (H_0) states that the number of hours worked per week at the leading accounting firm is equal to the national average of 52 hours:

$$H_0: \mu = 52$$

The alternative hypothesis (H_1) states that the number of hours worked per week at the leading accounting firm is not equal to the national average of 52 hours:

$$H_1: \mu \neq 52$$

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The one-sample *t* test provides a test of the null hypothesis that the number of hours worked per week at the accounting firm is equal to 52 hours. If the *t* test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the *t* test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Question

The fundamental question of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of a research question, such as,

"Is the number of hours worked per week for employees at a leading accounting firm different from the national average of 52 hours?"

The Data

The data for the 16 participants are presented in Figure 5.1.

Participant	Hours worked per week
1	54
2	48
3	68
4	53
5	60
6	45
7	57
8	62
9	71
10	60
11	55
12	63
13	68
14	64
15	56
16	60

Figure 5.1 The number of hours worked per week by the 16 employees at the leading accounting firm. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 below describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *hours worked.sav* in the Chapter 5 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variable

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the *Variable View* tab.

In SPSS, one variable will be created for the number of hours worked per week by the employees at the leading accounting firm. The variable will be named **hoursweek**.

3. Enter the name **hoursweek** and press the down arrow key (\downarrow) . See Figure 5.2 for details.

36				1	<i>8</i> 1 		\$≥			3	
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	hoursweek	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	🖉 Scale	🦒 Input
6	1										

Figure 5.2 The Variable View window with the variable hoursweek entered.

Step 2: Enter the Data

- 1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variable **hoursweek** appears in the first column of the *Data View* window.
- 2. To enter the data for **hoursweek**, make sure the first cell in the upper left-hand corner of the window is active (if it isn't active, then click on it). Enter the number of hours worked for each of the participants, beginning with the first participant's value (54) and continuing until the last participant's value (60) has been entered. (After entering the value for a participant, press either the down-arrow key (\downarrow) or the *Enter* button to move to the next row in the *Data View* window.) The completed data file in presented in Figure 5.3.

		K	2
8 : hoursweel	(Visik	ole: 1 of 1 Va	ariable:
	hoursweek	var	
1	54.00		-
2	48.00		
3	68.00		
4	53.00		
5	60.00		
6	45.00		
7	57.00		
8	62.00		
9	71.00		
10	60.00		
11	55.00		
12	63.00		
13	68.00		
14	64.00		
15	56.00		
16	60.00		-
	1		1

Figure 5.3 The completed data file for the one-sample *t* test example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

1. From the menu bar, select **Analyze** > **Compare Means** > **One-Sample T Test**... (see Figure 5.4).

🏢 *Untitle	ed1 [DataSet0] - PAS	W Statistics Data Editor								- 🗆 ×
<u>File Edit</u>	⊻iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ransfor	m <u>Analyze</u> <u>G</u> raphs <u>Utilities</u>	Add-or	ns <u>Wi</u> ndow	Help					
		Reports Descriptive Statistics	*	# #			4		0	ABG
	1	Tables		-			100	1	Visible: 1 of	Variables
	hoursweek	Var Compare Means		Means				var	var	Vő
1	54.00	Generalized Linear Models		Cone-Samp	ole T Test					-
2	48.00	Mixed Models		Independe	ent-Samples	s T Test	-			
3	68.00	Correlate		Paired-Sa	mples T Te:	st				
4	53.00	Regression		One-Way	ANOVA		-	-		
5	60.00	Loginear	• 1							
6	45.00	Classify								
7	57.00	Dimension Reduction								
8	62.00	Scale								
9	71.00	Nonparametric Tests								
10	60.00	Forecasting	•							
11	55.00	Survival	•							
12	63.00	Muttiple Response	•							
13	68.00	Missing Value Analysis								
14	64.00	Multiple Imputation	•							
15	56.00	Complex Samples								
16	60.00	Quality Control								
17		ROC Curve								
18										Ŧ
-	1		-	***						4
Data View	Variable View									
One-Sample	Test					PASW St	atistics Pr	ocessor is read	ly 🗌	

Figure 5.4 Menu commands for the one-sample *t* test.

2. A *One-Sample T Test* dialog box appears with the variable **hoursweek** on the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 5.5).

Noursweek	Test Variable(s):	
	\$	
	Test ⊻alue: 0	

Figure 5.5 The One-Sample T Test dialog box.

- 3. Select the dependent variable, **hoursweek**, and click the right-arrow button () to move it into the *Test Variable(s)* box.
- 4. In the *Test Value* box, enter 52. This is the value that is specified in the null hypothesis. See Figure 5.6 (page 66) for details. [*Note*: This step is critical and is often overlooked. If the default value of zero is left in the *Test Value* box, the one-sample *t* would test whether the employees at the leading accounting firm work significantly

One-Sample T Test		\mathbf{X}	
	Test Variable(s):	OK Paste Reset Cancel Help	The value specified in the nul
			hypothesis (52) goes into the

Figure 5.6 The One-Sample T Test dialog box (continued).

different from *zero* hours per week (which they certainly should!). Be sure to always enter the value specified in the null hypothesis (in this case, 52) into the *Test Value* box when performing a one-sample *t* test in SPSS.]

5. Click OK.

The one-sample *t* test procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the one-sample *t* test is displayed in Figure 5.7.



Figure 5.7 Output for the one-sample *t* test.

One-Sample Statistics Table

The first table of output, *One-Sample Statistics*, displays the sample size (*N*), mean, standard deviation, and standard error of the mean for the sample. Notice that the average number of hours worked per week at the leading accounting firm is 59, which is 7 hours more than the national average of 52. Whether this difference (of 7 hours) is large enough to be statistically significant will be considered next.

One-Sample Test Table

The next table, *One-Sample Test*, provides the answer to our research question, that is, whether the average number of hours worked at a leading accounting firm is different from the national average of 52 hours. The test of the null hypothesis is provided in the form of a *t*, where:

 $t = \frac{\text{Difference between the mean of the sample and the mean of the population}}{\text{Standard error of the mean}}$

Substituting the appropriate values (*Mean Difference*, *Std. Error Mean*) from the *One-Sample Test* table yields a *t* value of

$$t = \frac{7}{1.78652} = 3.918$$

which agrees with the value provided in the *One-Sample Test* table in column *t*. With 16 participants, the degrees of freedom (*df*) are equal to 15 (number of participants -1), with a corresponding *p*-value of .001. Because the *p*-value of .001 is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the average number of hours worked per week at the leading accounting firm is equal to 52 is rejected. Since the test is significant, inspecting the mean (once again) for the 16 participants from the *One-Sample Statistics* table shows that the average number of hours worked at the accounting firm (59) was significantly higher than the national average of 52.

Effect Sizes

As was described in the Unit II introduction, hypothesis testing indicates whether or not there is a significant difference between the groups (answering a yes/no question), while effect sizes provide an indication of the *magnitude* of the results (answering a "To what degree?" question). In the current example, with hypothesis testing we concluded that there was a difference in the number of hours worked between the employees at the leading accounting firm and the national average. An effect size will now be calculated to express how large that difference is.

A commonly used effect size statistic for the one-sample t test is Cohen's d, where

$d = \frac{\text{Difference between the mean of the sample and the mean of the population}}{\text{Standard deviation}}$

Substituting the appropriate values from the One-Sample Test table in Figure 5.7 (Mean Difference, Std. Deviation) yields a d of

$$d = \frac{7}{7.15} = .98$$

Jacob Cohen (1988), a pioneer in the development of effect size measures, provided guidelines for what constitutes small, medium, and large effect sizes in practice. While the guidelines provided by Cohen are in widespread use (and will also be used in this text), it should be noted that they are only approximations (a sentiment stressed by Cohen) and can vary considerably across disciplines.

Cohen's guidelines for small, medium, and large effect sizes for the one-sample *t* test are .20, .50, and .80, respectively. These values indicate the amount of difference between the sample mean and the population mean in terms of standard deviation units. Therefore, a value of .20 indicates one-fifth of a standard deviation difference between the groups, .50 indicates one-half of a standard deviation difference, and .80 indicates eight-tenths of a standard deviation difference. Using Cohen's conventions, a *d* of .98 corresponds to a large effect in practice and indicates a difference of almost 1 standard deviation in the number of hours worked between the employees at the leading accounting firm and the national average for accountants (with the employees at the leading accounting firm working more).¹

Expression of the Results in APA Format

For each of the chapters in Unit II, a write-up of the results will be provided using the format of the American Psychological Association (APA). Specific guidelines for APA format may be found in the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (2009).²

In writing the results, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the degrees of freedom, the *t* value, the *p*-value, and effect size are reported along with the mean and standard deviation of the sample. An example of a brief write-up in APA format is presented next.

Written Results

Employees at a leading accounting firm (M = 59.00, SD = 7.15) work significantly more hours per week than the national average of 52 hours, t(15) = 3.92, p < .05, d = 0.98.

Assumptions of the One-Sample t Test

For each of the chapters in Unit II, the assumptions of the statistical procedures will be described. Assumptions are important because, if they are not met, the results of a given statistical procedure can be untrustworthy (i.e., the *p*-values can be inaccurate). Whether a test is compromised by an assumption violation, however, depends both on the specific assumption that is violated (some assumptions are much worse than others to violate) and the degree to which the assumption is not met. The assumptions of the one-sample *t* test are described next.

1. The observations are independent.

This assumption should be satisfied by designing your study so the participants do not influence each other in any way. Violating this assumption can seriously compromise the accuracy of the one-sample t test. If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, the one-sample t test should not be used.

2. The dependent variable is normally distributed in the population. This assumption means that the number of hours worked per week should be normally distributed in the population. For moderate to large samples sizes ($N \ge 30$), most types of nonnormal distributions tend to have relatively little impact on the accuracy of the *t* test, although some nonnormal distributions can adversely affect the power of the *t* test. One remedy for nonnormal data is to perform a nonlinear transformation on the data; nonlinear transformations, however, are beyond the scope of this text [the interested reader is referred to Tabachnick and Fidell (2007) for more information on nonlinear transformations].

Summary of Steps for Conducting a One-Sample *t* Test in SPSS

I. Data Entry and Analysis

- 1. Create one variable in SPSS.
- 2. Enter the data.
- 3. Select Analyze > Compare Means > One-Sample T Test
- 4. Move the dependent variable to the *Test Variable(s)* box.
- 5. In the Test Value box, enter the value specified in the null hypothesis.
- 6. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

- 1. Check the *p*-value (reported as "sig." (2-tailed)) in the One-Sample Test table.
 - If $p \le .05$, the null hypothesis is rejected. Compare the mean of the sample to the mean of the population and write the results indicating whether the sample mean is greater than or less than the population mean.
 - If p > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected. Write the results indicating that there is not a significant difference between the mean of the sample and the mean of the population.

Exercises

 For a research project, a student wanted to test whether people who claim to be successful at picking winning teams in football are able to select "winners" at different than chance levels (i.e., picking winners more or less than 50% of the time). She identifies 15 people who advertise their ability to pick "winners" and records the percentage of correct picks for each person over an entire football season. The percentage of correct picks for the 15 "prognosticators" is presented in Figure 5.8.

Prognosticator	Percentage of correct picks
1	45
2	46
3	47
4	52
5	51
6	43
7	47
8	38
9	53
10	51
11	52
12	50
13	48
14	47
15	51

Figure 5.8 Percentage of correct picks for the 15 prognosticators.

Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below (name the variable **success**). (*Hint*: For this problem, use a *Test Value* of 50 and do not include a % sign when you enter the data.)

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Do the prognosticators pick winners at a rate different from 50%? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- e. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. The mean score on a standardized math skills test is known to be 50 for the entire U.S. population of fourth graders (with higher scores indicating better perfor-mance). A new math skills training program was being used at a local school dis-trict, and an administrator was charged with the task of evaluating whether the children under the new program have performance that is different from the national average (preferably the performance is higher than the national average, but test for either possibility). Twenty-five fourth graders who were instructed using the math skills program were administered the standardized math exam. The exam scores for the students are provided in the file *Chapter 5_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 5 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variable is named **mathscore**). Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
 - b. State a research question for the data.
 - c. Are the scores on the math exam for the fourth graders different from the national average? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
 - d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
 - e. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.

3. A researcher wanted to examine whether students were either in favor of or opposed to a presidential candidate. Fifty students were asked to indicate which of the following statements best reflects their opinion about the candidate.

Statement	Numeric value in the data file
I am strongly in favor of the candidate.	1
I am somewhat in favor of the candidate.	2
I am neither in favor of nor opposed to the candidate.	3
I am somewhat opposed to the candidate.	4
I am strongly opposed to the candidate.	5

The responses of the 50 participants are provided in the file *Chapter 5_ Exercise 3.sav* in the Chapter 5 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/ textbooks/9780205735822 (the variable is named **score**). Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. (*Hint*: To investigate students' attitudes toward the candidate, test to see whether they are different from a neutral response–which implies a value of "3" in the *Test Value* **b**ox.State the null and alternative hypotheses.

- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Are the students either in favor of or opposed to the candidate? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- e. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.

The Independent-Samples t Test

The independent-samples t test is used when the means of two independent groups are compared on a continuous dependent variable of interest. An example of an independent-samples t test is presented next.

Example

A clinical psychologist wanted to investigate the relative effectiveness of cognitive-behavioral therapy and psychoanalytic therapy on depression. Thirty people suffering from depression were randomly assigned to receive one of the two therapies, with 15 people receiving cognitive-behavioral therapy and 15 receiving psychoanalytic therapy. After two months of therapy, the depression score for each participant was recorded. The independent variable in this study is the type of therapy (cognitivebehavioral, psychoanalytic) and the dependent variable is depression, with higher scores representing greater depression levels (the range of possible scores on the depression scale is from 10 to 70).

Objective and Data Requirements of the Independent-Samples *t* Test

Objective	Data Requirements	Example		
To test whether the means of two groups differ significantly on some	One independent variable with two separate groups	Independent variableType of therapy (cognitive- behavioral, psychoanalytic)		
dependent variable of interest.	One continuous dependent variable	Dependent variable Depression 		

Independent-Samples t Test

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

The null hypothesis states that the mean depression scores for the two groups are equal in the population:

 $H_0: \mu_{\text{psychoanalytic}} = \mu_{\text{cognitive-behavioral}}$

The alternative hypothesis states that the mean depression scores for the two groups are not equal in the population:

 $H_1: \mu_{\text{psychoanalytic}} \neq \mu_{\text{cognitive-behavioral}}$

CHAPTER

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The independent-samples t test provides a test of the null hypothesis that the population means for the two groups are equal. If the t test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If t test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Question

The fundamental question of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of a research question, such as,

"Is there a difference in the average depression levels between those who received cognitive-behavioral therapy and those who received psychoanalytic therapy?"

The Data

The data for the 30 participants are presented in Figure 6.1. The participants who received psychoanalytic therapy are assigned a "1" and those who received cognitive-behavioral therapy are assigned a "2."

Participant	Therapy	Depression	Participant	Therapy	Depression
1	1	57	16	2	47
2	1	61	17	2	42
3	1	67	18	2	59
4	1	63	19	2	37
5	1	51	20	2	35
6	1	55	21	2	42
7	1	45	22	2	38
8	1	62	23	2	49
9	1	41	24	2	61
10	1	36	25	2	43
11	1	55	26	2	47
12	1	57	27	2	49
13	1	70	28	2	37
14	1	62	29	2	41
15	1	58	30	2	48

Figure 6.1 The data for the independent-samples *t* test example. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 below describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *therapy.sav* in the Chapter 6 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, two variables will be created, one for the different therapy groups (the independent variable) and one for the depression scores (the dependent variable). The variables will be named **therapy** and **depression**, respectively. 3. Enter the variable names **therapy** and **depression**, respectively, in the first two rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 6.2 for details).

Eat		ransform Ana	yze <u>G</u> raphs	Lutities Ad	d-ons Window		- 4 <u>2</u> -		00	ABG		
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Rale	-
1	therapy	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	# Scale	> Input	٦
2	depression	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	/ Scale	> Input	
3												
	1											P

Figure 6.2 The Variable View window with the variables therapy and depression entered.

4. Using the process described in Chapter 1, create value labels for the variable **therapy**. For **therapy**, 1 = "psychoanalytic" and 2 = "cognitive-behavioral."

Step 2: Enter the Data

- 1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **therapy** and **depression** appear in the first two columns of the *Data View* window.
- 2. Consulting Figure 6.1, enter the values for each of the participants on the two variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the values *1* and 57 for the variables **therapy** and **depression**, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 30 participants. The completed data set is presented in Figure 6.3.

therapy 1 2 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 5 1 6 11 6 10 11 12 13 14 15 17 18 20 21 22 21 22 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 23	depression 00 57.00 00 61.00 00 63.00 00 63.00 00 55.00 00 45.00 00 62.00 00 45.00 00 62.00 00 56.00 00 56.00 00 56.00 00 56.00 00 56.00 00 56.00 00 56.00 00 57.00 00 58.00 00 45.00	For therapy, notice that the first 15 participants receive a 1 (the psychoanalytic group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples <i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	yar
Image Image 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 1 7 1 8 1 10 1 11 1 12 1 13 1 16 1 17 2 18 2 19 2 20 2 21 2 22 2 22 2	depression 00 57.00 00 61.00 00 63.00 00 55.00 00 55.00 00 45.00 00 62.00 00 45.00 00 55.00 00 45.00 00 55.00 00 56.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 58.00 00 48.00	For therapy, notice that the first 15 participants receive a 1 (the psychoanalytic group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples <i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	var
therapy 1 1 2 11 3 11 4 11 5 11 6 11 7 11 8 11 9 11 10 11 11 11 12 11 13 11 14 11 15 11 16 22 17 22 20 22 21 22 22 22 22 22	depression 00 57.00 00 61.00 00 67.00 00 63.00 00 55.00 00 45.00 00 62.00 00 62.00 00 55.00 00 46.00 00 62.00 00 56.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 62.00 00 62.00 00 62.00 00 62.00	Var var var var var For therapy, notice that the first 15 participants receive a 1 (the psychoanalytic group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples t test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression, is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	var
therapy 1 11 2 11 3 11 4 11 5 11 6 11 7 11 8 11 10 11 11 11 12 11 13 11 16 22 17 22 18 22 19 22 20 22 21 22 22 22	depression depression 00 67.00 00 67.00 00 67.00 00 67.00 00 67.00 00 65.00 00 55.00 00 46.00 00 662.00 00 36.00 00 36.00 00 57.00 00 70.00 00 62.00 00 46.00 00 57.00 00 58.00 00 47.00	For therapy, notice that the first 15 participants receive a 1 (the psychoanalytic group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples <i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	V8/
1 1.1 2 1.1 3 1.1 4 1.1 6 1.1 7 1.1 8 1.1 9 1.1 10 1.1 11 1.1 12 1.1 13 1.1 15 1.1 16 2.2 17 2.1 18 2.2 19 2.1 20 2.2 21 2.2 22 2.2	00 57.00 00 61.00 00 67.00 00 67.00 00 51.00 00 55.00 00 45.00 00 62.00 00 45.00 00 45.00 00 55.00 00 55.00 00 55.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 58.00 00 58.00 00 58.00	For therapy , notice that the first 15 participants receive a 1 (the psychoanalytic group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive—behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples <i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one—way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
2 11 3 11 4 11 5 11 6 11 7 11 10 11 11 11 12 11 13 11 14 11 15 11 16 22 19 20 20 22 22 22 22 22	00 61.00 00 67.00 00 63.00 00 51.00 00 55.00 00 45.00 00 62.00 00 36.00 00 55.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 58.00 00 58.00 00 42.00	For therapy , notice that the first 15 participants receive a 1 (the psychoanalytic group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples <i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
3 1.1 6 1.1 6 1.1 7 1.1 8 1.1 9 1.1 10 1.1 11 1.1 12 1.1 13 1.1 14 1.1 15 1.1 16 2.2 19 2.2 20 2.2 21 2.2 22 2.2	00 67.00 00 63.00 00 51.00 00 56.00 00 46.00 00 46.00 00 41.00 00 36.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 62.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 42.00	For therapy , notice that the first 15 participants receive a 1 (the psychoanalytic group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples <i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
4 11 5 11 6 11 7 11 8 11 10 11 11 11 12 11 13 11 16 22 17 21 18 22 19 22 20 22 21 22 22 22 22 22	00 63.00 00 51.00 00 55.00 00 45.00 00 62.00 00 66.00 00 56.00 00 36.00 00 56.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 58.00 00 58.00 00 42.02	participants receive a 1 (the psychoanalytic group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples t test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
5 11. 6 11. 7 11. 8 11. 9 11. 10 11. 11 11. 12 11. 13 11. 14 11. 15 11. 16 22. 17 22. 20 22. 21 22. 22. 22. 22. 22.	00 51.00 00 55.00 00 45.00 00 82.00 00 41.00 00 36.00 00 55.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 57.00 00 58.00 00 58.00 00 47.00	participants receive a 1 (the psychoanalytic group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples <i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
6 11. 7 11. 8 10. 9 11. 10 10. 11 11. 12 10. 13 11. 14 10. 15 11. 16 22. 17 20. 20 22. 22 22. 22 22. 22 22.	00 55.00 00 46.00 00 62.00 00 41.00 00 36.00 00 55.00 00 57.00 00 70.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 42.00	group) and the second 15 participants receive a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples <i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
7 1.1 8 1.1 9 1.1 10 1.1 11 1.1 12 1.1 13 1.1 14 1.1 16 2.1 17 2.2 19 2.2 20 2.2 21 2.2 22 2.2	00 45.00 00 62.00 00 41.00 00 36.00 00 55.00 00 57.00 00 62.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 42.00 00 42.00	a 2 (the cognitive-behavioral group). This is the general setup for the independent samples <i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
8 11. 9 11. 10 11. 11 11. 12 11. 13 11. 14 11. 15 11. 16 22. 17 2. 18 2. 19 2. 20 2. 21 2. 22 2.2 22 2.2	00 62.00 00 41.00 00 36.00 00 55.00 00 57.00 00 62.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 58.00 00 47.00	the general setup for the independent samples t test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one–way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
9 1.1 10 1.1 11 1.1 12 1.1 13 1.1 14 1.1 15 1.1 16 2.1 17 2.0 19 2.1 20 2.2 21 2.2 22 2.2	00 41.00 00 36.00 00 55.00 00 57.00 00 70.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 47.00	<i>t</i> test and it is used to differentiate between the groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one–way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
10 11 11 11 12 11 13 11 14 11 15 11 16 22 17 20 20 22 21 22 22 22 20 20	00 36.00 00 55.00 00 57.00 00 70.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 47.00	groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one–way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
11 1.1 12 1.1 13 1.1 14 1.1 15 1.1 16 1.2 17 2.2 18 2.2 19 2.2 20 2.2 21 2.2 22 2.2	00 55.00 00 57.00 00 70.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 47.00	groups the participants are in. The second variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one–way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
12 1.1 13 1.1 14 1.1 15 1.1 16 2.1 17 2.2 18 2.1 19 2.2 21 2.1 22 2.2 23 2.2	00 57.00 00 70.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 47.00	variable, depression , is for the scores on the dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one–way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
13 1.1 14 1.0 15 1.1 16 2.0 17 2.0 20 2.2 21 2.0 22 2.0	00 70.00 00 62.00 00 58.00 00 47.00	dependent variable. We'll build on this approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
14 11 15 11 16 21 17 22 18 22 19 21 20 21 21 22 22 22	00 62.00 00 58.00 00 47.00	approach with the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8.	
15 1.1 16 2.1 17 2.1 18 2.1 19 2.1 20 2.1 21 2.1 22 2.1	00 58.00 00 47.00	Chapter 8.	
16 21 17 21 18 21 19 21 20 21 21 21 22 22	00 47.00	Chapter 8.	
17 2.1 18 2.1 19 2.1 20 2.1 21 2.1 22 2.1	40.07		
18 2.1 19 2.1 20 2.1 21 2.1 22 2.1	.00 42.00		
19 2. 20 2. 21 2. 22 2.	00 59.00		
20 2. 21 2. 22 2.	00 37.00		
21 2. 22 2.	00 35.00		
22 2.	00 42.00		
00	00 38.00		
23 2.	00 49.00		
24 2.	00 61.00		
25 2.	00 43.00		
26 2.	00 47.00		
27 2.	00 49.00		
28 2.	.00 37.00		
29 2.	00 41.00		
30 2.	00 48.00		
4			-
ta View		111	

Figure 6.3 The completed data file for the independent-samples *t* test example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

 From the menu bar, select Analyze > Compare Means > Independent-Samples T Test (see Figure 6.4).

Eile Edit	d 1 [DataSet0] - View <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	PASW Stati	istics Data Editor alyze <u>G</u> raphs <u>U</u> tilities /	Add- <u>o</u> i	ns <u>W</u> indov	/ <u>H</u> elp		N 5			- 🗆 ×
			Reports Descriptive Statistics	*					14		ABS
			Tables							Visible: 2 of 2	2 Variables
	therapy	depres:	Compare Means	*	Means.				var	Var	Va
1	1.00	e	General Linear Model	*	One-Sa	mple T Test					*
2	1.00	В	Generalized Linear Models	•	IN indepen	dent-Sampler	TTest				
3	1.00	В	Mixed Models	*		nienę-semple.					
4	1.00	8	<u>C</u> orrelate	*	Pared-	Samples I Te	s 1				
5	1.00	6	Regression	*	One-W	ay ANOVA					
6	1.00	6	Loglinear	1							
7	1.00	4	Classify	1							
8	1.00	в	Dimension Reduction	2							
9	1.00	4	Scale	1							
10	1.00	3	Nonparametric rests								
11	1.00	e	Survival								
12	1.00	6	Multinle Resnanse								
13	1.00	7 6	Missing Value Analysis								
14	1.00	8	Multiple Imputation								
15	1.00	6	Complex Samples								
16	2.00	4	Quality Control								
17	2.00	4 🖉	ROC Curve								
18	2.00	59.0									
19	2.00	37.0	0								*
	1										4
Data View	Variable View										
Independent-S	Samples T Test						PASW S	tatistics P	Processor is re	ady	

Figure 6.4 Menu commands for the independent-samples *t* test.

An *Independent-Samples T Test* dialog box appears with the variables **therapy** and **depression** in the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 6.5).

- 2. Select the dependent variable, **depression**, and click the upper right-arrow button () to move it into the *Test Variable(s)* box.
- 3. Select the independent variable, **therapy**, and click the lower right-arrow button (**■**) to move it into the *Grouping Variable* box.

A therapy	Test Varia	able(s):	ions
depression	•		
		Variable:	
	Define G	roups	

Figure 6.5 The *Independent-Samples T Test* dialog box.

In the *Grouping Variable* box, two question marks enclosed in parentheses appear to the right of **therapy** (see Figure 6.6). The question marks indicate that the numbers originally assigned to the two therapy groups (i.e., 1 and 2) need to be entered by clicking the *Define Groups* button.

🔡 Independent-Samples T Test		×
OK Paste	Test Variable(s): depression Grouping Variable: Therapy(? ?) Define Groups Reset Cancel Help	ptions

Figure 6.6 The Independent-Samples T Test dialog box (continued).

- 4. Click Define Groups.
- 5. The *Define Groups* dialog box opens. Enter a *1* to the right of *Group 1* (the number assigned to the psychoanalytic group), and a 2 to the right of *Group 2* (the number assigned to the cognitive-behavioral group). See Figure 6.7 for details.

🔢 Define G	roups 🗴
I Use specif	ied values
Group <u>1</u> :	1
Group <u>2</u> :	2
© ⊆ut point:	
Continue	Cancel Help

Figure 6.7 The *Define Groups* dialog box.

- 6. Click Continue
- 7. Click OK.

The independent-samples *t* test procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the independent samples *t* test is displayed in Figure 6.8 on page 76.

Group Statistics Table

The first table of output, *Group Statistics*, displays the descriptive statistics including the sample size, mean, standard deviation, and standard error for each of the therapy groups. Notice that the

t-Test

Test
Samples
Independent

The *Group Statistics* table provides the means of the two therapy groups (psychoanalytic and cognitive-behavioral). If the null hypothesis is rejected, we will consult this table to determine which group has the lower mean depression score.

Г

		infidence al of the rence	Upper	17.41253	17.42493	
		95% Co Interva Diffe	Lower	4.58747	4.57507	
	ans	Std. Error	Difference	3.13050	3.13050	
:	equality of Mea		Difference	11.00000	11.00000	/
	t-test tor		Sig. (2-tailed)	.002	.002	
			df	28	26.850	
			t	3.514	3.514	
s Test for	Variances		Sig.	.582	•	
Levene	Equality of		ш	.311		
				Equal variances assumed	Equal variances not assumed	
				depression		

					0	
The <i>p</i> -value for Levene's test of equal	variances are not equal (and read the	we assume the variances are equal (and	read the top row of results for t). Since the p -value of .582 is greater than .05,	we assume the variances are equal and	interpret the results of the <i>t</i> test using the	Equal variances Assumed row.

Since the *p*-value of .002 is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the means are equal for the two groups is rejected. Consult the *Group Statistics* table to determine which group has the lower mean depression score (cognitive-behavioral is lower).



cognitive-behavioral group had a lower mean depression score (mean = 45.00) than the psychoanalytic group (mean = 56.00). Whether the difference between the two groups is large enough to be statistically significant will be considered shortly.

Independent-Samples Test Table

The second table, *Independent-Samples Test*, presents the results for *Levene's Test for Equality of Variances* followed by the *t-test for Equality of Means*. These tests will be discussed separately below.

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances tests whether the population variances are equal for the two therapy groups, an assumption of the independent-samples *t* test. SPSS uses a procedure developed by Levene to test for the assumption of equal variances.

The null and alternative hypotheses for Levene's test are

$H_0: \sigma_{psychoanalytic}^2 = \sigma_{cognitive-behavioral}^2$	(The population variances are equal for the two groups.)
$H_1: \sigma_{psychoanalytic}^2 \neq \sigma_{cognitive-behavioral}^2$	(The population variances are not equal for the two
	groups.)

The equal variances assumption is assessed by examining the *p*-value (*sig.*) reported under *Levene's Test for Equality of Variances* in the output. If $p \le .05$, the null hypothesis is rejected, and it is assumed that the population variances are *not* equal. If p > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected, and it is assumed that the population variances are equal.

The results from the *Independent-Samples Test* table shows that Levene's test produced an *F* of .311 (*F* tests will be discussed in Chapter 8) with a *p*-value of .582. Since the *p*-value of .582 is greater than .05, the null hypothesis that the variances are equal is not rejected. Therefore, based on the results of Levene's test, we'll assume that the population variances are equal for the two groups. The decision rule for assessing the assumption of equal variances is summarized in Figure 6.9.

Levene's Result	Decision	Conclusion
<i>p</i> > .05	Fail to reject H_0	Assume the variances are equal in the population.
<i>p</i> ≤ .05	Reject H ₀	Assume the variances are not equal in the population.
Our data		
<i>p</i> = .582; .582 > .05	Fail to reject H_0	Assume the variances are equal in the population.

Assessing the Assumption of Equal Variances:

Figure 6.9 Decision rule for assessing the assumption of equal variances.

t-Test for Equality of Means

The next section of the table, *t-test for Equality of Means*, provides the answer to our research question, that is, whether there is a difference in the depression levels for the two therapy groups. In SPSS, two sets of results are presented, one assuming equal variances between the groups and the other assuming unequal variances between the groups. Since Levene's test was not significant (recall that the *p*-value for Levene's test was greater than .05), the values from the first row of the table, labeled *Equal variances assumed*, will be used. (If, on the other hand, Levene's test was significant (i.e., $p \leq .05$), the values from the second row of the table, labeled *Equal variances not assumed*, would be used.)

The test of the null hypothesis that the means are equal is provided in the form of a t, where

$$t = \frac{\text{Difference between the two sample means}}{\text{Standard error of the difference between the two means}}$$

Substituting the appropriate values (*Mean Difference*, *Std. Error Difference*) from the *Independent-Samples Test* table yields a *t* value of

$$t = \frac{11}{3.1305} = 3.514$$

which agrees with the value provided in the *Independent-Samples Test* table in column t. With 30 participants, the degrees of freedom (*df*) are equal to 28 (total number of participants – 2), with a corresponding p-value of .002. Because the p-value of .002 is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the means are equal is rejected, and it is concluded that the depression scores are significantly different for the two therapy groups. Since the test is significant, inspecting the means (once again) from the *Group Statistics* table indicates that the cognitive-behavioral therapy group had lower average depression scores (mean = 45.00) than the psychoanalytic group (mean = 56.00). (If, on the other hand, the t test had not been significant, we would have assumed that any difference between the means in the *Group Statistics* table was due to sampling error.)

Effect Sizes

A popular estimate of effect size for the independent-samples t test is given by d, where

$$d = t \sqrt{\frac{N_1 + N_2}{N_1 N_2}}$$

 N_1 and N_2 are the samples sizes for groups 1 and 2, and *t* is the value of the *t* statistic reported in Figure 6.8.¹

Inserting the appropriate values from the *Independent-Samples Test* in Figure 6.8 yields an effect size of

$$d = 3.514 \sqrt{\frac{15+15}{15*15}}$$
$$d = 1.28$$

Cohen (1988) gave estimates of values of d of .2, .5, and .8 as corresponding to small, medium, and large effect sizes, respectively, in the behavioral sciences. Based on Cohen's guidelines, the effect size of 1.28 would be considered very large in practice and indicates that the cognitive-behavioral group has depression scores that are 1.28 standard deviations lower than the psychoanalytic group.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In writing the results for the independent-samples *t* test, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the degrees of freedom, the *t* value, the *p*-value, and the effect size are reported along with the mean and standard deviation for each of the groups. An example of a brief write-up in APA format is presented next.

Written Results

Those who received cognitive-behavioral therapy (M = 45.00, SD = 7.63) had significantly lower depression scores than those who received psychoanalytic therapy (M = 56.00, SD = 9.42), t(28) = 3.51, p < .05, d = 1.28.

Assumptions of the Independent-Samples t Test

- 1. The observations are independent.
- Violating this assumption can seriously compromise the accuracy of the independentsamples *t* test. This assumption should be satisfied by designing your study so the participants do not influence each other in any way (participants working together in answering the depression measure would be an example of violating the independence assumption). If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, the independent-samples *t* test should not be used.
- 2. The dependent variable is normally distributed for each of the groups in the population. This assumption means that the depression scores should be normally distributed in the population (they should resemble a bell-shaped curve when plotted) for both therapy groups. For moderate to large sample sizes, most types of nonnormal distributions tend to have relatively little impact on the accuracy of the *t* test, although some nonnormal distributions can adversely affect the power of the *t* test.
- 3. The variances for each of the groups are equal in the population. Violating the equal variances assumption can compromise the accuracy of the independent-samples t test, particularly when the group sample sizes are unequal. Interpreting the results of Levene's test in SPSS and reading the appropriate results for t from the output addresses this assumption.

Summary of Steps for Conducting an Independent-Samples *t* Test in SPSS

- I. Data Entry and Analysis
 - 1. Create two variables in SPSS (one for the independent variable and one for the dependent variable).
 - 2. Enter the data.
 - 3. Create value labels for the independent variable. In the *Value Labels* dialog box, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click *OK*.
 - 4. Select Analyze > Compare Means > Independent-Samples T Test
 - 5. Move the dependent variable to the *Test Variable(s)* box and the independent variable to the *Grouping Variable* box.
 - 6. Click *Define Groups*. Enter a *1* for group 1 and a 2 for group 2. Click *Continue*.
 - 7. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

- 1. Check the results of Levene's test for the equality of variances.
 - If p > .05 for Levene's test, equal population variances are assumed for the two groups. Interpret the first row of values for the *t* test, labeled *Equal variances assumed*.
 - If p ≤ .05 for Levene's test, equal population variances are not assumed for the two groups. Interpret the second row of values for the *t* test, labeled *Equal variances not assumed*.
- 2. Check the *p*-value (*sig.*) in the *t*-test for Equality of Means section of the Independent-Samples Test table.
 - If $p \le .05$, the null hypothesis is rejected. Inspect the means from the *Group Statistics* table and write the results indicating the nature of the difference between the groups.
 - If p > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected. Write the results indicating that there is not a significant difference between the groups.

Exercises

Recent advances in surgical technology have led to an increase in the use of minimally invasive surgery (MIS), which involves making one or more small incisions (using small instruments) to perform a surgery, as opposed to making a considerably larger incision (using larger instruments) that traditional surgery requires. One of the claims advocates of MIS make is that the patient experiences less pain following the surgery. To investigate this claim, a researcher followed 30 people who were scheduled to undergo cardiovascular surgery, with 15 receiving MIS and 15 receiving traditional surgery. The reported pain level of each surgical patient was recorded 24 hours following surgery and is presented in Figure 6.10. The pain scale ranged from 1 to 10, with higher scores indicating a greater degree of pain.

Procedure	Pain
Minimally invasive	5, 4, 7, 2, 3, 5, 4, 6, 2, 5, 6, 8, 4, 5, 5
Traditional	6, 7, 5, 8, 9, 7, 8, 6, 6, 7, 8, 8, 6, 3, 9

Figure 6.10 The postoperative pain scores for the two groups.

Enter the data into SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **procedure** and **pain**.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Test for the assumption of equal variances. Do the data suggest unequal variances between the groups?
- d. Is there a significant difference in the reported pain levels between the groups? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- e. What is the effect size for the study? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. Two different methods were compared for helping people overcome snake phobia (ophidiophobia). Twenty people who suffered from excessive fear of snakes were randomly assigned to receive one of two treatments: systematic desensitization (small, progressive steps are made toward overcoming the snake phobia) or implo-sion therapy (the participant is overwhelmed or "flooded" with the fear with the goal of overcoming it).² After three weeks of treatment, the snake anxiety score for each participant was obtained using a measure of snake fear (with higher scores representing greater fear of snakes). The data are in the file *Chapter* 6_ *Exercise* 2.sav in the Chapter 6 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables in the file are named **therapy** and **snakefear**; for **therapy**,

1 = "systematic desensitization" and 2 = "implosion"). Open the file in SPSS, and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Test for the assumption of equal variances. Do the data suggest unequal variances between the groups?
- d. Is there a significant difference in the reported snake fear between the groups? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- e. What is the effect size for the study? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 3. A researcher wanted to investigate whether there was a difference in satisfaction with nursing homes between residents who had access to a community pet and

those who did not. Satisfaction was measured on a 5 to 25 scale, with higher scores indicating greater satisfaction. Sixty nursing home residents participated in the study, with 30 residents participating from a home with a pet and 30 partici-pating from a home without a pet. The satisfaction scores for the residents are located in the file *Chapter 6_Exercise 3.sav* in the Chapter 6 folder online at

www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables in the file are

named **group** and **satisfaction**; for **group**, 1 = "pet" and 2 = "no pet"). Open the file in SPSS, and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Test for the assumption of equal variances. Do the data suggest unequal variances between the groups?
- d. Is there a significant difference in the reported satisfaction levels between the residents? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- e. What is the effect size for the study? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.

The Dependent-Samples t Test

The dependent-samples t test (also known as paired-samples t, repeated-measures t, or matchedsubjects t) is used when the mean of one sample is compared to the mean of another sample, where the two samples are related in some way. With the dependent-samples t test, the two samples may consist of either the same people measured on two occasions or related people who are each measured once (e.g., identical twins on IQ, husbands and wives on quality of communication). The key to correctly identifying the dependent-samples t test is to remember that the two samples are naturally related in some way. An example of a dependent-samples t test is presented next.

Example

A worker for a national polling organization was charged with the task of surveying the public to determine which issue was more important to voters, the economy or national security. Twenty-five potential voters were asked to indicate the importance of *each of the two issues* on a 1 to 7 scale (where 1 = not important at all and 7 = extremely important). The independent variable is the voter issue (economy, national security) and the dependent variable is the importance rating.¹

Objective and Data Requirements of the Dependent-Samples *t* Test

	The Dependent Sumples (
Objective	Data Requirements	Example
To test whether the means of two related groups differ significantly on some dependent variable of interest.	One independent variable with two related groups or categories One continuous dependent variable	 Independent variable Voter issues (economy, national security) Dependent variable Importance rating (on a 1 to 7 scale)

The Dependent-Samples t Test

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

The null hypothesis states that the difference in the importance ratings for the economy and national security is equal to zero in the population:

$$H_0: \mu_{\text{economy}} - \mu_{\text{national security}} = 0$$

The alternative hypothesis states that the difference in the importance ratings is not equal to zero in the population:

$$H_1: \mu_{\text{economy}} - \mu_{\text{national security}} \neq 0$$

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The dependent-samples *t* test provides a test of the null hypothesis that the difference between the two population means is equal to zero. If the *t* test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If *t* test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Question

The fundamental question of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of a research question, such as,

"Is there a difference in the perceived importance of the economy and national security for voters?"

The Data

The data for the 25 participants are presented in Figure 7.1.

Participant	Economy	National Security
1	5	7
2	6	4
3	5	2
4	6	3
5	5	5
6	7	7
7	7	6
8	4	5
9	7	3
10	5	4
11	4	6
12	6	4
13	7	5
14	4	7
15	6	4
16	7	5
17	7	5
18	6	7
19	6	4
20	6	2
21	6	4
22	3	1
23	5	2
24	7	6
25	7	7

Figure 7.1 The data for the dependent-samples *t* test. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *opinion.sav* in the Chapter 7 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, two variables will be created, one for the ratings on the economy and one for national security. The variables will be named **economy** and **security**, respectively.

3. Enter the variable names **economy** and **security** in the first two rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 7.2).

3			3						06	ABG	
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	economy	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	E Right	I Scale	> Input
2	security	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	N Scale	🖒 Input
3											
	1	And in case of the local division of the loc		And in case of the local division of the loc	and the second second	COLUMN STREET,	COLUMN STREET, ST.	COLUMN STATES	A REPORT OF TAXABLE	Contractory of the local division of the loc	Concession of the local division of the loca

Figure 7.2 The Variable View window with the variables economy and security entered.

Step 2: Enter the Data

- 1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **economy** and **security** appear in the first two columns of the *Data View* window.
- 2. Consulting Figure 7.1, enter the importance ratings for each of the participants on the two variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the ratings 5 and 7 for **economy** and **security**, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 25 participants. The completed data set is presented in Figure 7.3.

le <u>E</u> dit	<u>⊻</u> lew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	nsform <u>A</u> nalyz	te <u>G</u> raphs
		167	
	economy	security	var
1	5.00	7.00	
2	6.00	4.00	
3	5.00	2.00	
4	6.00	3.00	
5	5.00	5.00	
6	7.00	7.00	
7	7.00	6.00	
8	4.00	5.00	
9	7.00	3.00	
10	5.00	4.00	
11	4.00	6.00	
12	6.00	4.00	
13	7.00	5.00	
14	4.00	7.00	
15	6.00	4.00	
16	7.00	5.00	
17	7.00	5.00	
18	6.00	7.00	
19	6.00	4.00	
20	6.00	2.00	
21	6.00	4.00	
22	3.00	1.00	
23	5.00	2.00	
24	7.00	6.00	
25	7.00	7.00	

Figure 7.3 The completed data file for the dependent-samples *t* test example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

1. From the menu bar select: Analyze > Compare Means > Paired-Samples T Test ... (see Figure 7.4).

📰 *Untitle	*Untitled1 [DataSet0] - PASW Statistics Data Editor							
<u>File</u> Edit	<u>⊻</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	nsform	<u>Analyze</u> <u>G</u> raphs <u>U</u> tilities	Add-or	ons Window Help			
			Reports Descriptive Statistics Tables	* *	Visible: 2 of 2 V	/ariables		
	economy	secur	Compare Means	*	Means	var		
1	5.00		General Linear Model		One-Sample T Test	-		
2	6.00		Generalized Linear Models	•	Independent-Samples T Test			
3	5.00		Mixed Models	*				
4	6.00		Correlate	*	Paired-Samples I Test			
5	5.00		Regression	*	One-Way ANOVA			

Figure 7.4 Menu commands for the dependent-samples *t* test.

A *Paired-Samples T Test* dialog box appears with the variables **economy** and **security** in the left–hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 7.5).

-		Paired \	/ariables:	1	7	Options.
economy		Pair	Variable1	Variable2	-	
🖉 security		1			-	
						
					-	
	+				1	
					\leftrightarrow	

Figure 7.5 The Paired-Samples T Test dialog box.

- 2. Select the variables, **economy** and **security**, and click the right-arrow button (≥) to move them into the *Paired Variables* box (see Figure 7.6).
- 3. Click OK.

		Paired \	/ariabl	les:			Ontions
economy		Pair	Va	riable1	Variable2		Options.
		1		[econo	(security)	1	
() 0000mm)		2					
	*					*	
		Desta	Paga	t) [Cappa	Hole		

Figure 7.6 The Paired-Samples T Test dialog box (continued).

The dependent samples *t* test procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the dependent-samples t test is displayed in Figure 7.7.

t-Test

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair	economy	5.7600	25	1.16476	.23295
1	security	4.6000	25	1.77951	.35590

Paired Samples Correlations

	Ν	Correlation	Sig.
Pair 1 economy & security	25	.253	.222

The *Paired Samples Statistics* table provides the means of the two variables (**economy** and **security**). If the null hypothesis is rejected, we'll consult this table to determine which variable has the higher mean rating.

Paired Samples Test



Figure 7.7 Output for the Dependent-Samples *t* Test.

Paired Samples Statistics

The first table of output, *Paired Samples Statistics*, displays the descriptive statistics for **economy** and **security**, including the mean, sample size, standard deviation, and standard error of the mean. Notice that the economy has a higher mean importance rating (mean = 5.76) than national security (mean = 4.60). Whether the difference in the mean ratings (5.76 vs. 4.60) is large enough to be statistically significant will be considered shortly.

Paired Samples Correlations

The *Paired Samples Correlations* table is not critical to interpreting the results of the pairedsamples *t* test and therefore will not be discussed, except to mention that the correlation is equal to the Pearson correlation coefficient between the economy and national security ratings for the 25 respondents (the Pearson correlation coefficient is discussed in Chapter 12).

Paired Samples Test

The *Paired Samples Test* table provides the answer to our research question, that is, whether there is a difference in the importance ratings for the economy and national security. The test of the null hypothesis is provided in the form of a *t*, where

 $t = \frac{\text{Mean difference between the ratings on the economy and security}}{\text{Standard error of the mean of the difference scores}}$

Substituting the appropriate values (*Mean, Std. Error Mean*) from the *Paired Samples Test* table yields a *t* of

$$t = \frac{1.16}{.37274} = 3.112$$

which agrees with the value provided in the *Paired Samples Test* table in column *t*. With 25 participants, the degrees of freedom (*df*) are equal to 24(number of participants in the sample -1), with a corresponding *p*-value of .005.

Because the *p*-value of .005 is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the means are equal is rejected, and it is concluded that the importance ratings for the economy and national security are significantly different. Since the test is significant, inspecting the means (once again) from the *Paired Samples Statistics* table indicates that the economy had a higher mean importance rating (mean = 5.76) than national security (mean = 4.60). (If the *t* test had *not* been significant, on the other hand, we would have assumed that any difference between the means in the *Paired Samples Statistics* table was due to sampling error.)

Effect Sizes

A commonly used effect size statistic for the dependent-samples t test is d, where

$$d = \frac{\text{Mean difference}}{\text{Standard deviation of the difference scores}}$$

Inserting the appropriate values from the *Paired Samples Test* table (*Mean, Std. Deviation*) produces an effect size of

$$d = \frac{1.16}{1.86} = .62$$

Cohen's (1988) conventions for small, medium, and large effect sizes for the dependent samples *t* test are .20, .50, and .80, respectively. Using Cohen's conventions, the effect size of .62 corresponds to a medium effect in practice and indicates that the economy was rated .62 standard deviations higher in importance than was national security.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In writing the results, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the degrees of freedom, the *t* value, the *p*-value, and the effect size are reported along with the mean and standard deviation for each of the variables. An example of a brief write-up in APA format is presented below.

Written Results

Voters view the economy (M = 5.76, SD = 1.16) as significantly more important than national security (M = 4.60, SD = 1.78), t(24) = 3.11, p < .05, d = 0.62.

Assumptions of the Dependent-Samples t Test

The assumptions of the dependent-samples t test are provided below. The second assumption is concerned with difference scores rather than the original scores shown in Figure 7.1. Difference scores are equal to the difference between the two measures taken for each participant (i.e., the difference between the economy and national security ratings for each person). For example, the difference score for the first individual in the data set shown in Figure 7.1 would be equal to -2 (i.e., 5 - 7 = -2).

1. Observations are independent within groups.

Violating the assumption of independence *within* groups can seriously compromise the accuracy of the dependent-samples *t* test. This assumption should be satisfied by designing your study so the participants do not influence each other in any way (participants working together in determining their ratings for the importance of the economy would be an example of violating the independence assumption). If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated *within* groups, the dependent-samples *t* test should not be used. It is important to note that independence *between* groups is not an assumption of the dependent-samples *t* test (we expect a dependency between the two ratings for the *same* person, as it is the reason for using the dependent-samples *t* test in the first place).

2. The difference scores are normally distributed in the population. This assumption means that the difference between the ratings should be normally distributed in the population. For moderate to large sample sizes, most types of nonnormal distributions tend to have relatively little impact on the accuracy of the *t* test, although some nonnormal distributions can adversely affect the power of the *t* test.

Summary of Steps for Conducting a Dependent Samples *t* Test in SPSS

- I. Data Entry and Analysis
 - 1. Create two variables in SPSS.
 - 2. Enter the data.
 - 3. Select Analyze > Compare Means > Paired-Samples T Test....
 - 4. Move the two variables to the Paired Variables box.
 - 5. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

- 1. Check the *p*-value (*"sig."*) in the far right-hand column of the *Paired Samples Test* table.
 - If $p \le .05$, the null hypothesis is rejected. Inspect the means from the *Paired Samples Statistics* table and write the results indicating the nature of the difference between the two groups.
 - If *p* > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected. Write the results indicating that there is not a significant difference between the two groups.

Exercises

1. The impact of a campaign film on attitudes toward a political candidate was investigated. Prior to showing the film, the attitudes of 15 people toward the candidate were assessed using a political likability scale. After completing the scale, the participants were shown a 20-minute campaign film in favor of the candidate, and then they completed the likability scale a second time. The scores for the participants across the two administrations of the likability scale are reported in Figure 7.8 (scores on the scale range from 20 to 100, with higher scores indicating more favorable attitudes toward the candidate).

Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **beforefilm** and **afterfilm**.

a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.

Participant	Before film	After film
1	75	80
2	25	26
3	50	58
4	45	65
5	48	59
6	88	93
7	55	52
8	78	92
9	52	59
10	46	52
11	48	52
12	48	53
13	57	61
14	55	59
15	42	41

Figure 7.8 Attitude ratings toward a political candidate before and after viewing the campaign film.

- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Did attitudes toward the candidate change after viewing the campaign film? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- e. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. Air traffic controllers often experience a high degree of on-the-job stress. In an effort to help air traffic controllers cope with their stress, the impact of a relaxation exercise program was investigated. Thirty air traffic controllers were selected from some of the busiest airports in the country and were administered a scale measuring job-related stress (the scale ranged from 10 to 50, with higher scores indicating greater stress levels). Following the administration of the stress scale, the air traffic controllers were trained in a relaxation technique for four weeks and were then administered the job-related stress scale a second time. The data are in the file *Chapter* 7_*Exercise* 2.sav in the Chapter 7 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **prerelax** and **postrelax**). Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
 - b. State a research question for the data.
 - c. Are the stress levels different after the relaxation exercise training as compared to before? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
 - d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
 - e. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 3. A researcher investigated the impact of receiving training from a sports psychologist on the accuracy of shots of college tennis players. The shot accuracy of 15 tennis players was recorded before and after receiving eight weeks of training from a sports psychologist (accuracy scores ranged from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating greater accuracy). The data are in the file *Chapter* 7_*Exer-cise 3.sav* in the Chapter 7 folder online at

www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **beforetraining** and **aftertraining**). Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is there a difference in the accuracy of the tennis players' shots after receiving training as compared to before? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- e. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.

CHAPTER

The One-Way between Subjects Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

The one-way between subjects analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used when the means of two or more independent groups are compared on a dependent variable of interest. With the one-way between subjects ANOVA, the independent variable is a between subjects factor, where each participant receives *only one* level of the factor (i.e., each person is in a single group).¹ An example of a one-way between subjects ANOVA is presented below.

Example

As part of a class-required research project, a student was interested in investigating whether there was a difference between three different learning strategies (strategies A, B, and C) on word recall. Thirty students who agreed to participate in the study were randomly assigned to receive one of the three strategies (with 10 students in each strategy group). After learning the strategy of interest, the students were shown a list of 15 words for five minutes and were asked to remember as many of the words as possible using the new strategy. After a brief delay, each student wrote down as many of the words as he or she could remember from the list, with the researcher recording the number of words correctly recalled for each participant. The independent variable in this study is the type of learning strategy (A, B, and C) and the dependent variable is the number of words correctly recalled.

The One-Way between Subjects ANOVA						
Objective	Data Requirements	Example				
To test whether the means of two or more groups differ significantly on a dependent variable of interest.	 Independent variable Between subjects factor with two or more separate groups or categories 	Independent variableLearning strategy (strategies A, B, and C)				
	Dependent variable Continuous 	Dependent variableNumber of words correctly recalled				

Objective and Data Requirements of the One-Way between Subjects ANOVA

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

The null hypothesis states that the mean number of words recalled for the three groups is equal in the population:

$$H_0: \mu_{\text{Strategy A}} = \mu_{\text{Strategy B}} = \mu_{\text{Strategy C}}$$

In order for the null hypothesis to be false, it is not necessary for all the groups to be different from each other (although this is one possibility); all that is required is that the null hypothesis be false in some way (i.e., there is a difference *somewhere* between the groups). Therefore, rather than generate all possible ways the null hypothesis could be false (which would become increasingly tedious as the number of groups increased), a general statement that the means differ in some way will be made:

 H_1 : At least one of the population means is different from the others.

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The one-way between subjects ANOVA provides a test of the null hypothesis that the population means for the three groups are equal. If the test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Question

The fundamental question of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of a research question, such as,

"Does the number of words recalled depend on the type of learning strategy used?"

The Data

The data for the 30 participants are presented in Figure 8.1. The participants who received strategy A are assigned a "1," those who received strategy B are assigned a "2," and those who received strategy C are assigned a "3."

Participant	Strategy	Word recall	Participant	Strategy	Word recall	Participant	Strategy	Word recall
1	1	8	11	2	12	21	3	6
2	1	10	12	2	10	22	3	4
3	1	9	13	2	9	23	3	5
4	1	7	14	2	10	24	3	6
5	1	9	15	2	9	25	3	4
6	1	7	16	2	8	26	3	2
7	1	10	17	2	12	27	3	5
8	1	8	18	2	10	28	3	3
9	1	12	19	2	10	29	3	7
10	1	9	20	2	12	30	3	5

Figure 8.1 The data for the one-way between subjects ANOVA example. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *word recall.sav* in the Chapter 8 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, two variables will be created, one for the different strategy groups (the independent variable) and one for the number of words recalled (the dependent variable). The variables will be named **strategy** and **wordrecall**, respectively.

3. Enter the variable names **strategy** and **wordrecall** in the first two rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 8.2).

		l r		1	81 III		4≥ ⊞			ò	
	Name	Type	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	strategy	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	🗃 Right	# Scale	S Input
2	wordrecall	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	🔳 Right	& Scale	🖒 Input
3						1					
	1		-								

Figure 8.2 The Variable View window with the variables strategy and wordrecall entered.

4. Using the process described in Chapter 1, create value labels for the variable **strategy**. For **strategy**, 1 = "Strategy A," 2 = "Strategy B," and 3 = "Strategy C."

Step 2: Enter the Data

- 1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **strategy** and **wordrecall** appear in the first two columns of the *Data View* window.
- 2. Consulting Figure 8.1, enter the values for each of the participants on the two variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the values *1* and *8* for the variables **strategy** and **wordrecall**, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 30 participants. The completed data set is presented in Figure 8.3.

1 2 3 4 5 5 5	strategy 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	wordrecall 8.00 10.00 9.00 7.00	var	var	var				⊴ ⊞	Var	ble: 2 of 2 Veri
1 2 3 4 5 5 5	strategy 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	wordrecall 8.00 10.00 9.00	var	yar	var	1	ir [uar	vor	Var	ible: 2 of 2 Ven
1 2 3 4 5 5 5	strategy 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	wordrecall 8.00 10.00 9.00 7.00	var	war	var				war		var
1 2 3 4 5 6	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	8.00 10.00 9.00									100 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
2 3 4 5 5	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	10.00 9.00									
3 4 5 5	1.00 1.00 1.00	9.00									
4 5 5	1.00	7.00									
5	1.00	1.00									
6	1.00	9.00		For the	a varia	hla o	trato	$\alpha \sqrt{n}$	otico th	at the	
	1.00	7.00			= valie	able S	liale	<i>ду</i> , п			;
7	1.00	10.00		first 10) partio	cipant	s rec	eive a	a 1 (the	e strat	egy
8	1.00	9.00		A arou	in) the		nd 1	0 nar	ticinan	ts reco	eive
9	1.00	12.00		- 0 /4	ip), uit			o pui	uoipuii		
10	1.00	9.00		a 2 (th	e stra	tegy E	s groi	up), a	ina the	last 1	0
11	2.00	12.00		receiv	e a 3 (the st	rated	iv C d	aroup).	If the	re
12	2.00	10.00			fourth	arou		troto		(0110)	the
13	2.00	9.00		was a	louiui	group) (a s	liale	gy D gi	oup),	uie
14	2.00	10.00		partici	pants	in tha	t groi	up wo	ould ea	ch rec	eive
15	2.00	9.00		- 1 T		ond w	ariah		ordrog	og// ie	for
16	2.00	0.00		a 4. 11	10 300			, vv	010100	<i>Jan</i> , 13	
17	2.00	12.00		the sc	ores o	n the	depe	ender	it varial	ble.	
18	2.00	10.00									
19	2.00	10.00									1
20	2.00	12.00									
21	3.00	6.00									
22	3.00	4:00									
23	3.00	5.00									
24	3.00	6.00									
25	3.00	4.00									
26	3.00	2.00									
27	3.00	5.00									
28	3.00	3.00									
29	3.00	7.00									
30	3.00	5.00									
31											
20	-					-					-
		1	_								

Figure 8.3 The completed data file for the one-way ANOVA example.
Step 3: Analyze the Data

1. From the menu bar, select **Analyze** > **Compare Means** > **One-Way ANOVA** ... (see Figure 8.4).

🇱 *Untitled1 [DataSet0] - PASW Statistics Data Editor							
<u>File</u> Edit	⊻iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> r	ansform	<u>Analyze</u> <u>G</u> raphs <u>U</u> tilities	Add-o	ns <u>Wi</u> ndow <u>H</u> elp		
		, r	Reports Descriptive Statistics Tables	* * *	Visible: 2 of 2	Variables	
	strategy	wordre	Compare Means	*	Means	var	
1	1.00		General Linear Model	*	One-Sample T Test	*	
2	1.00	1	Generalized Linear Models	•	U Independent Samples T Test		
3	1.00		Mixed Models	*			
4	1.00		Correlate	*	Paired-Samples I Test		
5	1.00		Regression	*	One-Way ANOVA		

Figure 8.4 Menu commands for the one-way ANOVA procedure.

A *One-Way ANOVA* dialog box appears with the variables **strategy** and **wordrecall** in the lefthand side of the dialog box (see Figure 8.5).

One-Way ANOVA		
Δ	Dependent List:	Contrasts
Strategy		Post Hoc
- Horarooan		Options
	Eactor:	
[]

Figure 8.5 The One-Way ANOVA dialog box.

- Select the dependent variable, wordrecall, and click the upper right-arrow button (
) to move it into the *Dependent List* box.
- 4. Click *Options*. The *One-Way ANOVA: Options* dialog box opens. Under *Statistics* select *Descriptive* and *Homogeneity of variance test*² (see Figure 8.7).
- 5. Click Continue.
- Click Post Hoc. The One-Way ANOVA: Post Hoc Multiple Comparisons dialog box opens. Under Equal Variance Assumed select Tukey (not Tukey's b).³ See Figure 8.8 (page 96) for details.
- 7. Click Continue.
- 8. Click OK.

🔡 One-Way ANOVA	×
Depende Work Factor: OK Paste Reset	ent List: prdrecall Post Hoc Options ategy Cancel Help

Figure 8.6 The One-Way ANOVA dialog box (continued).

Statistics		
🔽 Descriptiv	/e	
E Fixed and	I random effects	
Homogen	eity of variance tes	đ
Brown-F	prsythe	
VVelch		
Means pl	ot	
Missing Valu	98	
Exclude c	<u>a</u> ses analysis by a	nalysis
	acao liotuvica	

Figure 8.7 The *One-Way ANOVA: Options* dialog box.

The one-way ANOVA procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of one-way ANOVA is displayed in Figure 8.9 (page 97).

Descriptives

The *Descriptives* table displays the descriptive statistics for each of the groups (and for the total sample). Examining the means for the different learning strategies, strategy B had the highest average number of words recalled (mean = 10.20), followed by strategy A (mean = 8.90), with strategy C (mean = 4.70) producing the lowest number of words recalled, on average, of the three groups. Whether the difference in the means is large enough to be statistically significant will be discussed shortly.

🏭 One-Way ANO	VA: Post Hoc Multip	le Comparisons 🛛 🗙				
Fequal Variances A	ssumed					
	<u>Е</u> _S-N-К	🗌 Waller-Duncan				
Bonferroni	Tukey	Type I/Type II Error Ratio: 100				
📰 Sijdak	Tukey's-b	Dunn <u>e</u> tt				
Scheffe	🕅 Duncan	Control Category : Last 💌				
🕅 <u>R</u> -E-G-WF	🔲 Hochberg's GT2	_Test				
🔲 R-E-G-W <u>Q</u>	🕅 Gabriel					
Equal Variances Not Assumed Tamhane's T2 Dunnett's T3 Games-Howell Dunnett's C Significance level: 0.05						
	Continue Cancel Help					

Figure 8.8 The One-Way ANOVA: Post Hoc Multiple Comparisons dialog box.

Test of Homogeneity of Variances

The next table, *Test of Homogeneity of Variances*, tests if the variances are equal for the three groups, an assumption of the between subjects ANOVA. SPSS uses a procedure developed by Levene to test for the assumption of equal variances.

The null and alternative hypotheses for Levene's test are

$H_0: \sigma_{\text{strategy A}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{strategy B}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{strategy C}}^2$	(The variances for the three groups are equal
	in the population.)

```
H_1: At least one of the variances is different from the others.
```

The equal variances assumption is assessed by examining the *p*-value (*sig.*) reported in the *Test of Homogeneity of Variances* table in the output. If $p \le .05$, the null hypothesis is rejected, and it is assumed that the population variances are not equal. If p > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected, and it is assumed that the population variances are equal for the three groups.

For our data, Levene's test produced an F of .021 (SPSS labels the value *Levene Statistic*) and a p-value of .980 (see Figure 8.9). Since .980 is greater than .05, the null hypothesis of equal variances is *not* rejected. Therefore, based on the results of Levene's test, we'll assume the population variances are equal for the three groups. The decision rule for assessing the assumption of equal variances is summarized in Figure 8.10 (page 98).

ANOVA—The Test of the Null Hypothesis that the Means of the Three Strategies are Equal

The next table, ANOVA, provides the answer to our research question, that is, whether or not the number of words correctly recalled differs for the three strategy groups. ANOVA produces an F test, which is a ratio of two variances, with each variance represented as a mean square (MS) in the output:

$$F = \frac{MS \text{ between Groups}}{MS \text{ within Groups}}$$

.05,

One way

wordrecall				Descriptive	ès .			
					95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
Strategy A	10	8.9000	1.52388	.48189	7.8099	9.9901	7.00	12.00
Strategy B	10	10.2000	1.39841	.44222	9.1996	11.2004	8.00	12.00
Strategy C	10	4.7000	1.49443	.47258	3.6309	5.7691	2.00	7.00
Total	30	7.9333	2.77841	.50727	6.8959	8.9708	2.00	12.00

. ..

Test of Homogeneity of Variances

wordrecall				
Levene				L
Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.	
.021	2	27	.980	

The *p*-value for the test of equal variances, an assumption of ANOVA. Since .980 is greater than .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected and equal variances for the three strategies are assumed.

wordrocall

worurecan							
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.]	The <i>p</i> -value for the test of whether the means are equal in the population.
Between Groups	165.267	2	82.633	38.073	.000	L	the null hypothesis that the means are
Within Groups	58.600	27	2.170				equal for the three strategy groups is
Total	223.867	29					rejected.

.000

-7.1335

-3.8665

Post Hoc Tests

Dependent Variable: wordrecall

Tukey HSD Mean 95% Confidence Interval Difference (J) strategy (I-J) Std. Error Sig. Lower Bound Upper Bound (I) strategy Strategy A Strategy B .3335 -1.30000 .65884 .138 -2.9335 Strategy C 4.20000* .65884 .000 2.5665 5.8335 Strategy B Strategy A 1.30000 .65884 .138 -.3335 2.9335 Strategy C 5.50000* .65884 .000 3.8665 7.1335 Strategy A Strategy C -4.20000* .65884 .000 -5.8335 -2.5665

.65884

Multiple Comparisons

ANOVA

*. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.

-5.50000*

Strategy B

Homogeneous Subsets

wordrecall



Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 10.000.

Figure 8.9 Output for the One-Way between Subjects ANOVA.

Levene's result	Decision	Conclusion
<i>p</i> > .05	Fail to reject H_0	Assume the variances are equal in the population.
<i>p</i> ≤ .05	Reject H ₀	Assume the variances are not equal in the population.
<u>Our data</u>		
<i>p</i> = .980; .980 > .05	Fail to reject H_0	Assume the variances are equal in the population.

Figure 8.10 Decision rule for assessing the assumption of equal variances.

Substituting the appropriate values from the ANOVA table in Figure 8.9 produces an F of

$$F = \frac{82.633}{2.170} = 38.073$$

which agrees with the value reported in column F of the ANOVA table.

This test produces two degrees of freedom, (df) between groups (number of groups -1) and df within groups (total sample size - the number of groups). The df between groups and df within groups from the ANOVA table are 2 and 27, respectively.

The *p*-value in the ANOVA table under the column "*sig*." is .000 (which is read as "less than .001" not "zero"). Since the *p*-value is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the means are equal is rejected, and it is concluded that at least one of the strategies is different from the others.

Post Hoc Tests—Assessing Which Groups Are Different

While the null hypothesis of equal means for the three groups was rejected, the alternative hypothesis is nonspecific, stating only that the means differ in some way. In order to explore *how* the groups differ, further testing is required.

One common approach is to test all possible pairs of groups, commonly referred to as testing all pairwise comparisons. While many different tests of pairwise comparisons are available in SPSS, one of the more commonly used tests is Tukey's post hoc procedure. (Post hoc means "after the fact"; Tukey's test is typically interpreted only *after* a significant result for the overall ANOVA is obtained, i.e., the null hypothesis is rejected.) In the current example with three groups, Tukey's procedure will produce three separate tests: A vs. B, A vs. C, and B vs. C.⁴

By default, SPSS provides two different tables of output for Tukey's test, *Multiple Comparisons* and *Homogeneous Subsets*. While either table can be used to interpret the results of the pairwise comparisons, the results will be described first using the latter table, *Homogenous Subsets*. In the *Homogeneous Subsets* table in Figure 8.9, two different columns, labeled "1" and "2," are presented. When interpreting the results of the *Homogenous Subsets* table, groups that share the same column are *not* significantly different from one another, while groups that do not share the same column *are* significantly different. In our example, since strategies A and B share the same column (column 2), they are not significantly different from each other (any observed difference between A and B is considered to be due to sampling error). However, since strategy C does not share a column with strategies A and B, C *is* significantly different from A and B. Inspecting the means for the three groups provided in the table shows that the number of words recalled for strategy C (mean = 4.7) is lower than A (mean = 8.9) and B (mean = 10.2).

The following table summarizes the results of Tukey's test from the *Homogeneous Subsets* table.

Test	Do the groups share the same column?	Result
A vs. B	Yes	Not significant; assume A and B are equal.
A vs. C	No	Significant; A recalled more words than C.
B vs. C	No	Significant; B recalled more words than C.

Alternatively, the results of the pairwise comparisons could have been interpreted using the *Multiple Comparisons* table. In the *Multiple Comparisons* table presented in Figure 8.9, the two groups being tested are presented in the first two columns of the table followed by the mean difference between the groups, the standard error, the *p*-value (*sig.*), and the 95% confidence interval. Reading across the first row of the table, Strategy A is tested against Strategy B, which produces a mean difference of -1.3 (mean of strategy A – mean of strategy B) and a *p*-value of .138. Since the *p*-value is greater than .05, strategies A and B are not significantly different from one another. The next pairwise comparison (reading diagonally) is Strategy C), and a *p*-value less than .001 (reported as .000 in SPSS due to rounding). Since the *p*-value is less than .05, A and C are significantly different. Inspecting the means from the *Descriptives* table in Figure 8.9 shows that those using strategy A (mean = 8.9) recalled more words on average than those using strategy C (mean = 4.7).

The potentially confusing part of the multiple comparisons table is that each of the pairwise comparisons is presented twice. Following the test of A and C, the test of strategies A and B is presented again; the only difference between the two tests is that this time strategy B is presented first. Since the test of B vs. A is exactly the same as A vs. B (notice the identical *p*-value of .138 for both tests), it makes no difference which of the two tests is reported. The multiple comparisons table always produces this redundancy, with each pairwise comparison presented twice. Therefore, when interpreting the results, be sure to report the result of each pairwise comparison only once. Summarizing the findings so far, we've reported the results of A vs. B and A vs. C, with only the test of B vs. C remaining. Looking diagonally across the next row, we see that B and C are significantly different, with a *p*-value less than .001 (once again reported as .000 in SPSS due to rounding). The means from the *Descriptives* table shows that strategy B (mean = 10.2) is significantly higher than strategy C (mean = 4.7). In summary, the results of the two tables are the same: A and B are not significantly different from each other, and both A and B are significantly higher than C.

Effect Sizes

The measure of effect size commonly used with ANOVA is eta-square (η^2) . The formula for eta-square is

$$\eta^2 = \frac{\text{Sum of squares between groups}}{\text{Sum of squares total}}$$

The values for sum of squares between groups and the sum of squares total can be found in the *ANOVA* table in Figure 8.9.⁵ Inserting the appropriate values into the above formula produces an eta-square value of

$$\eta^2 = \frac{165.267}{223.867} = .74$$

Cohen's (1988) conventions for small, medium, and large effect sizes for eta-square are .01, .06, and .14, respectively. Eta-square can be expressed in terms of the percentage of variance in the dependent variable that is accounted for by the independent variable. A value of .74 corresponds to a very large effect in practice and indicates that the learning strategies accounted for 74% of the variance in word recall.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In writing the results for the one-way between subjects ANOVA, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the degrees of freedom (df), the F value, the p-value, and the effect size are reported along with the means and standard deviations of the three strategy groups (the means and standard deviations may be reported in a separate table if desired). An example of a brief write-up in APA format is presented next.

Written Results

The number of words recalled varied by the learning strategy used, F(2, 27) = 38.07, p < .05, $\eta^2 = .74$, Tukey's post hoc procedure indicated that those who used strategy A (M = 8.90, SD = 1.52) and those who used strategy B (M = 10.20, SD = 1.40) recalled significantly more words than those who used strategy C (M = 4.70, SD = 1.49). There was not a significant difference in the number of words recalled between strategies A and B.

Assumptions of the One-Way between Subjects ANOVA

1. The observations are independent.

Violating this assumption can seriously compromise the accuracy of the ANOVA test. This assumption should be satisfied by designing your study so the participants do not influence each other in any way (participants working together in generating their word lists would be an example of violating the independence assumption). If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, the between subjects ANOVA should not be used.

- 2. The dependent variable is normally distributed for each of the groups in the population. This assumption means that the number of words recalled should be normally distributed in the population for each of the strategy groups. For moderate to large sample sizes, most types of nonnormal distributions tend to have relatively little impact on the accuracy of the ANOVA test, although some nonnormal distributions can adversely affect the power of ANOVA.
- 3. The variances for each of the groups are equal in the population. Violating the equal variances assumption can compromise the accuracy of the ANOVA test, particularly when the sample sizes of the groups are unequal. Interpreting the results of Levene's test in SPSS and selecting and reporting the results of an alternative procedure (the Brown-Forsythe test or Welch test) if the variances are not equal (followed by an appropriate post hoc test if necessary such as Dunnett's T3) addresses this assumption. (See below for more information on these procedures.)

Summary of Steps for Conducting a One-Way between Subjects ANOVA in SPSS

- I. Data Entry and Analysis
 - 1. Create two variables in SPSS (one for the independent variable and one for the dependent variable).

- 2. Enter the data.
- 3. Create value labels for the independent variable. In the *Value Labels* dialog box, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click *OK*.
- 4. Select Analyze > Compare Means > One-Way ANOVA
- 5. Move the dependent variable to the *Dependent List* box and the independent variable to the *Factor* box.
- 6. Click *Options*. Select *Descriptive* and *Homogeneity of variance test*. Click *Continue*.
- 7. Click Post Hoc. Select Tukey. Click Continue.
- 8. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

- 1. Check the results of Levene's test of homogeneity of variances:
 - a. If p > .05 for Levene's test, equal population variances are assumed. Interpret the ANOVA *F*.
 - If the ANOVA is significant (i.e., p ≤ .05), interpret the results of Tukey's post hoc procedure.
 - If the ANOVA is not significant (i.e., p > .05), stop. Write the results stating that there is not a significant difference between the groups.
 - b. If $p \le .05$ for Levene's test, equal population variances are not assumed. Rerun the analysis selecting either the *Brown-Forsythe* or the *Welch* procedure (by clicking on the *Options* button), and select one of the post hoc tests that does not assume equal variances between the groups (e.g., Dunnett's T3) by clicking on *Post Hoc*. Unlike the ANOVA and Tukey's test, these tests do not require the assumption of equal variances.
 - If the overall test (*Brown-Forsythe* or *Welch*) is significant (i.e., $p \le .05$), interpret the results of the post hoc procedure.
 - If the overall test (*Brown-Forsythe* or *Welch*) is not significant (i.e., p > .05), stop. Write the results stating that there is not a significant difference between the groups.

Exercises

1. A medical researcher wanted to investigate the effect of different pain medications on people suffering from migraine headaches. Twenty-one people who had recently seen a doctor for migraine headaches were randomly assigned to receive one of three pills: drug A, drug B, or a placebo. While taking the appropriate pill, each participant recorded their pain level three times a day at regular intervals for one week (pain was recorded on a 1 to 10 scale, with higher scores indicating greater pain). The average pain level over the one-week period was calculated for each participant and is reported in Figure 8.11.

Drug	Pain
А	5.2, 4.1, 5.8, 6.85, 4.75, 1.75, 4
В	3.05, 6.15, 5.5, 6.15, 1.85, 6.4, 3.1
С	8.15, 7.15, 6.2, 7.85, 9.45, 9.25, 6.3

Figure 8.11 The pain levels for the three groups.

Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **drug** and **pain**.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Test for the assumption of equal variances. Do the data suggest unequal variances between the groups? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- d. Is there a significant difference in the reported pain levels between the groups? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- e. What is the effect size for the overall ANOVA? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- f. If the overall ANOVA is significant, briefly summarize the results of Tukey's post hoc procedure.
- g. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate (be sure to include the results of Tukey's test if the overall ANOVA is significant).
- 2. A researcher wanted to know whether the level of frustration over high gas prices differed for different types of vehicle owners. Following a sharp increase in the price of gas, the researcher asked 30 people to indicate their level of frustration with prices at the pump (frustration scores ranged from 1 to 10, with higher scores indicating greater frustration). Ten people were surveyed who owned a motorcycle as their primary means of transportation (coded a 1 in the data file), 10 were surveyed who owned a hybrid vehicle (coded a 2 in the data file), and 10 were surveyed who owned a nonhybrid vehicle (coded a 3 in the data file). The data are provided in the file *Chapter 8_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 8 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **vehicle** and **frustration**). Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
 - b. State a research question for the data.
 - c. Test for the assumption of equal variances. Do the data suggest unequal variances between the groups? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
 - d. Is there a significant difference in the frustration levels of vehicle owners? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
 - e. What is the effect size for the overall ANOVA? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
 - f. If the overall ANOVA is significant, briefly summarize the results of Tukey's post hoc procedure.
 - g. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate (be sure to include the results of Tukey's test if the overall ANOVA is significant).
- 3. A market researcher hired by an alarm company examined whether companies differed in their response time to alarm calls. Three of the leading companies were investigated for the study. The response time (in seconds) of each of the companies to 15 randomly selected calls was recorded and is presented in the file *Chapter 8_Exercise 3.sav* in the Chapter 8 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named company and time; for

company, 1 = "company A," 2 = "company B," and 3 = "company C"). Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Test for the assumption of equal variances. Do the data suggest unequal variances between the groups? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- d. Is there a significant difference in the response time for the alarm companies? Test at $\alpha = .05$.

- e. What is the effect size for the overall ANOVA? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- f. If the overall ANOVA is significant, briefly summarize the results of Tukey's post hoc procedure.
- g. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate (be sure to include the results of Tukey's test if the overall ANOVA is significant).

9

The Two-Way between Subjects Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

The two-way between subjects analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used when two independent variables are evaluated on a continuous dependent variable of interest. In the two-way between subjects ANOVA, both of the independent variables are between subjects factors, consisting of two or more levels each, where each participant receives *only one level* of each factor. An example of a two-way between subjects ANOVA is presented next.

Example

A researcher wanted to investigate the effect of physical therapy and relaxation exercise on back pain. Twenty-four people suffering from acute back pain were recruited from a local orthopedic clinic for the study. Two different types of physical therapy and relaxation exercises were investigated. For physical therapy, half (12) of the participants used stretching exercises and the other half (12) used strengthening exercises. For relaxation exercise, half (12) of the participants used muscle relaxation (tensing and relaxing muscles starting with the head and moving to the toes) and half (12) used guided imagery (a structured visual technique intended to aid in relaxation).

The study design (illustrated in Figure 9.1) is an example of a completely crossed design where the levels of physical therapy are crossed with the levels of relaxation exercise. The study design resulted in four different conditions (with six participants in each condition): stretching and muscle relaxation (cell a), stretching and guided imagery (cell b), strengthening and muscle relaxation (cell c), and strengthening and guided imagery (cell d). This type of design is commonly referred to as a 2×2 ("two by two") ANOVA, with two levels of physical therapy and two levels of relaxation exercise, resulting in four different conditions.



Figure 9.1 Study design of the two-way between subjects ANOVA example.

The study lasted six weeks, with the participants receiving weekly training in the appropriate procedures. At the end of the six-week period, the participants completed a 10-item questionnaire assessing their current level of pain (total possible scores on the questionnaire ranged from 0 to 60, with 0 indicating no pain and 60 indicating a very high degree of pain). The dependent variable in this study is the pain level reported at the end of the six-week period, and the independent variables are physical therapy and relaxation exercise.

Objectives and Data Requirements of the Two-Way between Subjects ANOVA

Objectives	Data Requirements	Example
 To test for main effects Is there a difference in pain between the stretching and strengthening conditions? Is there a difference in pain between the muscle relaxation and guided imagery conditions? 	 Independent variables Two between subjects factors with two or more levels each 	 Independent variables Physical therapy (stretching, strengthening) Relaxation exercise (muscle relaxation, guided imagery)
2. To test for an interaction effectDoes the impact of physical therapy on pain depend on the type of relaxation exercise used?	Dependent variable Continuous 	 Dependent variable Reported pain level (after the six-week period)

Two-Way between Subjects ANOVA

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

Three different null hypotheses are tested in the two-way between subjects ANOVA. One null hypothesis is tested for each of the independent variables (known as tests of main effects), and one for the combined effect of the two independent variables (known as a test for an interaction effect). The hypotheses are described below.

Hypothesis 1. Test of Physical Therapy: Stretching vs. Strengthening

The null hypothesis for physical therapy states that the mean pain level for stretching and strengthening exercises are equal in the population:

$$H_0: \mu_{\text{stretching}} = \mu_{\text{strengthening}} \tag{H.1}$$

The alternative hypothesis states that the two population means are not equal:

$$H_1: \mu_{\text{stretching}} \neq \mu_{\text{strengthening}}$$

Hypothesis 2. Test of Relaxation Exercise: Muscle Relaxation vs. Guided Imagery

The null hypothesis for relaxation exercise states that the mean pain level for muscle relaxation and guided imagery are equal in the population:

$$H_0: \mu_{\text{muscle relaxation}} = \mu_{\text{guided imagery}} \tag{H.2}$$

The alternative hypothesis states that the two population means are not equal:

$$H_1: \mu_{\text{muscle relaxation}} \neq \mu_{\text{guided imagery}}$$

Hypothesis 3. Test of the Interaction Effect of Physical Therapy and Relaxation Exercise

The null hypothesis states that there is not an interaction between the two variables:

 H_0 : There is not a physical therapy \times relaxation exercise interaction. (H.3)

The alternative hypothesis states there is an interaction between the two variables:

 H_1 : There is a physical therapy \times relaxation exercise interaction.

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The two-way between subjects ANOVA tests the three null hypotheses discussed previously. For a given hypothesis of interest, if the test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Questions

The fundamental questions of interest in a two-way ANOVA can also be expressed in the form of research questions, such as,

For Physical Therapy

"Does the reported pain level differ for those who used stretching exercises versus those who used strengthening exercises?"

For Relaxation Exercise

"Does the reported pain level differ for those who used muscle relaxation versus those who used guided imagery?"

For the Interaction of Physical Therapy and Relaxation Exercise

"Does the reported pain level for physical therapy depend on whether muscle relaxation or guided imagery was used?"

The Data

The data for the 24 participants are presented in Figure 9.2. For physical therapy, those who received stretching exercises are assigned a "1," while those who received strengthening exercises are assigned a "2." For the relaxation exercise, those who received muscle relaxation are assigned a "1," while those who received guided imagery are assigned a "2."

Participant	Physical therapy	Relaxation exercise	Pain level	Participant	Physical therapy	Relaxation exercise	Pain level
1	1	1	30	13	2	1	40
2	1	1	22	14	2	1	50
3	1	1	25	15	2	1	38
4	1	1	28	16	2	1	52
5	1	1	20	17	2	1	45
6	1	1	20	18	2	1	50
7	1	2	50	19	2	2	50
8	1	2	45	20	2	2	55
9	1	2	35	21	2	2	50
10	1	2	40	22	2	2	45
11	1	2	30	23	2	2	47
12	1	2	45	24	2	2	43

Figure 9.2 The data for the two-way between subjects ANOVA example. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 describe how to enter the data into SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 ; for under the name *back pain.sav* in the Chapter 9 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the *Variable View* tab.

In SPSS, three variables will be created, one each for physical therapy and relaxation exercise (the independent variables), and one for the reported pain level (the dependent variable). The variables will be named **phyther**, **relax**, and **pain**, respectively.

3. Enter the names **phyther**, **relax**, and **pain**, respectively, in the first three rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 9.3).

🏭 "Untit	led1 [DataSet0]	- PASW Statis	stics Data Edi	tor							-	
File Edit	<u>Yiew Data Tr</u>	ransform <u>A</u> nal	lyze <u>G</u> raphs	Litilities Add-	ons <u>Wi</u> ndow	Help						
			1		#1 1					3		
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role	
1	phyther	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	Scale 🖉	🕥 Input	*
2	relax	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≣ Right	/ Scale	🕥 Input	
3	pain	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	Scale	🕥 Input	
4												-
	4											F
Data View	Variable View											
									PASW Statistics Pro	cessor is ready		

Figure 9.3 The Variable View window with the variables phyther, relax, and pain entered.

Using the process described in Chapter 1, create value labels for phyther and relax. For phyther, 1 = "stretching" and 2 = "strengthening." For relax, 1 = "muscle relaxation" and 2 = "guided imagery."

Step 2: Enter the Data

- 1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **phyther**, **relax**, and **pain** appear in the first three columns of the *Data View* window.
- 2. Consulting Figure 9.2, enter the values for each of the participants on the three variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the values *1*, *1*, and *30*, for the variables **phyther**, **relax**, and **pain**, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 24 participants. The completed data set is shown in Figure 9.4 (page 108).

Step 3: Analyze the Data

 From the menu bar, select Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate ... (see Figure 9.5 on page 108).

A *Univariate* dialog box appears with the variables **phyther**, **relax**, and **pain** in the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 9.6 on page 109).

- 2. Select the dependent variable, **pain**, and click the upper right-arrow button () to move it into the *Dependent Variable* box.
- 3. With the *ctrl* key held down, select the independent variables **phyther** and **relax**, and click the second right-arrow button (\searrow) from the top to move them into the *Fixed Factor(s)* box¹ (see Figure 9.7 on page 109).

🔢 *Untitle	d1 [DataSet0] - F	PASW Statistic	s Data Edito	r						- 🗆
tile Edit	⊻iew <u>D</u> ata <u>I</u> ran	istorm <u>A</u> nalyze	Graphs	Jtilities Add		ow Help		CHERTER		-
		5 3			88			1		
									Visible:	3 of 3 Variable
	phyther	relax	pain	var	var	var	var	var	var	var
1	1.00	1.00	30.00							4
2	1.00	1.00	22.00							
3	1.00	1.00	25.00	In th	o tuvo u	ov botwoo	n oubiootr		thore	1
4	1.00	1.00	28.00	lica	e lwo-v	ay Delwee		r oach fa	ctor (with	
5	1.00	1.00	20.00	1's a	nd 2's t	o indicate t	the levels	of the fac	tors)	
6	1.00	1.00	20.00	The	particul	ar combina	ition of lev	els for the	e two	
7	1.00	2.00	50.00	facto	ors indic	ate the cor	dition a pa	articipant	was in.	
8	1.00	2.00	45.00	Parti	cipants	1-6, for ex	ample, ha	ve the va	alues 1,	
9	1.00	2.00	35.00	<i>1</i> , fo	r phyth	er and rela	x, indicati	ng that th	ney	
10	1.00	2.00	40.00	rece	ived stre	etching for	phyther a	and musc	le	
11	1.00	2.00	30.00	relax	ation fo	r relax . Th	e third col	umn, pai	n , is	
12	1.00	2.00	45.00	for th	ne score	es on the d	ependent	variable.		
13	2.00	1.00	40.00							
14	2.00	1.00	50.00							
15	2.00	1.00	38.00							
16	2.00	1.00	52.00							
17	2.00	1.00	45.00							
18	2.00	1.00	50.00			-				
19	2.00	2.00	50.00							
20	2.00	2.00	55.00							
21	2.00	2.00	50.00							
22	2.00	2.00	45.00							
23	2.00	2.00	47.00							
24	2.00	2.00	43.00							
	1				-		1			1
Data View	Variable View									
							PASIA Statistics	Processor is	rendu	

Figure 9.4 The completed data file for the two-way between subjects ANOVA.

- 4. Click Options. The Univariate: Options dialog box opens. Under Factor(s) and Factor Interactions select the variables phyther, relax and phyther*relax (don't select OVERALL), and click the right-arrow button () to move the variables into the Display Means for box. Under Display, select Descriptive statistics, Estimates of effect size, and Homogeneity tests (see Figure 9.8).
- 5. Click Continue.

🔢 *Untit	tled1 [DataSet0] ·	PASW S	itatistics	Data Edi	itor					- 0	⊐ ×	
<u>File</u> Edit	<u>⊻</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	ansform	<u>A</u> nalyze	Graphs	Utilities	Add-o	ns <u>W</u> ind	ow <u>H</u> elp				
			Rep Des	orts criptive Sta	atistics	*	tt			5		
			Tabl	es		•			Visible: 3 of	3 Varia	ables	
	phyther	relax	Com	pare Mean	ns	•	var	Var	Va	r.	1	
1	1.00		Gen	eral Linear	Model	+	Univ	ariate			4	
2	1.00		Gen	Generalized Linear Models		s 🕨	Multi	variate				
3	1.00		Mi <u>×</u> e	Mi⊻ed Models <u>C</u> orrelate		۲	Rene	eated Measure				
4	1.00		Corr			•	The second	-		-		
5	1.00		Reg	<u>R</u> egression		Regression		⊻arı	ance Compone	ents		

Figure 9.5 Menu commands for the two-way between subjects ANOVA.

A when the are	Dependent Variable:	Model.
relax	Event Eventuation	Contrast
🖉 pain	rixed Factor(s).	Plots
	*	Post Hoo
	Bandan Sastar(a)	Save.
	Random Pactor(s).	Options
	*	
	Covariate(s):	
	*	
	WLS Weight	

Figure 9.6 The Univariate dialog box.

Dependent Variable	Model
Seed Sector ()	Contrasts.
Fixed Factor(s):	Plots
relax	Post Hoc.
	Save
Random Factor(s):	Options
*	
Covariate(s):	
<u>WLS Weight:</u>	

Figure 9.7 The *Univariate* dialog box (continued).

actor(s) and Factor Interactions: (OVERALL) phyther relax phyther*relax	Display Means for: phyther relax phyther*relax
	Compare main effects Confidence interval adjustment: LSD(none)
isplay	
Descriptive statistics	Homogeneity tests
Estimates of effect size	Spread vs. level plot
Observed power	Residual plot
Parameter estimates	Lack of fit
	Caparal actimable function

Figure 9.8 The Univariate: Options dialog box.

Click *Plots*. The *Univariate: Profile Plots* dialog box opens. Select **phyther** and click the upper right-arrow button () to move it into the *Horizontal Axis* box. Select **relax** and click the middle right-arrow button () to move it into the *Separate Lines* box (see Figure 9.9).

🔛 Univariate: P	ofile Plots	×
Eactors: phyther relax	Horizontal Axis: phyther Separate Lines: relax Separate Plots:	
Plots:	Add Change Remove]
	Continue Cancel Help	

Figure 9.9 Univariate: Profile Plots dialog box.

7. Click *Add*. The interaction term **phyther** \times **relax** is displayed under *Plots* in the dialog box (see Figure 9.10).

phyther	
relax	Separate Lines:
	Separate Plots:
Plots:	Add Change Remove
phyther*relax	

Figure 9.10 The Univariate: Profile Plots dialog box (continued).

- 8. Click Continue.
- 9. Click OK.

The two-way ANOVA procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

Prior to discussing the results of the ANOVA, a bar chart will be created. A bar chart is an alternative to the profile plot for displaying an interaction effect. The commands for producing a bar chart for the two-way ANOVA are provided next (see Chapter 3 for more information on bar charts).

To produce a bar chart

- From the menu bar, select Graphs > Bar (*Note*: If you are using SPSS version 14.0 or lower, the menu commands are Graphs > Bar All other commands are the same.)
- 2. The Bar Charts dialog box opens.
- 3. Select *Clustered*.
- 4. Under Data in Chart are, make sure Summaries for groups of cases is selected.
- 5. Click Define.
- 6. Move **phyther** to the *Category Axis* box.
- 7. Move **relax** to the *Define Clusters by* box.
- 8. Under *Bars Represent*, select *Other statistic (e.g., mean)* and move **pain** to the *Variable* box (see Figure 9.11).

🏢 Define Clustered Bar:	Summaries for Groups of Cases	×
	Bars Represent	Titles
	© № of cases © % of cases 0	ptions
	© <u>C</u> um. N © Cu <u>m</u> . %	
	Other statistic (e.g., mean)	
	Variable	
	MEAN([pain])	
	Change Statistic	
	Category Axis:	
	phyther	
	Define Clusters by:	
	Panel by	
	Rows:	
	Nest variables (no empty rows)	
	Columns:	
	Nest variables (no empty columns)	
Template		
Use chart specifications	from:	
File		
OK	Paste Reset Cancel Help	

Figure 9.11 The *Define Clustered Bar: Summaries for Groups of Cases* dialog box.

9. Click OK.

The bar chart procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the Viewer window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the two-way between subjects ANOVA is displayed in Figure 9.12, and the output of the bar chart is displayed in Figure 9.13 (page 114).

Univariate Analysis of Variance

Between-Subjects Factors

		Value Label	Ν
phyther	1.00	stretching	12
	2.00	strengthening	12
relax	1.00	muscle relaxation	12
	2.00	guided imagery	12

Descriptive Statistics

Dependent Varia	ble: pain			
phyther	relax	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
stretching	muscle relaxation	24.1667	4.21505	6
	guided imagery	40.8333	7.35980	6
	Total	32.5000	10.41415	12
strengthening	muscle relaxation	45.8333	5.81091	6
	guided imagery	48.3333	4.27395	6
	Total	47.0833	5.03548	12
Total	muscle relaxation	35.0000	12.30669	12
	guided imagery	44.5833	6.94731	12
	Total	39.7917	10.93053	24

Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances^a

Dependent Variable: pain

F	df1	df2	Sig.]
1.238	3	20	.322	∙—

Tests the null hypothesis that the error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups.

^a Design latereest , shuther , select , sh

^{a.} Design: Intercept + phyther + relax + phyther * relax

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

		Dependent Variable	: pain					
		Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
		Corrected Model	2128.125 ^a	3	709.375	22.889	.000	.774
		Intercept	38001.042	1	38001.042	1226.170	.000	.984
Our	ر [phyther	1276.042	1	1276.042	41.174	.000	.673
three	\leftarrow	relax	551.042	1	551.042	17.780	.000	.471
tests of		phyther * relax	301.042	1	301.042	9.714	.005	.327
interest.		Error	619.833	20	30.992			
		Total	40749.000	24				
		Corrected Total	2747.958	23				

^{a.} R Squared = .774 (Adjusted R Squared = .741)

All three tests are significant, since the p-values are less than .05.

The *p*-value (*sig.*) for the test of

four cells are assumed.

equal variances, an assumption of ANOVA. Since .322 is greater than .05, the null hypothesis is *not* rejected and equal variances for the

(continued)

Estimated Marginal Means

		1. phythe	r					
Dependent Varial	ole: pain					_		
			95% Con	fideno	ce Interval			
phyther	Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	l Up	pper Bound		Since phyther was	
stretching	32.500	1.607	29.148		35.852		significant, the marginal	
strengthening	47.083	1.607	43.731		50.436		to determine which	
Dependent Varial	2. relax ependent Variable: pain							
			95%	Confi	dence Interva	al		
relax	Mear	Std. Eri	ror Lower Bo	und	Upper Bou	nd		
muscle relaxation	on 35.00	00 1.6	07 31	648	38.3	52	Relax was significant;	
guided imagery	44.58	33◀ 1.6	07 41	231	47.9	36	the marginal means	
							relaxation resulted in less pain than guided imagery.	

3. phyther * relax

				95% Confidence Interval			
phyther	relax	Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
stretching	muscle relaxation	24.167	2.273	19.426	28.907		
	guided imagery	40.833	2.273	36.093	45.574		
strengthening	muscle relaxation	45.833	2.273	41.093	50.574		
	guided imagery	48.333	2.273	43.593	53.074		

Profile Plots

Dependent Variable: pain



Figure 9.12 The output for the two-way between subjects ANOVA procedure (continued).



Figure 9.13 Output for the Bar Chart procedure.

Between-Subjects Factors

The *Between-Subjects Factors* table displays the factors included in the study (the independent variables), the number of levels of each factor, the value labels, and the sample size for each level of the variables. Notice that there are 12 participants for each level of **phyther** and **relax**, which is consistent with our study design.

Descriptive Statistics

The *Descriptive Statistics* table shows the mean, standard deviation, and sample size for each of the conditions in the study (and for the levels of each factor). While we'll focus our attention on the *Estimated Marginal Means* tables later in the output for interpreting mean differences between groups, we will use the standard deviations from this table in the write-up of our results.

Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances

The next table, *Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances*, provides a test of whether the variances are equal for the four cells (conditions) in our study, an assumption of the twoway between subjects ANOVA (see Chapter 8 for more information on the equal variance assumption).

The null and alternative hypotheses for Levene's test are

$$H_0: \sigma_{1,1}^2 = \sigma_{1,2}^2 = \sigma_{2,1}^2 = \sigma_{2,2}^2$$
 (The variances for the four cells are equal in the population.)

 H_1 : At least one of the variances is different from the others.

The equal variances assumption is assessed by examining the *p*-value (*sig.*) reported under the *Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances* table in the output. If $p \le .05$, the null hypothesis is rejected, and it is assumed that the population variances are *not* equal. If p > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected, and it is assumed that the population variances are equal for the four cells in the study. Levene's test produced an F of 1.238 and a p-value of .322 (see Figure 9.12). Since .322 is greater than .05, the null hypothesis of equal variances is not rejected, and it is assumed that the population variances are equal for the four conditions in the study.

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

The next table, *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects*, displays the results for the tests of the main effects (**phyther** and **relax**) and interaction (**phyther** \times **relax**).² In the two-way ANOVA, a separate *F* test is produced for each of the main effects and the interaction. As was the case with the one-way ANOVA discussed in Chapter 8, the *F* test is a ratio of two variances, with each variance represented as a mean square (*MS*) in the output:

$$F = \frac{MS \, Effect}{MS \, Error}$$

where *MS Effect* corresponds to the mean square for the test of interest and *MS Error* corresponds to the value for mean square error in the *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects* table. To calculate the *F* value for the test of interest, substitute the appropriate *MS* values in the formula. For example, **phyther** has an *MS* of 1276.042. With an *MS Error* term of 30.992, the *F* for **phyther** is

$$F = \frac{1276.042}{30.992} = 41.174$$

which agrees with the value of F for **phyther** in the *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects* table reported in Figure 9.12.

This test produces two degrees of freedom (df) for **phyther** (df = number of levels of **phyther** – 1) and df for error (df = total sample size – the number of cells in the study). For the test of **phyther**, the df are 1 and 20, respectively.

The reported *p*-value found in the ANOVA table for **phyther** under the column "*sig*." is .000 (which should be read as "less than .001"). Since the *p*-value is less than .05, the null hypothesis is rejected, and it is concluded that the reported pain levels for the stretching and strengthening conditions are significantly different (which group is lower for **phyther** will be discussed in the next section on marginal means).

The next test presented in the *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects* table is for **relax**. The test of **relax** produces an *F* value of 17.78 (551.042/30.992) with 1 (number of levels of **relax** -1) and 20 (*df* error) degrees of freedom. The reported *p*-value in the ANOVA table for **relax** under the column "*sig*." is .000. Since the *p*-value is less than .05, the null hypothesis is rejected, and it is concluded that the reported pain levels for the muscle relaxation and guided imagery conditions are significantly different (which group is lower for **relax** will be discussed in the next section on marginal means).

The last test of interest, the test of **phyther** \times **relax**, produced an *F* of 9.714 (301.042/30.992) on 1 [(number of levels of **phyther** - 1) \times (number of levels of **relax** - 1)] and 20 (*df* error) degrees of freedom. The *p*-value for the test of the interaction is .005, which, since it is less than .05, leads us to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is a significant interaction between **phyther** and **relax**.

Estimated Marginal Means

The *Estimated Marginal Means* results produces a series of tables, one for each of the factors and one for the interaction (the marginal means tables are displayed whether the tests are significant or not). The marginal means tables are useful for interpreting the direction of *significant* results. Since all three tests are significant in our example, each of the marginal means tables will be discussed below. (If a given test was not significant, on the other hand, any differences between the marginal means for that test would be considered due to sampling error and would not be described.)

The first table, *phyther*, presents the marginal means for the two physical therapy conditions. Since **phyther** was significant, we'll inspect the means to see which group had lower reported pain levels. The marginal means table shows that those in the stretching condition (mean = 32.50) had significantly lower reported pain levels than those in the strengthening condition (mean = 47.08).

The second table, *relax*, presents the marginal means for the two relaxation exercise conditions. Since the test for **relax** was significant, we'll inspect the means to see which group had lower reported pain levels. The marginal means table shows that those who used muscle relaxation (mean = 35.00) had significantly lower reported pain levels than those who used guided imagery (mean = 44.58).

The last table of marginal means, *phyther* \times *relax*, shows the means for the significant interaction effect. Each of the four cell means from the study are presented, with each mean representing the average pain level of the six participants for the cell of interest. To more clearly identify the interaction effect, the table has been reproduced in a slightly modified form in Figure 9.14.

The first column of values in Figure 9.14 shows that, for those in the stretching condition, the pain level for muscle relaxation (24.167) was 16.666 points lower on average than for guided imagery (40.833). The next column presents the means for the strengthening condition and shows that the average pain level for muscle relaxation was only 2.50 points lower than for guided imagery (45.833 vs. 48.333). These mean differences illustrate the interaction effect: For stretching, the difference between muscle relaxation and guided imagery was large (16.666), while for strengthening the difference between muscle relaxation and guided imagery was small (2.50). A significant interaction effect indicates that these differences (16.666 vs. 2.50) are themselves significantly different. Looking at the mean pain levels across the four conditions, Figure 9.14 clearly shows the beneficial impact of combining the muscle relaxation and stretching conditions on pain (the participants had the lowest average pain level in this condition with a mean of 24.167).

	Stretching	Strengthening	Marginal means for relax
Muscle relaxation	24.167	45.833	35.000
Guided imagery	40.833	48.333	44.583
Mean difference	16.666	2.500	9.583

Figure 9.14 Cell means for the four conditions in the study, the marginal means for relax, and the mean *difference* between the relaxation exercise conditions for stretching, strengthening, and for the marginal means for relax.

Graphical Displays of the Interaction Effect

While we've just examined the interaction effect by inspecting the difference between cell means, it can be also useful to create a graph to display the results. We'll consider two different types of graphs for displaying the interaction effect: profile plots and bar charts. These two graphs are shown in Figures 9.12 and 9.13, respectively.

Profile Plots

The *Profile Plots* graph (shown in Figure 9.12 on page 113) displays the cell means of the four conditions in the study. In the plot, the levels of physical therapy are on the *X*-axis, with stretching on the left and strengthening on the right. The lines of the plot correspond to the different levels of **relax**, with the top line in the plot for guided imagery and the bottom line for muscle relaxation. On the left-hand side of the plot, the difference between the two points represents the difference between muscle relaxation and guided imagery for stretching (the 16.666 point difference discussed previously). The difference between the two points on the right side of the plot corresponds to the difference between muscle relaxation and guided imagery for strengthening (the

2.50 point difference). Recall that the interaction indicated that these two differences (16.666 and 2.50) are themselves significantly different. When the means are plotted, this difference results in (significantly) nonparallel lines, which is another way of describing an interaction.

Graph—Bar Chart

The bar chart shown in Figure 9.13 on page 114 is an alternative to the *Profile Plot* for producing a graph of a significant interaction effect. The profile plot and bar chart present the same information—the cell means for the four conditions under study; they just present the information in different ways. In the bar chart in Figure 9.13, **phyther** is on the horizontal (X) axis and the levels of **relax** are plotted as separate bars. The average pain level for each of the four conditions is equal to the height of the bar on the vertical (Y) axis. The first two bars on the left show that, for stretching, muscle relaxation resulted in considerably lower pain scores than guided imagery (the 16.666 point difference), resulting in a substantial difference in height between the adjacent bars for the stretching condition. The two bars on the right are for the strengthening condition. Notice that these two bars are very close in height, with muscle relaxation slightly lower than guided imagery, reflecting the small (2.50) difference in reported pain between these two conditions. Viewed from the perspective of the bar chart, a significant interaction indicates that the difference in height between adjacent bars is not the same across all levels of the *X*-axis variable (which is another way of stating that 16.666 is significantly different than 2.50).

Interpreting the Main Effects When the Interaction Effect is Significant

When the interaction effect is significant, significant main effects can be misleading (depending on the nature of the results), and as a result should be interpreted with caution. This point is illustrated in Figure 9.14 on page 116. The first two means in the far right-hand column of Figure 9.14 correspond to the marginal means for **relax**. The significant *main effect* for **relax** indicates that muscle relaxation and guided imagery are significantly different, with pain levels for muscle relaxation that are 9.583 points lower on average than guided imagery (35.000 vs. 44.583). This is the difference that the main effect predicts for relax, and it may be obtained by averaging the mean differences for the stretching and strengthening conditions ((16.666 + 2.500)/2 = 9.583). However, the significant interaction effect implies that the difference between the relaxation conditions depends on the type of physical therapy received: For stretching, the difference is larger than predicted by the main effect (16.666 versus a predicted value of 9.583), while for strengthening the difference is smaller (2.500 versus a predicted value of 9.583). Thus, using the main effect (in isolation) to describe the difference between the relaxation exercise conditions mischaracterizes both values, underestimating the difference for stretching and overestimating the difference for strengthening.³ If there was not a significant interaction, however, the main effect(s) would adequately describe the differences between the groups.

Simple Effects

When a significant interaction effect is present, further testing of the effect is possible using simple effects analyses. Simple effects analyses compare the effects of one factor *at a single level* of the other factor (such as testing the difference between stretching and strengthening at guided imagery). Simple effects analyses may be performed using the LMATRIX command in SPSS or by conducting independent samples *t* tests for the tests of interest. While simple effects are not covered in this chapter due to space considerations, an example of simple effects testing is illustrated in Chapter 11.

Effect Sizes

The measure of effect size commonly used with a two-way between subjects ANOVA is partial eta-square (η^2). To calculate partial eta-square, the sum of squares (SS) from the Tests of *Between-Subjects Effects* table is used (named *Type III Sum of Squares* in the output). The formula for partial eta-square is

partial
$$\eta^2 = \frac{SS_{\text{Effect}}}{SS_{\text{Effect}} + SS_{\text{Error}}}$$

where SS_{Effect} corresponds to the sum of squares for the effect of interest and SS_{Error} corresponds to the sum of squares for error. To calculate the partial eta-square for a given test of interest, substitute the appropriate SS values found in Figure 9.12 in the above formula. For example, **phyther** has an SS of 1276.04 and an SS_{Error} of 619.83. Substituting the values into the formula produces a partial eta-square of

partial
$$\eta^2 = \frac{1276.04}{1276.04 + 619.83} = .67$$

which agrees with the value for **phyther** provided in Figure 9.12. Partial eta-square ranges from 0 to 1, with the larger the value of partial eta-square, the more variance the effect explains in the dependent variable. Conventional effect size measures for partial eta-square have not been provided.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In writing the results for the two-way between subjects ANOVA, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the degrees of freedom (df), the F value, the p-value, and the effect size are reported along with the means and standard deviations (the means and standard deviations may be reported in a separate table if desired). If the interaction is significant, a bar chart or profile plot would also typically be provided. A sample write-up of the results in APA format is presented next.

Written Results

A 2 \times 2 between-subjects ANOVA was conducted with back pain as the dependent variable and physical therapy (stretching/strengthening) and relaxation exercise (muscle relaxation/guided imagery) as the independent variables. The results indicated that there was a significant main effect for physical therapy, F(1, 20) = 41.17, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .67$, with those using stretching exercises (M = 32.50, SD = 10.41) reporting significantly less pain that those using strengthening exercises (M = 47.08, SD = 5.04). There was also a significant main effect for relaxation exercise, F(1, 20) = 17.78, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .47$, with those using muscle relaxation (M = 35.00, SD = 12.31) reporting significantly less pain than those using guided imagery (M = 44.58, SD = 6.95). There was also a significant physical therapy by relaxation exercise interaction, F(1, 20) = 9.71, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .33$. For strengthening, there was little difference in pain between the muscle relaxation and guided imagery conditions, while for stretching, the difference in pain between the muscle relaxation and guided imagery conditions was large, with muscle relaxation resulting in substantially lower pain (see the profile plot in Figure 9.12) or the bar chart in Figure 9.13 for more details). Overall, the participants who received the combined effect of stretching and muscle relaxation reported substantially less pain than the other conditions.

Assumptions of the Two-Way between Subjects ANOVA

1. The observations are independent.

The independence assumption should be satisfied by designing your study so the participants do not influence each other in any way (an example of a *violation* of this assumption would be if people received *both* muscle relaxation and guided imagery). Violating the independence assumption can seriously compromise the accuracy of the ANOVA test. If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, the between subjects ANOVA should not be used.

- 2. The dependent variable is normally distributed for each of the cells in the population. This assumption means that the dependent variable should be normally distributed in the population for each of the cells in the study. For moderate to large sample sizes, most types of nonnormal distributions tend to have relatively little impact on the accuracy of the ANOVA test, although some nonnormal distributions can adversely affect the power of ANOVA.
- 3. The variances for each of the cells are equal in the population. This assumption means that the variances in each of the cells (the four conditions in the study) should be equal in the population. Violating the equal variances assumption can compromise the accuracy of the ANOVA test, particularly when the group sample sizes are unequal. Interpreting the results of Levene's test in SPSS addresses this assumption. If the equal variance assumption is violated and the sample sizes are fairly unequal in the cells, the two-way ANOVA should not be used (unlike the one-way ANOVA in Chapter 8, SPSS does not currently have a built-in procedure for unequal variances in the two-way ANOVA).

Summary of Steps for Conducting a Two-Way between Subjects ANOVA in SPSS

I. Data Entry and Analysis

- 1. Create three variables in SPSS (one for each independent variable and one for the dependent variable).
- 2. Create value labels for each independent variable. In the *Value Labels* dialog box, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click *OK*.
- 3. Enter the data.
- 4. Select Analyze > General Linear Model > Univariate
- 5. Move the dependent variable to the *Dependent Variable* box and the independent variables to the *Fixed Factor(s)* box.
- 6. Click *Options*. Move the factors and the interaction term to the *Display Means for* box. Select *Descriptive statistics*, *Estimates of effect size*, and *Homogeneity tests*. Click *Continue*.
- 7. Click *Plots*. Move the factor with the greater number of levels (if relevant) to the *Horizontal Axis* box and the other factor to the *Separate Lines* box. Click *Add*. Click *Continue*. (As an alternative to the profile plot, a bar chart may be created.)
- 8. If there are any factors with three or more levels, Click *Post Hoc*. Move the factor with three or more levels to the *Post Hoc Tests for* box. Select *Tukey* (not *Tukey's-b*). Click *Continue*.
- 9. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

- 1. Check Levene's test of equality of error variances.
 - a. If p > .05 for Levene's test, equal variances are assumed. Proceed with intepreting the ANOVA test.
 - Interpret the results of each of the factors, indicating the nature of the differences for significant main effects with two levels. For significant main effects with three or more levels, interpret the results of Tukey's test.
 - If the interaction is significant, describe the nature of the relationship between the two variables.
 - b. If $p \le .05$ for Levene's test, equal population variances are *not* assumed. Since SPSS does not have a two-way procedure for unequal variances, if your sample sizes are equal or nearly equal, running the traditional two-way ANOVA should result in fairly accurate *p*-values (how accurate they are depends on the degree of inequality in the sample sizes and the variances).

If the difference in the sample sizes is moderate to large, one option is to run two separate one-way ANOVAs (for factors with three or more levels) or *t* tests (for factors with two levels), testing for equal variances for each factor (if two separate tests are run, however, an interaction effect cannot be tested). For factors with two levels, read the bottom row from the *t-test for Equality of Means* table to interpret the results (see Chapter 6 for more details). For factors with three or more levels and equal variances, run the traditional one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test. For factors with three or more levels and unequal variances, use either the Brown-Forsythe or Welch's test and select a post hoc test that does not assume equal variances (see Chapter 8 for more details).

- For significant factors with two levels (i.e., the *t* test), inspect the means in the *Group Statistics* table to describe the nature of the differences between the groups. For significant factors with three or more levels, interpret the results of the post hoc procedure for the factor(s) of interest.
- For nonsignificant factors, do not describe any mean differences between the groups. Write the results stating that there is not a significant difference between the groups for the factor(s) of interest.

Exercises

1. A researcher investigated the impact of gender and cell phone usage on driving performance. Each of the 24 people (12 males and 12 females) who agreed to participate in the study drove a car on a closed course where their driving accuracy was assessed (driving accuracy was measured on a 0 to 50 scale, with higher scores indicating better driving performance). While driving on the closed course, half of the participants (6 males and 6 females) spoke on a cell phone, while the other half did not. The data are provided in Figure 9.15.

Gender	Cellphone	Driving score	Gender	Cellphone	Driving score
1	1	34	2	1	35
1	1	29	2	1	32
1	1	38	2	1	27
1	1	34	2	1	26
1	1	33	2	1	37
1	1	30	2	1	24
1	2	45	2	2	48
1	2	44	2	2	47
1	2	46	2	2	40
1	2	42	2	2	46
1	2	47	2	2	50
1	2	40	2	2	39

Figure 9.15 The data for Exercise 1. For gender, 1 = "male" and 2 = "female" For cellphone, 1 = "spoke on cell phone" and 2 = "didn't speak on cell phone."

Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **gender**, **cellphone**, and **drivingscore**.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses for each test of interest.
- b. State a research question for each test of interest.
- c. Test for the assumption of equal variances. Do the data suggest unequal variances between the conditions? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- d. Test for main effects of gender, cell phone use, and for a gender \times cellphone interaction (use $\alpha = .05$ for each test). Which tests, if any, are significant? Which tests, if any, are not significant?

- e. Report the effect size for each of the tests. Which of the tests has the largest effect size?
- f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. A psychologist investigated the impact of two different teaching methods (methods A and B) and majors in college (broadly classified as natural science and social science) on math anxiety. Forty college students (20 from each major) were included in the study, with half of the students (10 from each major) receiving teaching method A and half receiving teaching method B. After six weeks of instruction using the appropriate method, a math anxiety scale was administered. Scores on the scale ranged from 20 to 80, with higher scores indicating greater math anxiety. The data are provided in the file *Chapter 9_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 9 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/ textbooks/9780205735822. In the data file, the variables are named **method** (1 = "method A" and 2 = "method B"), **major** (1 = "natural science" and 2 = "social science"), and **mathanxiety**. Open the file in SPSS, and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. State the null and alternative hypotheses for each test of interest.
 - b. State a research question for each test of interest.
 - c. Test for the assumption of equal variances. Do the data suggest unequal variances between the conditions? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
 - d. Test for main effects of method, major, and for a method \times major interaction (use $\alpha = .05$ for each test). Which tests, if any, are significant? Which tests, if any, are not significant?
 - e. Report the effect size for each of the tests. Which of the tests has the largest effect size?
 - f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 3. Shown in Figure 9.16 is a plot of a significant interaction effect examining the impact of diet (whole grain, nonwhole grain), and the number of pounds overweight at the beginning of the study (11–30 pounds, 31–50 pounds) on weight loss. For diet, the two diets were identical with the exception that one used whole grains (e.g., whole wheat pasta) while the other did not (e.g., white pasta). The dependent variable is the amount of weight lost in the study, with a positive value indicating that weight was *lost* (the means are displayed for each of the four conditions in the plot in Figure 9.16).



Estimated Marginal Means of weightloss

Figure 9.16 The weight \times diet interaction plot.

Using the information in the plot, answer the questions below.

- a. Which of the four conditions experienced the greatest weight loss in the study? Which experienced the least?
- b. Describe the significant interaction plot. Make sure that your description characterizes the interaction effect present in the graph (e.g., don't limit yourself to describing how those who were more overweight lost more weight, on average, than those who were less overweight, as this is a description of a main effect).

CHAPTER

The One-Way within Subjects Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

The one-way within subjects analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used when the means of an independent variable are compared on a continuous dependent variable of interest. In a within subjects ANOVA, the independent variable is a within subjects factor with two or more levels, where *each participant receives all levels* of the independent variable.¹ An example of a one-way within subjects ANOVA is presented next.

Example

A school psychologist wanted to evaluate the potential effectiveness of a new social skills program, which was designed to teach children skills for fostering good relationships with their peers. Twenty-five fourth graders who were having difficulties in peer relations were enrolled in the social skills program for a period of 16 weeks. The social skills of the 25 children (as rated by the teachers) were measured immediately before the start of the program, at 8 weeks, and at the conclusion of the program (at 16 weeks). A 10-item social skills scale was used to measure social skills in children. The scale ranged from 6 to 60, with higher scores indicating more socially appropriate behaviors. The independent variable in this study is time (before, 8 weeks, and after) and the dependent variable is the social skills scores.

Objectives and Data Requirements of the One-Way within Subjects ANOVA

One-way within Subjects ANOVA							
Objective	Data Requirements	Example					
To test whether the means of two or more related groups differ significantly on a dependent variable of interest.	Independent variableWithin subjects factor with two or more levelsDependent variableContinuous	 Independent variable Time (before, 8 weeks, after) Dependent variable Social skills scores 					

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

The null hypothesis states that the mean social skills scores for the three time occasions are equal in the population:

In order for the null hypothesis to be false, it is not necessary that all three means be different from one another (although this is one possibility); all that is required is that the null hypothesis is false in some way (i.e., there is a difference *somewhere* between the groups). Therefore, rather than generate all possible ways the null hypothesis could be false (which would become increasingly tedious as the number of groups increased), a general statement that the means differ in some way will be made.

 H_1 : At least one of the means is different from the others.

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The one-way within subjects ANOVA provides a test of the null hypothesis that the population means for the three time occasions are equal. If the test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Question

The fundamental question of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of a research question, such as,

"Is there a difference in social skills before, during, or after a 16-week social skills training program?"

The Data

The data for the 25 participants are presented in Figure 10.1. The three time occasions, before, 8 weeks, and after, correspond to the social skills scores immediately before, halfway through, and immediately following the conclusion of the program.

Participant	Before	8 Weeks	After	Participant	Before	8 Weeks	After
1	20	24	26	14	32	30	31
2	25	26	25	15	34	32	36
3	28	31	30	16	22	25	29
4	18	16	17	17	16	19	22
5	24	25	30	18	31	28	31
6	30	28	31	19	14	14	18
7	18	22	25	20	13	10	14
8	14	17	21	21	15	14	16
9	12	15	16	22	31	32	32
10	9	11	10	23	35	32	36
11	15	15	19	24	28	29	31
12	17	16	20	25	26	25	25
13	35	32	31				

Figure 10.1 The data for the one-way within subjects ANOVA. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *social skills.sav* in the Chapter 10 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, three variables will be created, one for each of the time occasions social skills scores were measured. The variables will be named **before**, **week8** and **after**, respectively.

3. Enter the variable names **before**, **week8**, and **after**, respectively, in the first three rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 10.2).

				11	#1 E		\$∆ ∭			5	
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	before	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	& Scale	> Input
2	week8	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	E Right	# Scale	> Input
3	after	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	Scale	🕥 Input
4											
	4		-							1	

Figure 10.2 The Variable View window in SPSS with the variables before, week8, and after entered.

Step 2: Enter the Data

- 1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **before**, **week8**, and **after** appear in the first three columns of the *Data View* window.
- 2. Consulting Figure 10.1, enter the scores for each of the participants on the three variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the scores 20, 24, and 26 on the variables **before**, **week8**, and **after**, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 25 participants. The completed data set is presented in Figure 10.3.

🖩 *Untitled*	[DataSet0] - P	ASW Statistics	Data Editor	i i							>
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit ⊻	ievv <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ran	nsform <u>A</u> nalyze	<u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add	-ons <u>Wi</u> r	ndow <u>t</u>	lelp				
		5			11		4		1		A 46
										Visible: 3	3 of 3 Variable
	before	week8	after	var	Var		Var	var	var	var	var
1	20.00	24.00	26.00								4
2	25.00	26.00	25.00								
3	28.00	31.00	30.00								
4	18.00	16.00	17.00								
5	24.00	25.00	30.00		Notice	e for th	ne one	-way with	nin		
6	30.00	28.00	31.00		subjec	cts AN	IOVA	that a sep	arate		
7	18.00	22.00	25.00		variab	<i>le</i> is c	reated	d in SPSS	for each		
8	14.00	17.00	21.00		level	of the	within	subjects f	actor.		
9	12.00	15.00	16.00		This is	s the g	jenera	al setup to	r all within		
10	9.00	11.00	10.00		subjec	te au	tors. I	t there we	ere five		
11	15.00	15.00	19.00		levels	loav No fiv	viunin s vo vori		Id bo		
12	17.00	16.00	20.00		create	d in S	PSS	ables wou	nu be		
13	35.00	32.00	31.00		create	u in c	n 00.				
14	32.00	30.00	31.00								
15	34.00	32.00	36.00								
16	22.00	25.00	29.00								
17	16.00	19.00	22.00								
18	31.00	28.00	31.00								
19	14.00	14.00	18.00								
20	13.00	10.00	14.00								
21	15.00	14.00	16.00								
22	31.00	32.00	32.00								
23	35.00	32.00	36.00								
24	28.00	29.00	31.00								
25	26.00	25.00	25.00								*
	1				and so in some	_					4
Data View	/ariable View							PASW Statistics	s Processor is n	adv	

Figure 10.3 The data file for the one-way within subjects ANOVA example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

1. From the menu bar, select Analyze > General Linear Model > Repeated Measures ... (see Figure 10.4).

10 M	Untitl	ed1 [DataSet0]	- PASW S	tatistics Data Editor						- 🗆 ×
File	Edit	<u>⊻</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u>	ransform	Analyze Graphs Utilities	Add-o	ns <u>W</u> i	ndow	Help		
			, r	Reports Descriptive Statistics	*	Ħ				
				Tables				Visi	ble: 3 of 3	Variables
		before	week	Compare Means	•	var		var	Va	IĽ
	1	20.00	2	General Linear Model	*			4		
	2	25.00	2	Generalized Linear Models	Generalized Linear Models					
	3	28.00) 3	Mixed Models	•		anaotori k	laseriras	,	
	4	18.00	1	<u>C</u> orrelate	•	REP ILLS	pourou n	icasaros		
	5	24.00	2	Regression	*	<u>V</u> e	ariance C	omponent	s	

Figure 10.4 Menu commands for the one-way within subjects ANOVA procedure.

The *Repeated Measures Define Factor(s)* dialog box opens (see Figure 10.5). This dialog box is used to provide a name for the within subjects factor and to enter the number of levels of the factor.

📰 Repeated Measures Defin 🗙
Within-Subject Factor Name:
factor1
Number of Levels:
Add
Change
(veilione)
Measure <u>N</u> ame:
Add
Change
Remove
Define Reset Cancel Help

Figure 10.5 The Repeated Measures Define Factor(s) dialog box.

- 2. Double-click the name "factor1" in the *Within Subject Factor Name* text box (*factor1* is the default name provided by SPSS for the within subjects factor). Enter the name, **time**.
- 3. In the text box to the right of *Number of Levels*, enter a *3*. This corresponds to the number of levels (**before**, **week8**, **after**) of the within subjects factor. See Figure 10.6 for details.

📰 Repeated Measures Defin 🗙
Within-Subject Factor Name:
time
Number of Levels: 3
Add
Change Remove
Meesure Name
Add
Change Remoye
Define Reset Cancel Help

Figure 10.6 The Repeated Measures Define Factor(s) dialog box (continued).

- 4. Click Add.
- 5. Click Define.

The *Repeated Measures* dialog box opens with the three time occasions (**before**, **week8**, **after**) on the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 10.7 on page 128).

- With the *ctrl* key held down, select the time occasions **before**, week8, and after. Click the upper right-arrow button (➤) to move the three variables into the *Within Subjects Variables* box (see Figure 10.8 on page 128).²
- Click Options. Under the Factor(s) and Factor Interactions box, select time, and click the right-arrow button (≥) to move it to the Display Means for box. Under Display, select Descriptive statistics and Estimates of effect size (see Figure 10.9 on page 129).
- 8. Click Continue.
- 9. Click OK.

The one-way within subjects ANOVA procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

A hatora		Within-Subjects Variables (time):	Model
week8		_?_(1)	Contrasts
🖉 after		2 (3)	Plots
		(0)	Post Hoc
	*		Save
			Options
	*	Between-Subjects Factor(s):]
	\$	Covariates:]

Figure 10.7 The Repeated Measures dialog box.

	Within-Subjects Variables (time):	Model
	before(1)	Contrasts
	week8(2) after(3)	Plots
		Post Hoc
*		Save
		Options
	Between Subjects Factor(s):	
	Derween-Subjects ractor(s).	1
\$		
]
	Covariates:	
_]
4		
]

Figure 10.8 The Repeated Measures dialog box (continued).

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output for the one-way within subjects ANOVA is displayed in Figure 10.10 (page 131).

Within-Subjects Factors

The first table, *Within-Subjects Factors*, lists the three time occasions the participants were measured, including before the program (**before**), eight weeks into the program (**week8**), and at the conclusion of the program (**after**).

 (OVERALL) 	time
time	*
	Compare main effects
	Confidence interval adjustment
 Descriptive statistics Estimates of effect size 	Transformation matrix Homogeneity tests
Observed power	🗾 Spread vs. level plot
🔲 Parameter estimates	Residual plot
SCP matrices	Lack of fit
Residual SSCP matrix	Ceneral estimable function

Figure 10.9 The Repeated Measures: Options dialog box.

Descriptive Statistics

The *Descriptive Statistics* table displays the mean and standard deviation for each of the three time periods. Notice that the mean social skills scores were lowest for **before** (mean = 22.48), followed by **week8** (mean = 22.72), and **after** (mean = 24.88). Whether the difference in the means is large enough to be statistically significant will be examined shortly.

Multivariate Tests

The null hypothesis that the means of the three time occasions are equal in the population can be tested using either a univariate test (ANOVA) or a multivariate test (MANOVA). The *Multivariate Tests* table provides the results of four different multivariate tests. While the multivariate tests are automatically output in the results, multivariate procedures are beyond the scope of this text and will therefore not be discussed here. Interested readers are referred to Maxwell and Delaney (2004) or Stevens (2002) for more details on the MANOVA procedure.

Mauchly's Test of Sphericity

The next table, *Mauchly's Test of Sphericity*, provides a test of the sphericity assumption, which is an assumption of the within subjects ANOVA when there are three of more levels to the within subjects factor.³ While a test of this assumption is provided in the *Mauchly's Test of Sphericity* table (the *p*-value for the test is .056), this test can be inaccurate (see Howell, 2007, or Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, for more details) and therefore will not be considered (an alternative solution to testing the assumption of sphericity will be provided).⁴
General Linear Model

Within-Subjects Factors

Measure: MEASURE_	_
-------------------	---

time	Dependent Variable
1	before
2	week8
3	after

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
before	22.4800	8.10411	25
week8	22.7200	7.35142	25
after	24.8800	7.20139	25

Multivariate Tests^b

Effect		Value	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	Sig.
time	Pillai's Trace	.569	15.155 ^a	2.000	23.000	.000
	Wilks' Lambda	.431	15.155 ^a	2.000	23.000	.000
	Hotelling's Trace	1.318	15.155 ^a	2.000	23.000	.000
	Roy's Largest Root	1.318	15.155 ^a	2.000	23.000	.000

a. Exact statistic

b. Design: Intercept

Within Subjects Design: time

Mauchly's Test of Sphericity^b

Measure: MEASURE_1

					Epsilon ^a		
Within Subjects Effect	Mauchly's W	Approx. Chi-Square	df	Sig.	Greenhous e-Geisser	Huynh-Feldt	Lower-bound
time	.779	5.751	2	.056	.819	.871	.500

Tests the null hypothesis that the error covariance matrix of the orthonormalized transformed dependent variables is proportional to an identity matrix.

a. May be used to adjust the degrees of freedom for the averaged tests of significance. Corrected tests are displayed in the Tests of Within-Subjects Effects table.

b. Design: Intercept Within Subjects Design: time

Tests of Within-Subjects Effects

Measure: ME	ASURE_1						
Source		Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
time	Sphericity Assumed	87.360	2	43.680	14.298	.000 🗨	.373
	Greenhouse-Geisser	87.360	1.638	53.343	14.298	.000	.373
	Huynh-Feldt	87.360	1.741	50.166	14.298	.000	.373
	Lower-bound	87.360	1.000	87.360	14.298	.001	.373
Error(time)	Sphericity Assumed	146.640	48	3.055			
	Greenhouse-Geisser	146.640	39.305	3.731			
	Hunh-Feldty	146.640	41.794	3.509			
	Lower-bound	146.640	24.000	6.110			

The *p*-value for the test that the social skills scores are equal across the 3 time occasions. Use either the *Greenhouse*-*Geisser* or *Sphericity Assumed* values (both are significant since p < .05 for each test).

Source	time	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared				
time	Linear	72.000	1	72.000	16.457	.000	.407				
	Quadratic	15.360	1	15.360	8.853	.007	.269				
Error(time)	Linear	105.000	24	4.375							
	Quadratic	41.640	24	1.735							

Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Measure: MEASURE_1 Transformed Variable: Average

MARANINA MEACUDE 1

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
Intercept	40926.720	1	40926.720	247.336	.000	.912
Error	3971.280	24	165.470			

Estimated Marginal Means

Measure: MEASURE_1									
			95% Confidence Interval						
time	Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound					
1	22.480	1.621	19.135	25.825					
2	22.720	1.470	19.685	25.755					
3	24.880	1.440	21.907	27.853					

time

Figure 10.10 Output for the one-way within subjects ANOVA procedure.

If the assumption of sphericity is not met, the standard ANOVA F test (reported as Sphericity Assumed in the Tests of Within-Subjects Effects table) is inaccurate, yielding results that lead to rejecting a true null hypothesis more often than is warranted. As a result of the inaccuracy of the F test when the assumption of sphericity is violated, several alternative F tests that adjust for the lack of sphericity have been proposed. There are three such "adjustment procedures" in the Tests of Within-Subjects Effects table: Greenhouse-Geisser, Huynh-Feldt, and Lower-bound. Each of these procedures attempts to adjust for the inaccuracy of the standard ANOVA F when the sphericity assumption has been violated. Since *Mauchly's Test of Sphericity* can be inaccurate, we'll bypass this test and take the more cautious approach of using one of the adjustment procedures printed out in SPSS (i.e., we'll assume the assumption of sphericity has been violated and proceed accordingly). Of the three adjustment procedures, the Lower-bound adjustment is overly cautious as it rejects the null hypothesis too infrequently (which is known as being conservative) and as a result is not recommended. When choosing an adjustment procedure, therefore, the choice is between the Greenhouse-Geisser and Huynh-Feldt procedures. While both procedures can provide fairly accurate adjustments when the assumption of sphericity has been violated, the Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment can be slightly conservative (i.e., it rejects the null hypothesis less often than is warranted) while the *Huynh-Feldt* adjustment can be slightly liberal (i.e., it rejects the null hypothesis more often than is warranted). We'll take the more cautious approach and interpret the Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment (although this will come at a slight sacrifice in power). While the Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment provides a more accurate result than the standard (sphericity assumed) F when the assumption of sphericity has been violated, because it is tedious to calculate by hand, its solution is usually considered only when conducting analyses by computer. Therefore, those who are using SPSS to confirm results of hand calculations will want to use the Sphericity Assumed values.

Tests of Within-Subjects Effects

The next table, *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects*, provides the answer to our research question, that is, whether or not the social skills scores differ for the three time occasions. ANOVA produces an

F test, which is the ratio of two variances, with each variance represented as a mean square (MS) in the output

$$F = \frac{MS Time}{MS Error (time)}$$

Substituting the values from the Tests of Within-Subjects Effects table under the row Greenhouse-Geisser yields an F of

$$F = \frac{53.343}{3.731} = 14.298$$

which agrees with the value of F in the ANOVA table for the Greenhouse-Geisser solution. (The *Sphericity Assumed F* is also 14.298 (43.680/3.055).⁵ While all four procedures in the *Tests of Within-Subject Effects* table produce the same F value, they differ in their *df* and *p*-values. As a reminder, if you are comparing the results to hand calculations you'll want to use the sphericity assumed values).

The ANOVA test produces two degrees of freedom (df) for time [(df = number of levels - 1) and df for error (df = (total sample size - 1)*(the number of levels - 1))], which results in values of 2 and 48, respectively, for the sphericity assumed values. To obtain the df for the Greenhouse-Geisser procedure, an adjustment is applied to the sphericity assumed degrees of freedom to compensate for the lack of sphericity in the data. In fact, if you look at the previous table, *Mauchly's Test of Sphericity*, you'll see that the value of a statistic called epsilon for *Greenhouse-Geisser* is .819. Multiplying the value of epsilon by the sphericity assumed degrees of freedom (2, 48) produces the degrees of freedom (within rounding error) of 1.638 and 39.305 that are reported for the Greenhouse-Geisser test.

The reported *p*-value found under the column "Sig." for Greenhouse-Geisser is .000 (which is read as "less than .001"). Since the *p*-value is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the means of the three time occasions are equal is rejected (the null hypothesis would also be rejected using the sphericity assumed procedure, with a *p*-value less than .001 reported in the table). After discussing the remaining three tables of output, additional testing will be conducted to examine the nature of the differences among the three time occasions.

Test of Within-Subjects Contrasts and Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

The next two tables, *Test of Within-Subjects Contrasts* and *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects*, will not be used in our discussion of the one-way within subjects ANOVA. While the *Test of Within-Subjects Contrasts* can be used for conducting certain follow-up tests for **time**, we'll conduct dependent-samples *t* tests since, with only two levels of the within subjects factor tested at a time, the assumption of sphericity is not required.

The *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects* table displays the results for any between subjects factors included in the study. Since we don't have a between subjects factor in our study, this table is not relevant here (this table will be discussed in Chapter 11).

Estimated Marginal Means

The *Estimated Marginal Means* table provides the means, standard error, and the 95% confidence interval for each of the three time occasions. While the *Descriptive Statistics* table discussed earlier also provided the means for each of the groups, the difference between the two tables is that the *Estimated Marginal Means* table displays the standard error and the 95% confidence interval for each of the groups, while the *Descriptive Statistics* table displays the standard deviation and the sample size.

Follow-up Tests after a Significant Result for the Overall ANOVA

Since the test for **time** was significant (see the *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects* section for a review), the null hypothesis that the social skills scores were equal across the three time occasions was rejected. Because the alternative hypothesis is nonspecific, however, stating only that the means differ in some way, follow-up testing is required to determine *which* of the time occasions are

significantly different from one another. The approach we'll take for the follow-up tests is similar to that of the one-way between subjects ANOVA in Chapter 8. Recall for the between subjects ANOVA, if the overall test was significant, all pairwise comparisons were tested using Tukey's test. For the within subjects ANOVA, all pairwise comparisons will be tested using dependent-samples *t* tests, which is an appropriate follow-up procedure for the *within* subjects ANOVA. This would result in three pairwise *t* tests: before vs. week8, before vs. after, and week8 vs. after. The commands for conducting the dependent samples *t* tests in SPSS are summarized next. (See Chapter 7 for more information on conducting dependent samples *t* tests.)

To conduct the three dependent-samples t tests in SPSS

- From the menu bar select, Analyze > Compare Means > Paired-Samples T Test....
- 2. The *Paired-Samples T Test* dialog box opens. With the *ctrl* key held down, select the variables *before* and *week8* and click the right-arrow button () to move them to the *Paired Variables* box.
- 3. Repeat this procedure for *before* and *after* and *week8* and *after*. All three pairs should now be in the *Paired Variables* box (see Figure 10.11).



Figure 10.11 The Paired-Samples T Test dialog box.

4. Click OK.

The paired-samples *t* tests procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window. The output for the dependent-samples *t* tests is displayed in Figure 10.12 on page 134.

T-Tests—Pairwise Comparisons of the Three Time Occasions

In conducting *t* tests of all possible pairwise comparisons, the following three null hypotheses were tested:

$$H_0: \mu_{\text{before}} = \mu_{\text{week8}}$$
$$H_0: \mu_{\text{before}} = \mu_{\text{after}}$$
$$H_0: \mu_{\text{week 8}} = \mu_{\text{after}}$$

A common practice when conducting follow-up tests is to adjust the alpha level for each test so that the entire set of follow-up tests does not exceed .05 (the alpha used for each test is referred to as the alpha level per comparison). To find the alpha level for each test, take the overall alpha level for the set of tests (.05) and divide that by the number of follow-up tests conducted. For three tests and an alpha level of .05, the per comparison alpha level is .05/3 = .016 (rounded down so that the sum of the three tests does not exceed .05). This means that instead of evaluating each test against an alpha level of .05, the *p*-value for each test will be compared to an alpha level of .016. If the *p*-value is less than or equal to .016, the null hypothesis for the comparison of interest will be rejected. If the *p*-value is greater than .016, the null hypothesis will not be rejected.

T-Test

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair	before	22.4800	25	8.10411	1.62082
1	week8	22.7200	25	7.35142	1.47028
Pair	before	22.4800	25	8.10411	1.62082
2	after	24.8800	25	7.20139	1.44028
Pair	week8	22.7200	25	7.35142	1.47028
3	after	24.8800	25	7.20139	1.44028

Paired Samples Correlations

		N	Correlation	Sig.
Pair 1	before & week8	25	.956	.000
Pair 2	before & after	25	.932	.000
Pair 3	week8 & after	25	.964	.000

Paired Samples Test

			Pai	red Differenc					
				Std. Error	95% Co Interva Diffe	nfidence al of the rence			
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Mean`	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	before - week8	24000	2.40278	.48056	-1.23182	.75182	499	24	.622
Pair 2	before - after	-2.40000	2.95804	.59161	-3.62102	-1.17898	-4.057	24	.000 🗶
Pair 3	week8 - after	-2.16000	1.95107	.39021	-2.96536	-1.35464	-5.535	24	.000

For the test of the pairwise comparisons, before vs. after and week8 vs. after are both significant, since p < .016 for each test (before vs. week8 is not significant since its p-value of .622 is greater than .016).

Figure 10.12 Output for the dependent-samples *t* follow-up tests.

This ensures that the probability of committing a Type I error (rejecting the null hypothesis when it is true) will be no greater than .05 for the entire *set* of follow-up tests. (If no adjustment was made and each follow-up test was conducted at .05, the overall alpha for the set of tests would *approach* the sum of the alpha levels for the three tests, or .15).

The results of the three pairwise comparisons are presented in the *Paired Samples Test* table. The results indicate that the first test, before vs. week8, is not significant, since the *p*-value of .622 is greater than .016. The remaining two tests, before vs. after and week8 vs. after, are both significant, with reported *p*-values of .000 (p < .001). Since the latter two tests are significant, we'll inspect the means presented in the *Paired-Samples Statistics* table to determine which of the time occasions has the higher social skills scores. The *Paired-Samples Statistics* table shows that social skills scores at after (24.88) are higher than both before (22.48) and week8 (22.72).

The results of the pairwise comparisons are summarized in Figure 10.13.

Effect Sizes

The measure of effect size commonly used with one-way within subjects ANOVA is partial eta-square (η^2). To calculate partial eta-square, the sum of squares (SS) from the Tests of

Test	Are the groups significantly different (is the <i>p</i> -value less than or equal to .016)?	Result
Before vs. 8 weeks	No	No significant difference between social skills scores before the program began and at 8 weeks
Before vs. after	Yes	Significant; at the end of the program children had higher social skills scores than before the program began
8 weeks vs. after	Yes	Significant; at the end of the program children had higher social skills scores than at 8 weeks

Figure 10.13 Summary of the results of the three pairwise comparisons.

Within-Subjects Effects table are used (they are referred to as *Type III Sum of Squares* in the output). The formula for partial eta-square is

partial
$$\eta^2 = \frac{SS_{\text{Effect}}}{SS_{\text{Effect}} + SS_{\text{Erro}}}$$

For **time**, the values for SS_{Effect} and SS_{Error} are reported in the *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects* table in Figure 10.10 as SS_{Time} and $SS_{Error(time)}$. Inserting the appropriate values from Figure 10.10 produces a partial eta–square of

partial
$$\eta^2 = \frac{87.360}{87.360 + 146.640} = .373$$

which agrees with the value reported in the Tests of Within-Subjects Effects table for time.

While conventional effect size measures for small, medium, and large effect sizes for partial eta-square have not been provided, the larger the value of partial eta-square, the more variance the effect explains in the dependent variable.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In writing the results for the one-within subjects ANOVA, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the degrees of freedom (df), the F value, the p-value, the effect size, and the results of the pairwise comparisons are reported (assuming the overall ANOVA is significant) along with the mean and standard deviation for each of the time occasions social skills scores were measured (the mean and standard deviation for each of the groups may be reported in a separate table if desired). A sample write-up in APA format is presented next.

Written Results

The social skills scores for the children were significantly different across the time occasions, Greenhouse-Geisser adjusted F(1.64, 39.31) = 14.30, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .37$. Dependent samples *t* tests were conducted to assess which of the time occasions differed from one another, with each test conducted at an alpha level of .016. The results indicated that the social skills scores were significantly higher at the end of the program (M = 24.88, SD = 7.20) than at eight weeks (M = 22.72, SD = 7.35), t(24) = -5.54, p < .016, and at the end of the program as compared to before the program began (M = 22.48, SD = 8.10), t(24) = -4.06, p < .016. There was not a significant difference in social skills scores before the program began and at eight weeks, t(24) = -.50, p > .016.

[*Note*: If you prefer to use the sphericity assumed values, replace the Geisser-Greenhouse degrees of freedom (1.64, 39.31) with the sphericity assumed values (2, 48) and delete the phrase "Geisser-Greenhouse adjusted" from the results. All other results remain the same.]

Assumptions of the One-Way within Subjects ANOVA

1. The observations are independent between the participants.

Violating this assumption can seriously compromise the accuracy of the within subjects ANOVA (teachers working together in determining their ratings of social skills scores for students is an example of a violation of the independence assumption). If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, the within subjects ANOVA should not be used.

2. *The dependent variable is normally distributed at each level of the independent variable in the population.*

This assumption means that the social skills scores should be normally distributed in the population for each level of the independent variable (e.g., social skills scores should be normally distributed at before, eight weeks, and after). For moderate to large sample sizes, most types of nonnormal distributions tend to have relatively little impact on the accuracy of the ANOVA test, although some nonnormal distributions can adversely affect the power of the ANOVA test.

3. Sphericity.

The sphericity assumption requires that the variances of the difference scores are equal for all pairs of levels of the within subjects factor in the population. For example, if you calculated the difference score between the first two levels of the within subjects factor for each of the participants (before–8 weeks) and then calculated the variance of those scores (recall that the variance is equal to the standard deviation squared) and repeated this procedure for all other combinations of levels (before–after and 8 weeks–after), the sphericity assumption requires that these three variances are equal,

$$\sigma_{\text{before}-8 \text{ weeks}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{before}-after}^2 = \sigma_{8 \text{ weeks}-after}^2$$

Violating the assumption of sphericity can compromise the accuracy of the ANOVA test, resulting in the null hypothesis being rejected more often than is warranted. Using an alternative procedure that adjusts for the presence of sphericity (e.g., Greenhouse-Geisser) addresses a violation of this assumption. Because multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) does not require the assumption of sphericity, MANOVA is also a viable option, although a discussion of this procedure is beyond the scope of this text (see Maxwell and Delaney [2004] or Stevens [2002] for more details on the MANOVA procedure).

Summary of Steps for Conducting a One-Way within Subjects ANOVA in SPSS

- I. Data Entry and Analysis
 - 1. Create the number of variables in SPSS equal to the number of levels of the within subjects factor.
 - 2. Enter the data.
 - 3. Select Analyze > General Linear Model > Repeated Measures
 - 4. Enter the name of the within subjects factor in the *Within-Subject Factor Name* text box, and enter the number of levels in the *Number of Levels* box. Click *Add.* Click *Define*.
 - 5. Move the variables (i.e., the levels of the within subjects factor) into the *Within-Subjects Variables* box.

- 6. Click *Options*. Move the within subjects factor to the *Display Means for* box. Under *Display*, click *Descriptive statistics* and *Estimates of effect size*. Click *Continue*.
- 7. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

- 1. Interpret the results for the overall ANOVA by examining the *p*-value either under *Greenhouse-Geisser* or the *Sphericity Assumed* rows (use the *Sphericity Assumed* values if comparing results to hand calculations).
 - a. If the ANOVA is significant (i.e., $p \le .05$), run dependent-samples *t* tests for all possible pairwise comparisons. Evaluate each *t* test at an alpha equal to .05 divided by the number of pairwise comparisons tested. Include the results for the overall ANOVA and the dependent-samples *t* tests in your write-up.
 - b. If the ANOVA is not significant (i.e., p > .05), stop (do not conduct *t* tests). Write the results stating that there is not a significant difference between the groups.

Exercises

1. A school social worker examined the impact of a new truancy prevention program on the number of truancies at a local school. Fifteen children were enrolled in the new program, and the number of truancies for each child was tracked over a nine-month period, with the number of truancies recorded in three-month intervals. The data are provided in Figure 10.14.

0–3 months	3–6 months	6–9 months
30	28	20
36	30	24
39	37	34
45	40	35
21	24	17
36	44	20
30	28	17
36	34	28
33	36	27
42	37	26
36	39	38
30	35	36
21	20	10
24	18	20
36	30	30

Figure 10.14 The number of truancies (at three-month intervals) for the children enrolled in the truancy program.

Enter the data into SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **month3**, **month6**, and **month9**, respectively.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is there a significant difference in the number of truancies over the three time periods? Test at $\alpha = .05$.

- d. What is the effect size for the ANOVA?
- e. If the overall ANOVA is significant, perform follow-up tests as appropriate. Adjust alpha for each test so that the set of tests does not exceed .05.
- f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. A clinical psychologist wanted to investigate the effectiveness of psychoanalytic therapy over time. Fifteen people who checked into a treatment facility (and consented to participate) were enrolled in the study. Each of the participants received psychoanalytic therapy for a period of 8 weeks. To measure the effectiveness of the therapy, the participants were administered a general measure of well-being before beginning therapy, 4 weeks into treatment, and after 8 weeks. The well-being measure ranged from 10 to 50, with higher scores indicating greater well-being. The data are in the file *Chapter 10_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 10 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **beforetherapy, fourweeks**, and **eightweeks**). Open the data file in SPSS and per-form the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
 - b. State a research question for the data.
 - c. Is there a significant difference in the well-being scores over time? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
 - d. What is the effect size for the ANOVA?
 - e. If the overall ANOVA is significant, perform follow-up tests as appropriate. Adjust alpha for each test so that the set of tests does not exceed .05.
 - f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 3. A marketing researcher investigated the impact of offering different types of wifi Internet service on the likelihood of eating at a fast-food restaurant. The likelihood of dining at a fast-food restaurant was investigated under three different classifications: free wifi service, pay per use wifi service, and no wifi service. Ten people (who ate fast food at least once a month) were asked to rate on a 1 to 10 scale the likelihood (1 = least likelihood and 10 = greatest likelihood) that they would dine at a fast-food restaurant in each of the three conditions (free wifi, pay per use wifi, and no wifi). The data are provided in the file *Chapter 10_Exercise 3.sav* in the Chapter 10 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **freewifi, payforwifi**, and **nowifi**). Open the data file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
 - b. State a research question for the data.
 - c. Is there a significant difference in the likelihood of eating at a fast-food restaurant based on the type of wifi service offered? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
 - d. What is the effect size for the overall ANOVA?
 - e. If the overall ANOVA is significant, perform follow-up tests as appropriate. Adjust alpha for each test so that the set of tests does not exceed .05.
 - f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.

CHAPTER

The One-Between–One-Within Subjects Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

A one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA is used when two independent variables are evaluated on a dependent variable of interest. In the one-between-one-within ANOVA, one of the independent variables is a between subjects factor and the other is a within subjects factor. The between subjects factor consists of two or more levels, where each participant receives *only one* level of the treatment. The within subjects factor consists of two or more levels, where each participant receives *all* levels of the treatment.¹ An example of a one-between-one-within ANOVA is presented next.

Example

A researcher investigated whether a mentoring program designed for beginning high school teachers was effective at reducing stress. Twenty new teachers participated in the study, with 10 teachers receiving the mentoring program and 10 teachers serving as the control group (i.e., they did not receive any mentoring support). In the mentoring program, the new teachers were assigned a mentor whom they met with weekly to discuss aspects of their job that are particularly challenging as they begin their careers (e.g., classroom management issues). After agreeing to participate in the study, all the teachers were administered a teaching stress scale one week before beginning teaching (before), four weeks into the year (week4), and eight weeks into the year (week8). The teaching stress scale ranged from 20 to 80, with higher scores indicating greater teaching-related stress. In this study, support (2 levels—mentor, no mentor) is the between subjects factor, time (3 levels—before, 4 weeks, and 8 weeks) is the within subjects factor, and the dependent variable is the reported level of teaching-related stress experienced by the new teachers.

Objectives and Data Requirements of the One-Between-One-Within ANOVA

Objectives	Data Requirements	Example
To test for main effects	Independent variables	Independent variables
• Is there a difference in stress levels between mentored and nonmentored teachers?	• One-between subjects factor (with two or more levels)	• Support (mentor, no mentor)
• Is there a difference in stress levels before the program began, at four weeks, and at eight weeks?	• One-within subjects factor (with two or more levels)	• Time (before, four weeks, eight weeks)
To test for an interaction effect	Dependent variable	Dependent variable
• Does the impact of support (mentor, no mentor) depend on time (before, 4 weeks, 8 weeks)?	Continuous	• Teaching stress scores

One-between-One-within ANOVA

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

There are three different null hypotheses for the one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA. One null hypothesis is tested for each of the independent variables (known as tests of main effects), and one for the combined effect of the two independent variables (known as a test for an interaction effect). Each of these hypotheses is described below.

Hypothesis 1. Test of Support: Mentoring vs. No Mentoring

The null hypothesis for support states that the mean stress levels for mentored and nonmentored teachers are equal in the population:

 $H_0: \mu_{\text{mentored}} = \mu_{\text{not mentored}}$

The alternative hypothesis states that the mean stress levels for mentored and nonmentored teachers are not equal in the population:

 $H_1: \mu_{\text{mentored}} \neq \mu_{\text{not mentored}}$

Hypothesis 2. Test of Time: Before, Four Weeks, and Eight Weeks

The null hypothesis for time states that the mean stress levels in the population are equal before the program began, at four weeks, and at eight weeks:

$$H_0: \mu_{\text{before}} = \mu_4_{\text{weeks}} = \mu_8_{\text{weeks}}$$

When there are three or more levels to a factor, the alternative hypothesis states that there is a difference somewhere between the levels of the factor:

 H_1 : At least one of the population means is different from the others.²

Hypothesis 3. Test of the Interaction Effect of Support and Time

The null hypothesis states that there is not an interaction between support and time:

 H_0 : There is not a support \times time interaction.

The alternative hypothesis states that there is an interaction between the two variables:

 H_1 : There is a support \times time interaction.

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The one-between-one-within ANOVA provides a test of the three null hypotheses discussed above. For a given hypothesis of interest, if the test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Questions

The fundamental questions of interest in a one-between-one-within ANOVA can also be expressed in the form of research questions, such as,

For support

"Do the reported stress levels differ for those who received mentoring versus those who did not?"

For time

"Is there a difference in the reported stress levels before beginning teaching, at four weeks, and at eight weeks?"

For the interaction of time and support

"Do the reported stress levels for time depend on whether or not mentoring was received?"

The Data

The data for the 20 participants are presented in Figure 11.1. For support, those who received mentoring are assigned a "1" and those who did not receive mentoring are assigned a "2." For time, **before**, **week4**, and **week8** correspond to teacher stress scores immediately before beginning teaching, four weeks into the school year, and eight weeks into the school year, respectively.

Participant	Support	Before	Week4	Week8	Participant	Support	Before	Week4	Week8
1	1	40	39	35	11	2	38	44	42
2	1	45	44	42	12	2	47	45	42
3	1	42	44	44	13	2	41	48	45
4	1	38	36	30	14	2	39	41	43
5	1	46	44	38	15	2	44	44	41
6	1	40	37	25	16	2	42	39	35
7	1	42	38	35	17	2	42	48	46
8	1	39	37	29	18	2	40	46	42
9	1	35	33	31	19	2	38	45	43
10	1	43	44	38	20	2	41	40	35

Figure 11.1 The data for the one-between-one-within ANOVA. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *teaching stress.sav* in the Chapter 11 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, four variables will be created, one for the support groups (mentor/no mentor) and one for each of the time occasions teaching stress was assessed. The variables will be named **support**, **before**, **week4**, and **week8**, respectively.

3. Enter the names **support**, **before**, **week4**, and **week8**, respectively, in the first four rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 11.2).

Edt	<u>View D</u> ata <u>T</u>	ransform <u>Analy</u>	rze <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add-	ons <u>Window</u>	Help					
				1	813 🔛					15	
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	support	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	Scale 8	S Input
2	before	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	# Scale	🕥 Input
3	week4	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	& Scale	S Input
4	week8	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	& Scale	> Input
5	1										
	1		-								

Figure 11.2 The Variable View window with the variables support, before, week4, and week8 entered.

4. Using the process described in Chapter 1, create value labels for **support**. For **support**, 1 = "mentor" and 2 = "no mentor."

Step 2: Enter the Data

- 1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **support**, **before**, **week4**, and **week8** appear in the first four columns of the *Data View* window.
- 2. Consulting Figure 11.1, enter the values for each of the participants on the four variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the values *1*, *40*, *39*, and *35*, for the variables **support**, **before**, **week4** and **week8**, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 20 participants. The completed data set is presented in Figure 11.3.

III *Untitle File <u>E</u> dit	d 1 [DataSet0] - I <u>Vi</u> ew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ran	PASW Statisti Isform <u>A</u> nalyz	cs Data Edito e <u>G</u> raphs	or Utilities Add- <u>o</u>	ns <u>W</u> indow	Help				- 🗆 >
		r a		1	甜 蓍		4	1		ABC
									Visible: 4 of 4	Variable
	support	before	week4	week8	var	var	var	var	var	var
1	1.00	40.00	39.00	35.00						1
2	1.00	45.00	44.00	42.00						
3	1.00	42.00	44.00	44.00						
4	1.00	38.00	36.00	30.00						
5	1.00	46.00	44.00	38.00						
6	1.00	40.00	37.00	25.00	Notio	e that t	he structur	ing of the	data	
7	1.00	42.00	38.00	35.00	file f	or the o	ne-betwee	n one-wit	thin	
8	1.00	39.00	37.00	29.00	subj	ects AN	OVA comb	ines the f	eatures	
9	1.00	35.00	33.00	31.00	of th	e betwe	en subject	s ANOVA	· [
10	1.00	43.00	44.00	38.00	(diffe	erent nu	mbers for t	he differe	nt levels	
11	2.00	38.00	44.00	42.00	Of SL	ipport)	With the rea	atures of		
12	2.00	47.00	45.00	42.00	time	ontoro		ato variah		
13	2.00	41.00	48.00	45.00	SPS	S – bef	nre week	and wee	k 8)	
14	2.00	39.00	41.00	43.00					JRC).	
15	2.00	44.00	44.00	41.00						
16	2.00	42.00	39.00	35.00						
17	2.00	42.00	48.00	46.00						
18	2.00	40.00	46.00	42.00						
19	2.00	38.00	45.00	43.00						
20	2.00	41.00	40.00	35.00						
21										-
	4		-							4
Data View	Variable View									
							PASW Statistics	Processor is re	ady	

Figure 11.3 The completed data file for the one-between-one-within ANOVA.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

1. From the menu bar, select **Analyze** > **General Linear Model** > **Repeated Measures** ... (see Figure 11.4).

A *Repeated Measures Define Factor(s)* dialog box opens (see Figure 11.5). This dialog box is used to provide a name for the within subjects factor and to enter the number of levels of the factor.³

- 2. Double-click "*factor1*" in the *Within Subject Factor Name* text box (*factor1* is the default name SPSS provides for the within subject factor). Enter the name **time**.
- 3. In the *Number of Levels* text box, enter the number *3*. This corresponds to the number of levels of **time** (before, week4, and week8). See Figure 11.6 for details.
- 4. Click Add.
- 5. Click Define.

Defin...

X

🔠 *Untitle	ed1 [DataSet0] -	PASW S	tatistics Data Editor					- 🗆 ×
<u>File</u> Edit	<u>⊻</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> rai	nsform	Analyze Graphs Utilities	Add- <u>o</u> n	s <u>W</u> indow	Help		
			Reports Descriptive Statistics	• •	甜蜜			
			Ta <u>b</u> les			Vi	sible: 4 of 4	Variables
	support	befor	Compare Means	•	var	Var	va	ır
1	1.00	4	General Linear Model	*	Univariat	e		-
2	1.00	4	Generalized Linear Models	- M	Multivari	ate		
3	1.00	4	Mixed Models	1	Reneate	d Measures		
4	1.00	3	<u>C</u> orrelate	- * I	TTA Toponto		-	
5	1.00	4	Regression		Variance	e Component:	S	
6	1.00	4	Loglinear	1				

Figure 11.4 Menu commands for the one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA procedure.

Within-Subject Factor Name:	Within-Subject Factor Nam
factor1	time
Number of Levels:	Number of Levels: 3
Add	Add
Change	Change
Remove	Remove
Measure <u>N</u> ame:	Measure Name:
Add	Add
Change	Change
	Remove
Remove	

Figure 11.5 The Repeated Measures Define Factor(s) dialog box.

Figure 11.6 The Repeated Measures Define Factor(s) dialog box (continued).

Help

A		Within-Subjects Variables	Model
✓ support ✓ before		(unit).	Contrasts
vveek4		_?_(2)	Plots
V week8		212(3)	Post Hoc.
			Save
			Options.
	*	Between-Subjects Factor(s):]
	•	<u>C</u> ovariates:	

The *Repeated Measures* dialog box opens with **support**, **before**, **week4**, and **week8** on the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 11.7).

Figure 11.7 The Repeated Measures dialog box.

- 6. Select the between subjects variable, **support**, and click the middle right-arrow button () to move it into the *Between-Subjects Factor(s)* box.
- With the *Ctrl* key held down, select the variables **before**, week4, and week8, and click the upper right-arrow button () to move them into the *Within-Subjects Variables* box (see Figure 11.8).

	Within-Subjects Variables	Model
	before(1)	Contrasts
	* week4(2)	Plots
	weeko(3)	Post Hoc
*		Save
		Options
	Between-Subjects Factor(s):	1
*		
	<u>C</u> ovariates:	
(m)	1	
4		
		1

Figure 11.8 The Repeated Measures dialog box (continued).

Click Options. The Repeated Measures: Options dialog box opens. Under the Factor(s) and Factor Interactions box select support, time, and support*time, and click the right-arrow button () to move them into the Display Means for box. Under Display select Descriptive statistics and Estimates of effect size (see Figure 11.9).

Eactor(s) and Factor Interactions: (OVERALL) support time support*time	Display <u>Means for:</u> Support time support*time
	Compare main effects Confidence interval adjustment LSD(none) T
Display	Transformation mobile
Estimates of effect size	Homogeneity tests
Observed power	Spread vs. level plot
Parameter estimates	Residual plot
SSCP matrices	Lack of fit
Residual SSCP matrix	Ceneral estimable function

Figure 11.9 The Repeated Measures: Options dialog box.

- 9. Click Continue.
- 10. Click *Plots*. The *Repeated Measures: Profile Plots* dialog box opens. Select the factor, **time**, and click the upper right-arrow button () to move it to the *Horizontal Axis* box. Select the factor, **support**, and click the middle right-arrow button () to move it to the *Separate Lines* box. See Figure 11.10 for details.

🔠 Repeated Meas	ures: Profile Plots 🛛 🗙
Eactors: support time	Horizontal Axis: time Separate Lines: Support Separate Plots:
Plots:	Add Change Remove
	ontinue Cancel Help

Figure 11.10 The Repeated Measures: Profile Plots dialog box.

Separate Lines:
Separate Plots:
dd Change Remove

11. Click *Add*. The interaction term **time*****support** appears in the *Plots* box (see Figure 11.11).

Figure 11.11 The *Repeated Measures: Profile Plots* dialog box (continued).

- 12. Click *Continue*.
- 13. Click OK.

The one-between-one-within ANOVA procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output for the one-between-one-within ANOVA is displayed in Figure 11.12 (page 149).

Within-Subjects Factors

The first table, *Within-Subjects Factors*, lists the three time occasions the participants were measured, including before the program began (**before**), four weeks into the program (**week4**), and at the conclusion of the program at eight weeks (**week8**).

Between-Subjects Factors

The *Between-Subjects Factors* table displays the between subjects factor, **support**, the value labels for the levels of **support**, and the sample size for each of the groups.

Descriptive Statistics

The *Descriptive Statistics* table displays the mean, standard deviation, and sample size for each of the conditions in the study (the levels of **time** are displayed under *Total* in the table). While we'll focus our attention on the *Estimated Marginal Means* tables later in the output for interpreting mean differences, the standard deviations from this table will be used in the write-up of our results.

Multivariate Tests

The null hypothesis for **time** and the **time*support** interaction may be tested either using a univariate test (ANOVA) or a multivariate test (MANOVA). The *Multivariate Tests* table provides the

General Linear Model

Within-Subjects Factors

Measure: MEASURE_1

time	Dependent Variable	Between-Subjects Factors					
1	before				Value Label	N	
2	week4		support	1.00	mentor	10	
3	week8			2.00	no mentor	10	

Descriptive Statistics

	support	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
before	mentor	41.0000	3.29983	10
	no mentor	41.2000	2.78089	10
	Total	41.1000	2.97180	20
week4	mentor	39.6000	4.08792	10
	no mentor	44.0000	3.12694	10
	Total	41.8000	4.20025	20
week8	mentor	34.7000	6.00093	10
	no mentor	41.4000	3.68782	10
	Total	38.0500	5.94249	20

Multivariate Tests^b

Effect		Value	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
time	Pillai's Trace	.704	20.183 ^a	2.000	17.000	.000	.704
	Wilks' Lambda	.296	20.183 ^a	2.000	17.000	.000	.704
	Hotelling's Trace	2.375	20.183 ^a	2.000	17.000	.000	.704
	Roy's Largest Root	2.375	20.183 ^a	2.000	17.000	.000	.704
time * support	Pillai's Trace	.365	4.878 ^a	2.000	17.000	.021	.365
	Wilks' Lambda	.635	4.878 ^a	2.000	17.000	.021	.365
	Hotelling's Trace	.574	4.878 ^a	2.000	17.000	.021	.365
	Roy's Largest Root	.574	4.878 ^a	2.000	17.000	.021	.365

a. Exact statistic

b. Design: Intercept + support

Within Subjects Design: time

Mauchly's Test of Sphericity^b

Measure:	MEASURE_	1
----------	----------	---

						Epsilona	
Within Subjects Effect	Mauchly's W	Approx. Chi-Square	df	Sig.	Greenhouse -Geisser	Huynh-Feldt	Lower-bound
time	.545	10.310	2	.006	.687	.767	.500

Tests the null hypothesis that the error covariance matrix of the orthonormalized transformed dependent variables is proportional to an identity matrix.

a. May be used to adjust the degrees of freedom for the averaged tests of significance. Corrected tests are displayed in the Tests of Within-Subjects Effects table.

b. Design: Intercept + support Within Subjects Design: time

(continued)

Measure: MEASURE 1

Source		Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
time	Sphericity Assumed	159.033	2	79.517	12.078	.000	.402
	Greenhouse-Geisser	159.033	1.375	115.676	12.078	.001	.402
	Huynh-Feldt	159.033	1.534	103.699	12.078	.000	.402
	Lower-bound	159.033	1.000	159.033	12.078	.003	.402
time * support	Sphericity Assumed	108.633	2	54.317	8.251	.001	.314
	Greenhouse-Geisser	108.633	1.375	79.016	8.251	7.004	.314
	Huynh-Feldt	108.633	1.534	70.836	8.251	/7.003	.314
	Lower-bound	108.633	1.000	108.633	8.251	.010 //	.314
Error(time)	Sphericity Assumed	237.000	36	6.583			
	Greenhouse-Geisser	237.000	24.747	9.577			
	Huynh-Feldt	237.000	27.605	8.585			
	Lower-bound	237.000	18.000	13.167		¥	

Tests of Within-Subjects Effects

The *p*-values for the tests of **time** and **time** x **support**. Use either the *Greenhouse*-*Geisser* or *Sphericity Assumed* values (in this example both **time** and **time** x **support** are significant using either criteria since p < .05 for each test).

Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts

Veasure: MEASURE_1									
Source	time	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared		
time	Linear	93.025	1	93.025	8.463	.009	.320		
	Quadratic	66.008	1	66.008	30.349	.000	.628		
time * support	Linear	105.625	1	105.625	9.610	.006	.348		
	Quadratic	3.008	1	3.008	1.383	.255	.071		
Error(time)	Linear	197.850	18	10.992					
	Quadratic	39.150	18	2.175					

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Measure: MEASURE_1 Transformed Variable: Average

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
Intercept	97526.017	1	97526.017	2852.101	.000	.994
support	212.817	1	212.817	6.224	.023	.257
Error	615.500	18	34.194			

Support is significant since p < .05.

Estimated Marginal Means

38.050

1. support											
Measure: MEASURE_1											
			95% Confid	ence Interval							
support	Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound							
mentor	38.433	1.068	36.190	40.676							
no mentor	42.200	1.068	39.957	44.443							

2. time

Measure: MEASURE_1									
			95% Confid	ence Interval					
time	Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound					
1	41.100	.682	39.667	42.533					
2	41.800	.814	40.090	43.510					

1.114

3. support * time

35.710

40.390

/leasure: MEASURE_1									
				95% Confid	ence Interval				
support	time	Mean	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
mentor	1	41.000	.965	38.973	43.027				
	2	39.600	1.151	37.182	42.018				
	3	34.700	1.575	31.391	38.009				
no mentor	1	41.200	.965	39.173	43.227				
	2	44.000	1.151	41.582	46.418				
	3	41.400	1.575	38.091	44.709				

Profile Plots

3



Figure 11.12 Output for the one-between-one-within ANOVA.

results of four different multivariate tests for **time** and **time*****support**.⁴ While the multivariate tests are automatically output in the results, multivariate procedures are beyond the scope of this text and will therefore not be discussed. Interested readers are referred to Maxwell and Delaney (2004) or Stevens (2002) for more details on the MANOVA procedure.

Mauchly's Test of Sphericity

The next table, *Mauchly's Test of Sphericity*, tests the sphericity assumption, which is an assumption of the one-between–one-within ANOVA when there are three or more levels to the within subjects factor.⁵ While a test of this assumption is provided in the *Mauchly's Test of Sphericity* table in SPSS (the *p*-value for the test is .006), this test can be inaccurate (see Howell, 2007, or Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, for more details) and will therefore not be considered (an alternative approach to testing the assumption of sphericity will be provided).

As was discussed in Chapter 10, if the sphericity assumption is not met, the ANOVA F test (reported as *Sphericity Assumed* in the *Tests of Within Subjects Effects* table) is inaccurate, yielding results that lead to rejecting a true null hypothesis more often than is warranted. As a result of the inaccuracy of the F test when the assumption of sphericity is violated, several alternative F tests that adjust for the lack of sphericity have been proposed. There are three such "adjustment procedures" in the *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects* table: *Greenhouse-Geisser, Huynh-Feldt*, and *Lower-bound*. For reasons discussed in Chapter 10, we will evaluate the *Greenhouse-Geisser* Fin the output. While the *Greenhouse-Geisser* adjustment provides a more accurate result when the assumption of sphericity has been violated, because it is tedious to calculate by hand, its solution is usually only considered when conducting analyses by computer. Therefore, those who are using SPSS to confirm results of hand calculations will want to use the *Sphericity Assumed* values.

Test of Within-Subjects Effects

The next table, *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects*, provides the answer to two of our research questions, that is, whether or not the stress scores differ for the three time occasions and whether or not there is an interaction between **time** and **support**.

As was the case with the one-way ANOVA discussed in Chapter 8, the F test is a ratio of two variances, with each variance represented as a mean square (MS) in the output

$$F = \frac{MS \ Effect}{MS \ Error}$$

In the one-between-one-within ANOVA, the tests that include the within subjects factor (i.e., **time** and **time** \times **support**) have an error term that is different from the test of the between subjects factor (i.e., **support**). In the *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects* table, **time** and **time** \times **support** share the same error term, *MS Error(time)*. For **time**, the *F* ratio is

$$F = \frac{MS Time}{MS Error (time)}$$

Substituting the appropriate values from the data in Figure 11.12 under the *Greenhouse-Geisser* row results in an F for **time** of

$$F = \frac{115.676}{9.577} = 12.078$$

which agrees with the value of F in the *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects* table reported in Figure 11.12. [The *Sphericity Assumed F* is also 12.078 (79.517/6.583). While all four tests produce the same F value, they differ in their *df* and *p*-values.]

The test of **time** produces two degrees of freedom, *df* for time and *df* for error, which are 2 and 36, respectively, for the *Sphericity Assumed* values. (As a reminder, if you are calculating the *F* values by hand you'll want to compare your results with the *Sphericity Assumed* values). The *Greenhouse-Geisser* procedure applies an adjustment to the original degrees of freedom to compensate for the

lack of sphericity in the data. If you look in the previous table, *Mauchley's Test of Sphericity*, you'll see that the value of a statistic called epsilon for *Greenhouse-Geisser* is .687. Multiplying this value by the sphericity assumed degrees of freedom (2, 36) produces the degrees of freedom (within round-ing error) of 1.375 and 24.747 that are reported for the *Greenhouse-Geisser* test.

The reported *p*-value found under the column "*Sig.*" for *Greenhouse-Geisser* for **time** is .001. Since the *p*-value is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the means of the three time occasions are equal is rejected, and it is concluded that at least one of the time occasions is different from the others.

Moving to the test of **time** \times **support**, the *Greenhouse-Geisser F* is 8.251 (79.016/9.577) with a *p*-value of .004. Since the *p*-value is less than .05, the null hypothesis is rejected, and it is concluded that there is a significant **time** \times **support** interaction (a write-up of the results will be provided later in the chapter).

Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts

The next table, *Tests of Within-Subjects Contrasts*, can be used for conducting certain follow-up tests for within subjects main effects and interactions. This table will not be discussed for the one-between–one-within ANOVA, as we will be using an alternative method for conducting follow-up tests, which will be discussed shortly.

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

The *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects* table reports the results of the test of the between subjects factor, **support**. The *F* for **support** is 6.224 (*MS support/MS error* = 212.817/34.194) with a corresponding *p*-value of .023.⁶ Since the *p*-value is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the mean stress levels are equal for mentored and nonmentored teachers is rejected (a write-up of the results for **support** will be provided later in the chapter).

Estimated Marginal Means

The *Estimated Marginal Means* tables present the means for the levels of each of the factors and for the interaction. The first table, *support*, presents the means for the mentored and nonmentored groups. Since **support** was significant, we'll inspect the means to determine which group had lower stress scores. The marginal means for support shows that those who had a mentor (mean = 38.43) had lower mean stress levels than those who did not have a mentor (mean = 42.20).

The next table, *time*, shows the means for the levels of **time**. Since there are three levels to **time**, we cannot definitively conclude which of the three time occasions are significantly different without further testing (recall the nonspecific nature of the alternative hypothesis with three or more groups). (Due to space considerations, follow-up tests will not be conducted here; however, instructions for conducting follow-up tests for the within subjects factor are provided on the summary page prior to the chapter exercises.)

The last table, *support*time*, shows the means for the six conditions (3 time \times 2 support) in the study. To interpret the significant interaction effect, we'll focus our attention on the *Profile Plots* table, which presents the means of the six conditions in graphical form.

Profile Plots

The *Profile Plots* graph displays a plot of the means of the six conditions in the study. As we specified earlier in SPSS, **time** is on the horizontal axis and the levels of **support** are represented as separate lines (in the plot, 1, 2, and 3 correspond to **before**, **week4**, and **week8**, respectively, for **time**). Inspecting the means, prior to the start of the program, the mentored and nonmentored groups had mean stress levels that were nearly identical (41.00 vs. 41.20), while at both four and eight weeks mentored teachers reported lower stress levels than nonmentored teachers (39.60 vs. 44.00 at four weeks and 34.70 vs. 41.40 at eight weeks). The significant interaction effect indicates that the lines are significantly nonparallel, confirming that the difference between the mentored and nonmentored groups changed over time.

Testing of the Interaction Effect: Simple Effects Analyses

While the interaction effect indicates that the lines are significantly nonparallel, it does not indicate *which* points are significantly different from one other.⁷ To determine which points are significantly different, simple effects testing will be conducted. Simple effects tests are used to examine

differences for one factor *at a single level* of the other factor. In the current example, several different simple effects tests could be conducted, including testing support (mentored vs. nonmentored teachers) at each level of time (i.e., testing across the lines in the *Profile Plot*), as well as testing time (**before**, **week4**, and **week8**) at each level of support (i.e., testing within each line in the *Profile Plot*).

To illustrate simple effects testing for the one-between–one-within ANOVA, we'll test whether mentored versus nonmentored teachers differed significantly in their scores before the program began, at four weeks, and at eight weeks (referred to as 1, 2, and 3 in the interaction plot). This entails conducting three separate independent-samples *t* tests, one for each level of time. Since the *t* tests are follow-up tests, they will each be conducted at alpha level of .016 (.05/3) to ensure that the total alpha for the three tests combined does not exceed .05 (see Chapter 10 for more details on adjusting alpha for follow-up tests).

Testing mentored versus nonmentored teachers at each level of time would imply the following three null hypotheses:

 $H_0: \mu_{\text{mentored_before}} = \mu_{\text{not mentored_before}}$ $H_0: \mu_{\text{mentored_4 weeks}} = \mu_{\text{not mentored_4 weeks}}$ $H_0: \mu_{\text{mentored_8 weeks}} = \mu_{\text{not mentored_8 weeks}}$

The commands for running the independent samples *t* tests are provided next (see Chapter 7 for more details on the independent samples *t* test).

- 1. From the menu bar select Analyze > Compare Means > Independent-Samples T Test
- 2. Move **support** to the *Grouping Variable* box.
- 3. Click *Define Groups*. Assign a *1* to Group 1 and a 2 to Group 2.
- 4. With the *Ctrl* key held down, select **before**, **week4**, and **week8** and click the right-arrow button (▶) to move them to the *Test Variable(s)* box (see Figure 11.13).
- 5. Click OK.

🏢 Independent-Samples T Test		×
OK Paste	Test Variable(s): before week4 week8 Grouping Variable: support(1 2) Define Groups Reset Cancel Help	Options

Figure 11.13 The Independent-Samples T Test dialog box.

Results of the Simple Effects Analyses—Testing Support at Each Level of Time

The results of the independent-samples *t* tests are shown in Figure 11.14 on page 153. The *Independent* Samples Test table shows that support is significant at both four weeks (p = .015) and eight weeks (p = .008) but not at before (p = .885) (recall that each test was evaluated at an alpha level of .016). The means in the Group Statistics table indicate that at both four and eight weeks those who were mentored reported significantly less stress than those who were not mentored. These results will be incorporated into the overall write-up of the results provided at the conclusion of the chapter.

		Grou	p Statistics		
	support	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
before	mentor	10	41.0000	3.29983	1.04350
	no mentor	10	41.2000	2.78089	.87939
week4	mentor	10	39.6000	4.08792	1.29271
	no mentor	10	44.0000	3.12694	.98883
week8	mentor	10	34.7000	6.00093	1.89766
	no mentor	10	41.4000	3.68782	1.16619

a a.

Independent Samples Test

		Leve Test for of Var	ene's Equality iances	t-test for Equality of Means						
						Sig.	Mean	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	(2-tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower	Upper
before	Equal variances assumed	.483	.496	147	18	.885	20000	1.36463	-3.06699	2.66699
	Equal variances not assumed			147	17.498	.885	20000	1.36463	-3.07290	2.67290
week4	Equal variances assumed	1.984	.176	-2.703	18	. 015	-4.40000	1.62754	-7.81934	98066
	Equal variances not assumed			-2.703	16.846	.015	-4.40000	1.62754	-7.83621	96379
week8	Equal variances assumed	2.693	.118	-3.008	18	.008	-6.70000	2.22736	-11.37950	-2.02050
	Equal variances not assumed			-3.008	14.949	.009	-6.70000	2.22736	-11.44890	-1.95110

With an adjusted alpha of .016, week4 and week8 are significant, with p-values < .016 (before is not significant, since its p-value is > .016).

Figure 11.14 The output of the independent-samples t tests (the tests of simple effects following the significant interaction).

Other tests of simple effects could have been conducted, such as testing for differences across **time** for each support group. For example, we could test stress levels across **time** for those who had a mentor, which would imply a one-way within subjects ANOVA. If the ANOVA was significant, then as was illustrated in Chapter 10, all pairwise comparisons of **time** could be tested using dependent samples *t* tests (i.e., 1 vs. 2, 1 vs. 3, and 2 vs. 3). While these tests will not be illustrated here due to space considerations, a test of mentored teachers over time will be addressed in Exercise 3 at the conclusion of this chapter (with the solution provided in Appendix C).

Effect Sizes

T-Test

The measure of effect size commonly used with the one-between-one-within ANOVA is partial eta-square (η^2) . To calculate partial eta-square for the effect of interest, the sums of squares (SS) from the appropriate table (either the *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects* or the *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects* table) are used. The formula for partial eta-square is

partial
$$\eta^2 = \frac{SS_{Effect}}{SS_{Effect} + SS_{Error}}$$

The values for SS_{Effect} and SS_{Error} refer to the *Type III Sum of Squares* in the ANOVA table in Figure 11.12. (For **time** and **time** \times **support**, SS_{error} is expressed as $SS_{Error(time)}$.) For **time**, inserting the appropriate values into the above formula produces a partial eta-square of

partial
$$\eta^2 = \frac{159.033}{159.033 + 237.000} = .402$$

which agrees with the value reported in the Tests of Within-Subjects Effects table.

The larger the value of partial eta-square, the more variance the effect explains in the dependent variable. Conventional effect size measures for small, medium, and large effect sizes for partial eta-square have not been provided.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In writing the results for the one-between–one-within ANOVA, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the degrees of freedom (df), the F value, the p-value, and the effect size are reported for each of the tests along with the means and standard deviations for the groups (the means and standard deviations may be reported in a separate table if desired). A sample write-up in APA format is presented next.

Written Results

A 2 × 3 one-between-one-within ANOVA on teaching stress was conducted with support (mentored, not mentored) as the between subjects factor and time (before, 4 weeks, and 8 weeks) as the within subjects factor. The results showed a significant main effect for support, F(1, 18) = 6.22, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .26$, and a significant main effect for time, Greenhouse-Geisser adjusted F(1.38, 24.75) = 12.08, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .40$. For support, mentored teachers reported significantly less stress than nonmentored teachers. There was also a significant support × time interaction, Greenhouse-Geisser adjusted F(1.38, 24.75) =8.25, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .31$. Simple effects analyses were conducted for support at each level of time, with each test conducted at an alpha level of .016. The results of the simple effects tests indicated that mentored teachers reported significantly less stress than nonmentored teachers at four weeks, t(18) = -2.70, p < .016, and at eight weeks, t(18) = -3.01, p < .016. There was not a significant difference between mentored and nonmentored teachers before beginning teaching, t(18) = -.15, p > .016. Means and standard deviations for mentored and nonmentored teachers at before, four weeks, and eight weeks are reported in Figure 11.15.

	Ment	ored	Not me	ntored
Time	М	SD	М	SD
Before	41.00	3.30	41.20	2.78
4 weeks	39.60	4.09	44.00	3.13
8 weeks	34.70	6.00	41.40	3.69

Figure 11.15 Means and standard deviations for mentored and nonmentored teachers before the academic year began, at four weeks, and at eight weeks.

Assumptions of the One-Between–One-Within Subjects ANOVA

 The observations are independent between the participants. Violating this assumption can seriously compromise the accuracy of the onebetween-one-within subjects ANOVA. If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, the one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA should not be used. 2. Normality.

This assumption means that (1) the dependent variable should be normally distributed in the population for each level of the within subjects factor and (2) the mean score for the subjects (averaged across the levels of the within subjects factor) should be normally distributed for each level of the between subjects variable. See Chapter 8 for the consequences on violating the normality assumption.

3. Homogeneity of variance.

This assumption means that the variances should be equal in the population for each level of the between subjects factor (the variances are calculated on the scores for the participants averaged across the levels of the within subjects factor). See Chapter 8 for consequences of violating this assumption.

4. Sphericity.

The sphericity assumption requires that the variances of the difference scores are equal for all pairs of levels of the within subjects factor in the population. Since violating the assumption of sphericity can compromise the accuracy of the ANOVA test, using an alternative procedure that adjusts for the presence of sphericity (e.g., Greenhouse-Geisser) is recommended. See Chapter 10 for more details on the sphericity assumption.

5. Homogeneity of variance-covariance matrices. This assumption means that the corresponding variances and covariances are equal for the different levels of the between subjects factor (a covariance is a measure of the shared variability between two variables). In the current example, with three levels to the within subjects factor, this assumption means that the three corresponding variances (var_{before} , var_{week4} , var_{week8}) and the three covariances ($cov_{(before, week4)}$, $cov_{(before, week8)}$, $cov_{(week4, week8)}$) are equal for the two groups. With equal or approximately equal sample sizes, small to moderate violations of the equal variance-covariance matrix assumption are generally tolerable. If the inequality in both the sample sizes and the variance-covariance matrices between the groups is moderate to large, the one-between–one-within subjects ANOVA should not be used.

Summary of Steps for Conducting a One-Between– One-Within ANOVA in SPSS

- I. Data Entry and Analysis
 - 1. Create one variable for the between subjects factor and a separate variable for each *level* of the within subjects factor.
 - 2. Create value labels for the between subjects variable. In the *Value Labels* dialog box, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click *OK*.
 - 3. Enter the data.
 - 4. Select Analyze > General Linear Model > Repeated Measures
 - 5. In the *Repeated Measures Define Factor(s)* dialog box, enter a name for the within subjects factor in the *Within Subject Factor Name* text box and the number of levels in the *Number of Levels* box. Click *Add*. Click *Define*.
 - 6. In the *Repeated Measures* dialog box, move the between subjects factor to the *Between-Subjects Factor(s)* box. Move the levels of the within subjects factor to the *Within-Subjects Variables* box.
 - 7. Click *Options*. Move each of the factors and the interaction term to the *Display Means for* box. Under *Display*, click *Descriptive statistics* and *Estimates of effect size*. Click *Continue*.
 - 8. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

1. In the *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects* table, interpret the results of the tests of the within subjects factor and the interaction by reading either the *Greenhouse-Geisser*

or the *Sphericity Assumed p*-values (use the sphericity assumed values if comparing results to hand calculations). Interpret the results of the between subjects factor by examining the *p*-value in the *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects* table.

- If the between subjects factor is significant, examine the marginal means if there are two levels to the factor. For three or more levels, run Tukey's test (by clicking *Post Hoc* and selecting *Tukey*) and interpret the results accordingly (see Chapter 8 for more details on Tukey's test). If the within subjects factor is significant, examine the marginal means if there are two levels to the factor. If there are three or more levels, conduct dependent samples *t* tests as appropriate. If the interaction is significant, describe the nature of the interaction or conduct simple effect tests (if desired) using an experiment-wise alpha of .05.
- If none of the tests for the one-between-one-within ANOVA are significant, stop. Write the results stating that the tests of the main effects and the interaction are not significant.

Exercises

1. A clinical psychologist compared the effectiveness of cognitive-behavioral and psychoanalytic therapy over time. Twenty people who were seeking therapy agreed to participate in the study, with 10 of the participants receiving cognitive-behavioral therapy and 10 receiving psychoanalytic therapy. The participants were administered a general measure of well-being before therapy began, at 8 weeks and again at 16 weeks. The well-being measure ranged from 10 to 50, with higher scores indicating higher levels of well-being. The data are provided in Figure 11.16.

Therapy	Before	Week8	Week16	Therapy	Before	Week8	Week16
1	19	18	22	2	23	23	17
1	18	18	21	2	19	19	20
1	21	22	24	2	16	17	15
1	22	23	28	2	18	19	19
1	24	24	26	2	23	25	24
1	18	21	27	2	24	25	23
1	19	23	25	2	19	20	18
1	19	20	23	2	22	24	22
1	17	18	16	2	20	20	19
1	23	24	28	2	22	22	23



Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **therapy**, **before**, **week8**, and **week16**, respectively.

- a. State the null and alternative hypothesis for each test of interest.
- b. State a research question for each test of interest.
- c. Test for main effects and the interaction (use $\alpha = .05$ for each test). Which tests, if any, are significant? Which tests, if any, are not significant?
- d. Report the effect size for each of the tests. Which of the tests has the largest effect?
- e. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. Conduct simple effects tests of the interaction effect in Exercise 1. Test to see if there are differences between the two therapies at each of the three time occasions (i.e., perform a separate test of the two therapy groups at before, week 8, and week 10). Evaluate each test at an appropriate alpha level so that the overall alpha for the three tests does not exceed .05.

- 3. In the problem addressed in the chapter, simple effects analyses were conducted by testing mentored versus nonmentored teachers at each level of time. Another possible analysis is to test whether there is a difference in stress scores for those who were mentored over the three time occasions. Perform this test in SPSS and answer each of the questions below. The data are provided in the file *teaching stress.sav* in the Chapter 11 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 . Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the ques-tions below (those who are mentored are assigned a value of "1" for **support**).(*Hint*: To perform the test, either the *Select Cases* or *Split File* procedure is required. See Appendix A for more information on these procedures.)
 - a. State the null and alternative hypothesis for the test of interest.
 - b. State a research question for the test of interest.
 - c. Is there a significant difference in stress scores across the three time occasions for mentored teachers? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
 - d. If time is significant, perform follow-up tests as appropriate. Evaluate each test at an appropriate level so that the overall alpha for the set of follow-up tests does not exceed .05. Which tests, if any, are significant? Which tests, if any, are not significant?
 - e. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.

12

The Pearson r Correlation Coefficient

The Pearson *r* correlation coefficient measures the degree of the linear relationship between two variables. The degree of the relationship is expressed by the letter *r*; *r* can be positive (higher scores on one variable are associated with higher scores on the other variable), negative (higher scores on one variable are associated with lower scores on the other variable), or zero (no relationship between the scores on the two variables). The values of the correlation coefficient can range from -1.0 (a perfect negative relationship) to 1.0 (a perfect positive relationship). An example in which the Pearson *r* correlation coefficient may be used is presented next.

Example

For a research project, a student wanted to examine whether there was a relationship between meaning in life and psychological well-being. Thirty students who agreed to participate in the study were administered a meaning in life scale and a measure of psychological well-being. The scores on the meaning in life scale ranged from 10 to 70 (with higher scores indicating greater meaning in life), and the well-being scale ranged from 5 to 35 (with higher scores indicating greater well-being).

Objective and Data Requirements of the Pearson *r* **Correlation Coefficient**

The Pearson r Correlation Coefficient						
Objective	Data Requirements	Example				
To measure the degree of the linear relationship between two variables.	Two continuous variables ¹	Variable 1: Meaning in life Variable 2: Well-being				

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

The null hypothesis states that there is no relationship between the two variables in the population. The population symbol for the correlation coefficient is given by the Greek letter ρ (pronounced "ro"):

$$H_0: \rho = 0$$

The alternative hypothesis states that there is a relationship between the two variables in the population:

$$H_1: \rho \neq 0$$

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The Pearson r correlation coefficient provides a test of the null hypothesis that there is no relationship between meaning in life and psychological well-being. If the test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Question

The fundamental question of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of a research question, such as,

"Is there a relationship between meaning in life and psychological well-being?"

The Data

Participant	Meaning in Life	Well- being	Participant	Meaning in Life	Well- being
1	35	19	16	70	31
2	65	27	17	25	12
3	14	19	18	55	20
4	35	35	19	61	31
5	65	34	20	53	25
6	33	34	21	60	32
7	54	35	22	35	12
8	20	28	23	35	28
9	25	12	24	50	20
10	58	21	25	39	24
11	30	18	26	68	34
12	37	25	27	56	28
13	51	19	28	19	12
14	50	25	29	56	35
15	30	29	30	60	35

The data for the 30 participants are presented in Figure 12.1.

Figure 12.1 The data for the correlation coefficient example. (*Note:* The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 below describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *meaning.sav* in the Chapter 12 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In the *Variable View* window, two variables will be created, one for meaning in life and one for psychological well-being. The variables will be named **meaning** and **wellbeing**, respectively.

3. Enter the variable names **meaning** and **wellbeing**, respectively, in the first two rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 12.2 on page 160 for details).

Step 2: Enter the Data

1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **meaning** and **wellbeing** appear in the first two columns of the *Data View* window.

			1		81 III		4			5	
	Name	Type	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	meaning	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	# Scale	S Input
2	wellbeing	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	A Scale	S Input
3											
	4										

Figure 12.2 The *Variable View* window with the variables meaning and wellbeing entered.

2. Consulting Figure 12.1, enter the scores for each of the participants on the two variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the scores 35 and 19 for the variables **meaning** and **wellbeing**, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 30 participants. The completed data set is presented in Figure 12.3.

					#1		5		00	<u>A</u>
									Visible: 2 of 2	Varia
	meaning	wellbeing	var	va						
1	35.00	19.00								
2	65.00	27.00								
3	14.00	19.00								
4	35.00	35.00								
5	65.00	34.00								
6	33.00	34.00								
7	54.00	35.00								
8	20.00	28.00								
9	25.00	12.00								
10	58.00	21.00								
11	30.00	18.00								
12	37.00	25.00								
13	51.00	19.00								
14	50.00	25.00								
15	30.00	29.00								
16	70.00	31.00								
17	25.00	12.00								
18	55.00	20.00								
19	61.00	31.00								
20	53.00	25.00								
21	60.00	32.00								
22	35.00	12.00								
23	35.00	28.00								
24	50.00	20.00								
25	39.00	24.00								
26	68.00	34.00								
27	56.00	28.00								
28	19.00	12.00								
29	56.00	35.00								
30	60.00	35.00								
	A		-				_			

Figure 12.3 The data file for the Pearson *r* correlation coefficient example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

 From the menu bar, select Analyze > Correlate > Bivariate... (see Figure 12.4).

📰 *Untitle	ed1 [DataSet0] -	PASW S	tatistics Data Editor			-	
File Edit	<u>⊻</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	ansform	Analyze <u>G</u> raphs <u>U</u> tilities	Add- <u>o</u> r	ns <u>W</u> indow	Help	
			Reports Descriptive Statistics Tables	* * *		le: 2 of 2 Var	riables
	meaning	wellbe	Compare Means	•	var	Var	
13	51.00	1	General Linear Model	*			-
14	50.00	2	Generalized Linear Models	•			
15	30.00	2	Mixed Models	*			
16	70.00	3	Correlate	*	Bivariate	lus:	
17	25.00	1	Regression	*	Partial		

Figure 12.4 Menu commands for the Pearson r correlation coefficient.

A *Bivariate Correlations* dialog box appears with the variables **meaning** and **wellbeing** on the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 12.5).

Bivariate Correl	Ations	Options
	•	
Correlation Coefficien	ts all's tau-b 🥅 Spearman	
Test of Significance− ◎ <u>T</u> wo-tailed ◎ On	e-tailed	
Elag significant corr	elations Paste Reset Cancel	Help

Figure 12.5 The Bivariate Correlations dialog box.

- 2. With the *Ctrl* key held down, select the variables, **meaning** and **wellbeing**, and click the right-arrow button () to move them to the *Variables* box (see Figure 12.6 on page 162).
- 3. Click OK.

The correlation procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the Viewer window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the Correlations procedure is displayed in Figure 12.7 (page 162).

Correlations

SPSS produces a single table of output, labeled *Correlations*, which contains the answer to our research question, that is, whether or not there is a relationship between the variables **meaning** and **wellbeing**.

📰 Bivariate Correlations	×
Variables:	Options)
Correlation Coefficients	
 Test of Significance <u>∎</u>wo-tailed]
✓ Flag significant correlations OK Paste Reset Cancel Help	

Figure 12.6 The Bivariate Correlations dialog box (continued).

Correlations Correlations			identical resul in the <i>Correl</i>	ts are pi <i>ations</i> ta	resented ble.
		meaning	welbeing		The correlation
meaning	Pearson Correlation	1	×.549**		between meaning
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.002		and well-being.
	Ν	30	30	\searrow	
welbeing	Pearson Correlation	.549*	* 1	The correl	The correlation is
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.002			significant, since the <i>p</i> -
	Ν	30	30		

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Figure 12.7 The output for the Pearson r correlation coefficient.

Notice in the *Correlations* table that the variables **meaning** and **wellbeing** are presented twice, once in the rows and once in the columns (this is a redundancy that occurs in all *Correlations* tables produced by SPSS). The value of the correlation coefficient and the *p*-value of the test of the null hypothesis can be found by locating where **meaning** and **wellbeing** intersect. The *Correlations* table indicates that the correlation between **meaning** and **wellbeing** is .549 with a corresponding *p*-value of .002 based on 30 participants. Since the *p*-value of .002 is less than .05, the null hypothesis is rejected, and it is concluded that there is a positive relationship between **meaning** and **wellbeing** in the population (the two asterisks to the right of the correlation coefficient indicate that the correlation is statistically significant at the .01 level, since .002 is less than .01). The remaining two cells show a correspond to the correlation of a variable with itself (**meaning** with **meaning** and **wellbeing** with **wellbeing**), which is always equal to 1.

Effect Sizes

Unlike the other statistical procedures we have considered thus far, the correlation coefficient itself is a commonly used measure of effect size. Cohen gave estimates of values of r of $\pm .1, \pm .3$, and $\pm .5$ as corresponding to small, medium, and large effect sizes, respectively.

Based on Cohen's guidelines, the correlation of .549 corresponds to a large effect size in practice, suggesting a fairly strong positive relationship between meaning in life and psychological well-being.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

While SPSS presents the sample size (N) in the *Correlations* table, the degrees of freedom are not provided, although they are typically presented in the results. The formula for the degrees of freedom is

$$df = (N - 2)$$

where *N* corresponds to the total sample size (number of participants) in the study. With 30 people in the study, the degrees of freedom are equal to 28.

In writing the results, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the value of r, the degrees of freedom (*df*), and the *p*-value are reported. An example of a brief write-up in APA format is presented next.

Written Results

There is a significant positive relationship between meaning in life and psychological well-being, r(28) = .55, p < .05.

When calculating a correlation coefficient, it is often of interest to produce a scatterplot to check for a linear (straight-line) relationship between the two variables and to check for outliers. The commands for producing scatterplots are provided in Chapter 3. (For more information on checking for linearity and outliers, consulting an introductory statistics textbook is recommended.)

Assumptions of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient

1. Independence of observations between the participants.

This assumption means that the scores for each of the participants should be independent of all other participants' scores (an example of violating the independence assumption would be if two participants worked together on the well-being measure). If the independence assumption has been violated, the correlation coefficient should not be used.

2. Bivariate normality.

This assumption means that each of the variables should be normally distributed in the population and that for any value of one variable, the scores on the other variable should be normally distributed. For moderate to large sample sizes, most types of nonnormal data tend to have relatively little impact on the accuracy of the test of the correlation coefficient.

Summary of Steps for Conducting a Pearson Correlation Coefficient in SPSS

I. Data Entry and Analysis

- 1. Create two variables in SPSS.
- 2. Enter the data.
- 3. Select Analyze > Correlations > Bivariate
- 4. Move the two variables to the Variables box.
- 5. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

- 1. Check the *p*-value in the *Correlations* table.
 - If p ≤ .05, the null hypothesis is rejected. Write the results indicating that there is a significant (positive or negative) relationship between the two variables.
 - If p > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected. Write the results indicating that there is not a significant relationship between the two variables.

Exercises

1. A student was interested in examining whether there was a relationship between the amount of time studying (in minutes) and the grade received on an exam (on a 0 to 100 scale). The amount of time spent studying (**examprep**) and the final grade on the exam (**grade**) for 25 students is reported in Figure 12.8.

examprep	grade
(in minutes)	
450	90
65	50
120	75
240	82
100	55
490	85
200	79
400	83
55	60
40	48
280	74
180	96
365	85
200	63
290	77
200	82
105	80
460	89
300	55
450	92
365	95
80	55
185	75
180	81
300	87

Figure 12.8 The data for the 25 participants.

Enter the data in SPSS, and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **examprep** and **grade**.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Calculate the correlation coefficient between the two variables in SPSS. What is the value of the correlation?
- d. Is the correlation significant? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- e. What is the effect size for the study? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. A researcher was interested in investigating whether there was a relationship between martial satisfaction and level of empathic understanding (i.e., the level of empathy one has). One spouse was selected from each of 25 married couples and was administered both a martial satisfaction scale and an empathy scale. The marital satisfaction scale ranged from 15 to 60, and the empathy scale ranged from 10 to 50

(higher scores on the scales indicate greater degrees of martial satisfaction and empathy, respectively). The data are located in the file *Chapter 12_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 12 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **maritalsatisfaction** and **empathy**). Open the file in SPSS, and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Calculate the correlation coefficient between the two variables in SPSS. What is the value of the correlation?
- d. Is the correlation significant? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
- e. What is the effect size for the study? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 3. A researcher investigated whether there was a relationship between the time spent being read to as a child (at age 3) and later performance on a second-grade English skills exam. The parental report of the amount of time spent reading to their child (on a 1 to 10 scale) and the scores on an English skills exam (on a 10 to 50 scale) were recorded for 30 students (higher scores indicate being read to more at age 3 and better performance on the exam in second grade, respectively). The data are located in the file *Chapter 12_Exercise 3.sav* in the Chapter 12 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **readingtime** and **examscores**). Open the file in SPSS, and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
 - b. State a research question for the data.
 - c. Calculate the correlation coefficient between the two variables in SPSS. What is the value of the correlation?
 - d. Is the correlation significant? Test at $\alpha = .05$.
 - e. What is the effect size for the study? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
 - f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
13

Simple Linear Regression

S imple linear regression is used when the objective is to predict scores on one variable using scores on another variable.¹ In regression, the variable that is being predicted is known as the dependent or criterion variable, and the variable that is used to predict scores is known as the independent or predictor variable. An example in which linear regression is used is presented next.

Example

For a research project a student wanted to examine whether or not social support (the degree to which one can turn to others for support) is predictive of psychological well-being in college students. Twenty-five students who agreed to participate in the study were administered measures of social support and psychological well-being. The range of possible responses for social support is from 8 to 40 and for well-being is from 10 to 70, with higher scores indicating higher levels of social support and well-being, respectively.

Objective and Data Requirements of Simple Regression

Simple Linear Regression						
Objective	Data Requirements	Example				
To predict scores on a dependent variable using scores from an inde- pendent variable.	 Dependent variable Continuous Independent variable Continuous² 	Dependent variable Well-being Independent variable Social support 				

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

The null hypothesis states that social support does not predict well-being. To evaluate the null hypothesis, a regression equation is created (the equation will be introduced later), and a regression coefficient, known as a beta weight, is tested to see whether it is significantly different from zero. A beta weight significantly different from zero indicates that the independent variable is a significant predictor of the dependent variable. The population symbol for the regression coefficient is given by the Greek letter β , or beta.

The null hypothesis states that the beta weight is equal to zero:

 $H_0: \beta_{SSI} = 0$ (The beta weight for social support is equal to zero; social support does not predict well-being.)

The alternative hypothesis states that the beta weight does not equal zero:

 $H_1: \beta_{SSI} \neq 0$ (The beta weight for social support is not equal to zero; social support predicts well-being.)

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The linear regression procedure in SPSS provides a test of the null hypothesis that social support does not predict well-being. If the test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Question

The fundamental question of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of a research question, such as,

"Does social support predict psychological well-being in college students?"

The Data

The social support and well-being scores for the 25 participants are presented in Figure 13.1.

Participant	Social Support	Well-being	Participant	Social Support	Well-being
1	20	32	14	35	66
2	38	65	15	32	25
3	35	60	16	34	52
4	30	56	17	35	70
5	12	25	18	28	51
6	31	25	19	17	32
7	18	65	20	24	42
8	28	56	21	31	25
9	14	23	22	16	61
10	24	42	23	32	52
11	34	60	24	19	26
12	28	51	25	25	41
13	32	58			

Figure 13.1 The data for the multiple regression example. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 below describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *well being.sav* in the Chapter 13 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, two variables will be created, one for social support and one for well-being. The variables will be named **support** and **wellbeing**, respectively.

3. Enter the variable names **support** and **wellbeing** in the first two rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 13.2 on page 168 for details).

Step 2: Enter the Data

1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **support** and **wellbeing** appear in the first two columns of the *Data View* window.

36			1	1	#1		4≥ Ⅲ			15	
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	support	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	Scale 🖉	> Input
2	wellbeing	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	A Scale	S Input
3											
	1		-								

Figure 13.2 The Variable View window with the variables support and wellbeing entered.

2. Consulting Figure 13.1, enter the scores for each of the participants on the two variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the scores 20 and 32 on the variables **support** and **wellbeing**, respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 25 participants. The completed data file is shown in Figure 13.3.

Untit	tled1 [DataSet0] -	PASW Statistic	s Data Edi	tor	nno Mánda	na blab				-0
					813 <u></u>		- 4 <u>2</u>			
	1								Visible:	2 of 2 Variable
	support	wellbeing	var	var	var	var	var	var	var	var
1	20.00	32.00								4
2	38.00	65.00								
3	35.00	60.00								
4	30.00	56.00								
5	12.00	25.00								
6	31.00	25.00								
7	18.00	65.00								
8	28.00	56.00								
9	14.00	23.00								
10	24.00	42.00								
11	34.00	60.00								
12	28.00	51.00								
13	32.00	58.00								
14	35.00	66.00								
15	32.00	25.00								
16	34.00	52.00								
17	35.00	70.00								
18	28.00	51.00								
19	17.00	32.00								
20	24.00	42.00								
21	31.00	25.00								
22	16.00	61.00								
23	32.00	52.00								
24	19.00	26.00								
25	25.00	41.00								3
	4				and the second second					4
Data View	Variable View									

Figure 13.3 The completed data file for the linear regression example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

1. From the menu bar, select **Analyze** > **Regression** > **Linear**... (see Figure 13.4).

A *Linear Regression* dialog box appears with the variables **support** and **wellbeing** on the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 13.5).

2. Select the variable, **wellbeing**, and click the upper right-arrow button () to move it into the *Dependent* box.

📰 *Untitle	d1 [DataSet0] -	PASW S	tatistics	Data Editor					- 🗆 ×
File Edit	<u>V</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	ansform	Analyze	<u>G</u> raphs <u>U</u> tilities	Add-or	ns <u>Wi</u> ndo	w <u>H</u> elp		
			Rep Des	orts criptive Statistics	+	#		4	
			Tabl	es				Visible: 2 of 2	2 Variables
	support	wellbe	Com	pare Means	•	var	var	var	1
1	20.00	3	Gen	eral Linear Model	•				4
2	38.00	8	Gen	erali <u>z</u> ed Linear Models	- F.				
3	35.00	8	Mi <u>×</u> e	d Models	•				
4	30.00	5	Corr	elate	+				
5	12.00	2	Reg	ression			r		
6	31.00	2	Logi	inear		Curve	e Estimation		

Figure 13.4 Menu commands for the linear regression procedure.

Linear Regressio	n Dependent:
wellbeing	Block 1 of 1
	Previous Next Options
	Method Enter
	Selection Variable:
	Case Labels:
	WLSWOGH.

Figure 13.5 The Linear Regression dialog box.

Support	Dependent:
	Block 1 of 1 Previous Next Options
	Independent(s):
	Method: Enter
	Selection Variable:
	Case Labels:
	WLS Weight:

Figure 13.6 The Linear Regression dialog box (continued).

Linear Regression: S Regression Coefficients Estimates Confidence intervals Level(%): Ourbin-Watson Ourbin-Watson Qasewise diagnostics Outliers outside: All cases	tatistics X Model fit R squared change Descriptives Part and partial correlations Collinearity diagnostics Collinearity diagnostics standard deviations Standard deviations					
Continue Cancel Help						

Figure 13.7 The Linear Regression: Statistics dialog box.

- 4. Click *Statistics*. Select *Descriptives* (*Estimates* and *Model fit* should already be selected). See Figure 13.7 for details.
- 5. Click Continue.
- 6. Click *OK*.

The linear regression procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the linear regression procedure is displayed in Figure 13.8.

Descriptive Statistics

The *Descriptive Statistics* table displays the mean, standard deviation, and sample size for each of the variables.

Correlations

The *Correlations* table displays the correlation between well-being and social support. The correlation between the two variables is .490 with a one-tailed *p*-value of .0064 (SPSS rounds down to three decimal places for a value of .006; doubling .0064 produces, within rounding error, a value of .013 for a two-tailed test). The correlation between the two variables is significant using either a one-tailed test (.006 < .05) or a two-tailed test (.013 < .05). In simple linear regression, if the (two-tailed) correlation is significant, the regression will be significant as well.

Variables Entered/Removed

The *Variables Entered/Removed* table presents the variable (**support**) that was used to predict well-being scores.

Regression

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
wellbeing	46.4400	15.70318	25
support	26.8800	7.52950	25

Correlations

		wellbeing	support]	
Pearson Correlation	wellbeing	1.000	.490◄		The Pearson
	support	.490	1.000		coefficient
Sig. (1-tailed)	wellbeing		.006	1	between well-
	support	.006			being and social
N	wellbeing	25	25	1	support.
	support	25	25		

Variables Entered/Removed^b

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method				
1	support ^a		Enter				
a. All requested variables entered.							

b. Dependent Variable: wellbeing

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.490 ^a	.240	.207	13.98053
_				

a. Predictors: (Constant), support

ANOVA^b

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1422.692	1	1422.692	7.279	.013 ^a
	Residual	4495.468	23	195.455		
	Total	5918.160	24			

a. Predictors: (Constant), support

b. Dependent Variable: wellbeing

Coefficients^a

		Unstand Coeffi	dardized icients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig. /
1	(Constant)	18.954	10.565		1.794	.086 🖌
	support	1.023	.379	.490	2.698	.013

a. Dependent Variable: wellbeing



The ANOVA and Coefficients tables provide a test of the same null hypothesis in simple regression, that is, whether support is a significant predictor of well-being (notice the identical *p*-values for the two tests). Social support is a significant predictor of well-being, since the *p*-value is less than .05.

Model Summary

The *Model Summary* table displays R, R^2 (R Square), Adjusted R^2 , and the standard error of the estimate. The first three of these values measure the degree to which well-being was predicted from social support, and the last value measures the degree to which well-being was *not* predicted from social support. Each of these values is discussed next.

The first value, R, is the multiple correlation coefficient, and, in simple regression, is equal to the absolute value of the Pearson correlation between social support and well-being (R ranges from 0 to 1). The second value, R^2 , is the square of R (.490² = .24) and, when multiplied by 100%, is interpreted as the percentage of total variance in the dependent variable that is accounted for by the independent variable. In the current example, social support accounted for 24% (.24 * 100%) of the variance in well-being scores. Adjusted R^2 modifies the value of R^2 in an attempt to better estimate the true population value (R^2 calculated on the sample tends to overestimate the population value.) Finally, the standard error of the estimate indicates the degree to which the independent variable was *unable* to predict scores on the dependent variable. The value of 13.98 indicates that, when using social support scores to predict well-being, the regression equation was "off" in predicting well-being scores by about 13.98 points on average.

ANOVA

The next table, *ANOVA*, tests whether social support was a significant predictor of well-being. This test is conducted using an analysis of variance (ANOVA), which was discussed in Chapter 8. In simple regression, a *p*-value less than or equal to .05 in the *ANOVA* table indicates that the independent variable is a significant predictor of the dependent variable. Since the *p*-value of .013 is less than .05, social support is a significant predictor of well-being.

Coefficients

The last table, *Coefficients*, provides the necessary values to construct a regression equation and to test the null hypothesis of whether social support is a significant predictor of wellbeing. (Since the *ANOVA* table already indicated that social support was a significant predictor of well-being, the test of the predictor in the *Coefficients* table is redundant in simple regression).³

The regression equation will be discussed first, followed by the test of social support. In linear regression, an equation is created in the form of

$$\hat{Y} = a + bX$$

Where

 \hat{Y} = the predicted score on the dependent variable. In our example, \hat{Y} corresponds to the predicted well-being scores.

a = the Y-intercept; the value of Y when X = 0.

- b = the slope of the regression line.
- X = the scores on the independent variable for each of the participants. In our example, X corresponds to the social support scores.

The values in the regression equation, *a* (the *Y*-intercept), and *b* (the slope), are found in the *Coefficients* table in the *Unstandardized Coefficients* column labeled "*B*." In the *Coefficients* table, the value of the *Y*-intercept is 18.954 and the value of the slope is 1.023. Substituting these values into the regression equation formula produces the following equation for predicting well-being scores:

$$\hat{Y}_{\text{well-being}} = 18.954 + 1.023(\text{social support})$$

This is the regression equation based on the 25 college students. For a given score on social support, a predicted well-being score can be found. For example, the first two participants had social support scores of 20 and 38, respectively. Inserting these scores into the above equation produces the following predicted well-being scores:

Predicted well-being score for participant 1

$$\hat{Y}_{\text{well-being}} = 18.954 + 1.023(20)$$

 $\hat{Y}_{\text{well-being}} = 39.41$

Predicted well-being score for participant 2

$$\hat{Y}_{\text{well-being}} = 18.954 + 1.023(38)$$

 $\hat{Y}_{\text{well-being}} = 57.83$

A predicted score can be found for each of the individuals in the dataset.⁴ The predicted scores will almost always have some error (they will not match the actual scores exactly); the higher the value of R, the more closely the predicted values will be to the actual values, with an R of 1 resulting in perfect prediction for all individuals.

Testing Social Support for Significance

A test of social support is also provided in the *Coefficients* table. In the last two columns of the table, the *t* and *p*-values for the test of social support are presented. The test of social support produced a *t* of 2.698 with a corresponding *p*-value of .013. Because the *p*-value of .013 is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the beta weight is equal to zero is rejected, and it is concluded that social support is a significant predictor of psychological well-being. Notice that the *p*-value for social support in the *Coefficients* table (.013) is identical to the *p*-value provided in the ANOVA table (.013). These *p*-values are the same because, as was indicated earlier, in simple regression they test the same null hypothesis, that is, whether or not social support is a significant predictor of psychological well-being.

While the values from the *Unstandardized Coefficients* columns were used in finding predicted scores, the *Standardized Coefficients* value will be presented in the write-up of the results. The standardized coefficient is referred to as a beta weight, and it is equal to the value of the regression coefficient if the predictor and the criterion variable were in *z*-score form (i.e., if both variables were standardized with a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 1).⁵ The value of beta in the standardized coefficients column is equal to .490, which is identical to the Pearson correlation coefficient between the two variables that was reported in the *Correlations* table (beta will always be equal to the Pearson correlation in simple regression).

While the test of the constant is usually not of interest in simple regression, it tests whether the *Y*-intercept is significantly different from zero. In this case, the value of 18.954 is not significantly different from zero since the *p*-value for the test (.086) is greater than .05.

Effect Sizes

The measure of effect size commonly used for simple regression is given by R^2 . Cohen (1988) expressed R^2 values in simple regression of .01, .09, and .24 as corresponding to small, medium, and large effect sizes, respectively. In our example, an $R^2 = .24$ indicates that 24% of the variance in well-being scores can be accounted for by knowing social support scores, representing a large effect.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In the write-up of the results, the standardized regression coefficient, the result of the test of the predictor (social support), and the value of R^2 will be provided. A sample write-up of the results is presented next.

Written Results

A regression analysis was conducted with well-being as the criterion variable and social support as the predictor. Social support was a significant predictor of well-being, $\beta = .49$, t(23) = 2.70, p < .05, and accounted for 24% ($R^2 = .24$) of the variance in well-being scores.

(*Note*: The degrees of freedom for t are equal to N - 2 in simple regression, where N is equal to the number of *pairs* of scores in the study. In our example N = 25; therefore df = 23.)

Assumptions in Simple Regression

1. Independence of observations between the participants.

The independence assumption means that the scores for each participant should be independent of all other participants' scores (an example of violating the independence assumption would be if two different participants worked on the well-being measure together). Violating this assumption can seriously compromise the accuracy of the statistical tests performed using the regression procedure. If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, linear regression should not be used.

2. Bivariate normality.

This assumption means that each of the variables should be normally distributed in the population, and that for any value of one variable, the scores on the other variable should also be normally distributed. For moderate to large sample sizes, most types of nonnormal data tend to have relatively little impact on the accuracy of the regression procedure.

3. Homoscedasticity.

Homoscedasticity means that the variances on the dependent variable are equal in the population for all levels of the independent variable. Mild to moderate violations of this assumption are generally tolerable in simple regression.

Summary of Steps for Conducting a Simple Linear Regression Analysis in SPSS

- I. Data Entry and Analysis
 - 1. Create two variables in SPSS, one for the independent variable and one for the dependent variable.
 - 2. Enter the data.
 - 3. Select Analyze > Regression > Linear
 - 4. Move the independent and dependent variables to their respective boxes.
 - 5. Click *Statistics*. Click *Descriptives* (*Estimates* and *Model fit* should already be selected). Click *Continue*.
 - 6. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

1. In the chapter description, all the tables (*Descriptive Statistics, Correlations, Variables Entered/Removed, Model Summary, ANOVA, and Coefficients*) were described. In this summary, only information from the *Model Summary* and *Coefficients* tables will be described.

- Note the value of R^2 in the *Model Summary* table.
- Examine the *p*-value for the predictor in the *Coefficients* table (or in the *ANOVA* table, as these tests are equivalent in simple regression).
- If the predictor is significant $(p \le .05)$, the null hypothesis is rejected. Write the results indicating that the independent variable is a significant predictor of the dependent variable.
- If the predictor is not significant (p > .05), the null hypothesis is not rejected. Write the results indicating that the independent variable is not a significant predictor of the dependent variable.

Exercises

1. A researcher investigated whether a father's level of optimism was predictive of his son's optimism as a young adult. Twenty fathers and sons who agreed to participate in the study were each administered a scale measuring their current level of optimism (the scale ranged from 10 to 50, with higher scores indicating greater optimism). The data are provided in Figure 13.9.

Father	Son
40	45
30	35
25	20
29	35
20	22
25	35
46	48
49	39
46	49
23	38
46	35
26	28
16	19
29	45
46	31
49	41
37	31
31	36
42	45
43	48
Figuro 1	20 Th

Figure 13.9 The optimism scores of 20 fathers and sons.

Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **father** and **son**, respectively.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is the predictor significant? Test using $\alpha = .05$.
- d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- e. Write a regression equation for the data.
- f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. An employee for a school district wanted to know whether a seventh-grade math skills entrance exam was predictive of performance (as measured by the final grade) in seventh-grade mathematics. The entrance exam scores and the final

course grade in seventh-grade mathematics for 30 students were obtained. The possible range of scores on the entrance exam are from 20 to 100 and the final grade in mathematics is from 0 to 100 (higher scores indicate better performance on both measures). The data are in the file *Chapter 13_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 13 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **mathexam** and **grade**). Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is the predictor significant? Test using $\alpha = .05$.
- d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- e. Write a regression equation for the data.
- f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 3. An industrial psychologist wanted to investigate whether agreeableness (being likable, friendly, and getting along with others) was predictive of success at work. Twenty-five employees were selected and were administered a scale measuring agreeableness (the scale ranged from 7 to 35, with higher scores indicating greater agreeableness). Following the administration of the scale, the supervisor's level of satisfaction with the employee was obtained (satisfaction scores ranged from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating greater satisfaction). The data are in the file *Chapter* 13_Exercise 3.sav in the Chapter 13 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/ textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **agreeableness** and **satisfaction**). Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.
 - a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
 - b. State a research question for the data.
 - c. Is the predictor significant? Test using $\alpha = .05$.
 - d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
 - e. Write a regression equation for the data.
 - f. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.

CHAPTER

Multiple Linear Regression

M ultiple linear regression is used when the objective is to predict scores on one variable using scores from *two or more* different variables.¹ In multiple regression, the variable that is being predicted is known as the dependent or criterion variable, and the variables that are used to predict the dependent variable are known as the independent or predictor variables. An example in which multiple linear regression may be used is presented next.

Example

For a project in a research methods class, a student wanted to investigate whether connectedness, optimism, and academic success were predictive of meaning in life in college students. Thirty students who agreed to participate in the study were administered the four measures, which are described in Figure 14.1 on page 178.

Objective and Data Requirements of Multiple Regression

	Multiple Linear Regression							
Objective	Data Requirements	Example						
To predict the scores on a dependent variable using scores on two or more independent variables.	Dependent variable • Continuous Independent variables • Continuous or categorical ²	Dependent variable Meaning in life Independent variables Optimism Connectedness Academic success 						

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

In multiple regression, there are separate null and alternative hypotheses for each predictor (independent) variable. A regression equation is created (the equation will be introduced later), and the regression weight for each predictor (known as a beta weight) is tested to see whether it is significantly different from zero. A beta weight significantly different from zero indicates that the independent variable is a significant predictor of the dependent variable. The population symbol for the regression coefficient is given by the Greek letter, β , or beta.

The null hypothesis for each of the predictors is that the beta weight is equal to zero:

 $H_0: \beta_{\text{connect}} = 0$ (H.1) (The beta weight of connect is equal to zero; connect does not predict meaning in life.)

Scale	What it measures	Scale range
Meaning in life (meaning)	The degree of meaning one currently finds in life.	10–70; higher scores indicate greater meaning in life.
Connectedness (connect)	How connected one feels to others; the degree to which one may turn to others for support.	8–40; higher scores indicate greater perceived support from others.
Optimism (optimism)	How optimistic one is about their life.	10–50; higher scores indicate greater optimism.
Academic success (success)	The degree to which a person has achieved academic success in their life.	5–25; higher scores indicate greater academic success.

Figure 14.1 Description of the measures used in the multiple regression example.

$H_0: \beta_{\text{optimism}} = 0$	(H.2)	(The beta weight of optimism is equal to zero; optimism does not predict meaning in life.)
$H_0: \beta_{\text{success}} = 0$	(H.3)	(The beta weight of success is equal to zero; success does not predict meaning in life.)

The alternative hypothesis for each of the predictors is that the beta weight is not equal to zero:

$H_1: \beta_{\text{connect}} \neq 0$	(The beta weight of connect is not equal to zero; connect
	predicts meaning in life.)
$H_1: \beta_{\text{optimism}} \neq 0$	(The beta weight of optimism is not equal to zero; optimism predicts meaning in life.)
$H_1: \beta_{\text{success}} \neq 0$	(The beta weight of success is not equal to zero; success
	predicts meaning in life.)

In addition to testing the individual predictors for significance, another hypothesis in multiple regression is whether the regression equation, with all the predictors included, significantly predicts the dependent variable. If the regression equation is significant, the predictors (taken together) account for a significant amount of variance in meaning in life scores (i.e., they predict meaning in life). A measure of the amount of variance accounted for is given by R^2 (which ranges from 0 to 1), with an $R^2 = 0$ indicating that no variance in meaning in life scores is accounted for by the predictors, and a $R^2 = 1$ indicating that all of the variance is accounted for by the predictors (in practice R^2 usually falls somewhere between 0 and 1).

The null hypothesis states that the predictors (taken as a whole) do not account for any variance in meaning in life scores in the population (i.e., they do not predict meaning in life):

$$H_0: R^2 = 0 (H.4)$$

The alternative hypothesis states that the predictors (taken as a whole) account for variance in meaning in life scores in the population (i.e., they predict meaning in life):

$$H_1: R^2 > 0$$

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The multiple regression procedure in SPSS provides tests of the null hypotheses that each of the predictors individually (hypotheses H.1, H.2, and H.3) and combined (hypothesis H.4) do not predict meaning in life. If, for a given test of interest, the test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Questions

The fundamental questions of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of research questions, such as,

Individual predictors (hypotheses H.1, H.2, and H.3)

"Does connectedness predict meaning in life?"

"Does optimism predict meaning in life?"

"Does academic success predict meaning in life?"

All predictors simultaneously (hypothesis H.4)

"When taken together, do connectedness, optimism, and academic success predict meaning in life?"

The Data

The meaning in life (**meaning**), connectedness (**connect**), optimism (**optimism**), and academic success (**success**) scores for the 30 participants are presented in Figure 14.2.

Participant	Meaning	Connect	Optimism	Success	Participant	Meaning	Connect	Optimism	Success
1	34	25	14	12	16	54	36	29	18
2	62	41	35	20	17	68	37	42	22
3	54	38	40	18	18	53	29	46	15
4	59	36	35	17	19	33	20	23	14
5	28	18	32	15	20	45	21	27	11
6	31	28	15	18	21	25	29	32	7
7	64	25	35	22	22	61	38	39	22
8	57	22	45	24	23	53	21	30	17
9	26	19	32	21	24	22	21	18	11
10	44	27	23	12	25	40	25	30	16
11	62	31	47	17	26	50	25	41	18
12	54	25	50	20	27	32	16	17	12
13	59	24	35	14	28	55	30	34	21
14	68	28	31	16	29	35	19	22	7
15	36	31	17	19	30	52	21	42	13

Figure 14.2 The data for the multiple regression example. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into SPSS.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 below describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *meaning in life.sav* in the Chapter 14 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, four variables will be created, one for the criterion variable (meaning in life) and one for each of the predictors (connectedness, optimism, and success). The variables will be named **meaning, connect, optimism,** and **success,** respectively.

3. Enter the variable names **meaning, connect, optimism,** and **success,** respectively, in the first four rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 14.3 on page 180).

36					89 M		4≥ Ⅲ				
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	meaning	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	🖉 Scale	🖌 Input
2	connect	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	/ Scale	🖒 Input
3	optimism	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	Scale 8	🕥 Input
4	success	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	& Scale	> Input
5	1										
	4		-								

Figure 14.3 The *Variable View* window with the variables meaning, connect, optimism, and success entered.

Step 2: Enter the Data

- 1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **meaning, connect, optimism,** and **success** appear in the first four columns of the *Data View* window.
- 2. Consulting Figure 14.2, enter the scores for each of the participants on the four variables of interest. For the first participant, enter the scores *34*, *25*, *14*, and *12*, on the variables **meaning, connect, optimism,** and **success,** respectively. Using this approach, enter the data for all 30 participants. The completed dataset is presented in Figure 14.4.

Edit	⊻iew Data <u>T</u> ra	nsform <u>A</u> naly	ze <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add-o	ns <u>Win</u> d	low Internet	Help		4	-	A	\bigcirc		
					111	P hy			44		14	6		-
												Visibl	le: 4 of 4	Varia
	meaning	connect	optimism	success	var		Var	V	ar	var		var		٧a
1	34.00	25.00	14.00	12.00										
2	62.00	41.00	35.00	20.00										
3	54.00	38.00	40.00	18.00										
4	59.00	36.00	35.00	17.00										
5	28.00	18.00	32.00	15.00										
6	31.00	28.00	15.00	18.00										
7	64.00	25.00	35.00	22.00										
8	57.00	22.00	45.00	24.00										
9	26.00	19.00	32.00	21.00										
10	44.00	27.00	23.00	12.00										
11	62.00	31.00	47.00	17.00										
12	54.00	25.00	50.00	20.00										
13	59.00	24.00	35.00	14.00										
14	68.00	28.00	31.00	16.00										
15	36.00	31.00	17.00	19.00										
16	54.00	36.00	29.00	18.00										
17	68.00	37.00	42.00	22.00										
18	53.00	29.00	46.00	15.00										
19	33.00	20.00	23.00	14.00										
20	45.00	21.00	27.00	11.00										
21	25.00	29.00	32.00	7.00										
22	61.00	38.00	39.00	22.00										
23	53.00	21.00	30.00	17.00										
24	22.00	21.00	18.00	11.00										
25	40.00	25.00	30.00	16.00										
26	50.00	25.00	41.00	18.00										
27	32.00	16.00	17.00	12.00										
28	55.00	30.00	34.00	21.00										
29	35.00	19.00	22.00	7.00										
30	52.00	21.00	42.00	13.00										
	4				and the same			-					_	
	Variable View				***									

Figure 14.4 The completed data file for multiple regression example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

1. From the menu bar, select **Analyze** > **Regression** > **Linear**... (see Figure 14.5).

Ш ·	Untitl	ed1 [DataSet0] -	PASW S	tatistics Data Editor				-	. 🗆 🗙
File	Edit	<u>⊻</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	Insform	<u>Analyze</u> <u>G</u> raphs <u>U</u> tilities	Add-o	ns <u>W</u> indow	Help		
le) i			Reports Descriptive Statistics	+ +	**			
				Ta <u>b</u> les			1	Visible: 4 of 4 V	ariables
		meaning	conne	Compare Means	•	var	var	var	
	1	34.00	2	General Linear Model	۲				4
	2	62.00	4	Generalized Linear Models	•				
	3	54.00	3	Mixed Models	•				
	4	59.00	3	Correlate	•				
	5	28.00	1	Regression		Linear	•	11	
	6	31.00	2	Loglinear	•	Curve E	stimation		

Figure 14.5 Menu commands for the multiple regression procedure.

A *Linear Regression* dialog box appears with the variables **meaning**, **connect**, **optimism**, and **success** in the left-hand side of the dialog box (see Figure 14.6).

M meaning	Dependent:	tistics lots
optimism	Block 1 of 1	<u>a</u> ve
	Independent(s):	tions
	Selection Variable: Case Labels:	
	WLS Weight:	

Figure 14.6 The Linear Regression dialog box.

- Select the dependent variable, meaning, and click the upper right-arrow button (
) to move it into the *Dependent* box.
- 3. With the *Ctrl* key held down, select the independent variables **connect, optimism,** and **success** and click the second right-arrow button () from the top to move them into the *Independent(s)* box. See Figure 14.7 on page 182 for details.

Connect	Dependent:	ptatistics Plots Save
	Independent(s):	Options
	Selection Variable: Case Labels:	
	VMLS Weight:	

Figure 14.7 The Linear Regression dialog box (continued).

4. Click the *Statistics* button. The *Linear Regression: Statistics* dialog box opens. Select *Descriptives* (*Estimates* and *Model fit* should already be selected). See Figure 14.8 for details.

📰 Linear Regression:	Statistics		×
Regression Coefficients	Model fi R squar Descrip Part and Collinea	t red change tives d partial correlation rity diagnostics	ons
Residuals Durbin-Watson Casewise diagnostics Outliers outside: All cases	3 st	andard deviation	8
Continue	Cancel	Help	

Figure 14.8 The Linear Regression: Statistics dialog box.

- 5. Click Continue.
- 6. Click OK.

The multiple regression procedure runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the multiple linear regression procedure is displayed in Figure 14.9.

Descriptive Statistics

The *Descriptive Statistics* table displays the mean, standard deviation, and sample size for each of the variables.

Correlations

The *Correlations* table displays the bivariate correlations (i.e., the correlation between two variables) for all the variables included in the study (for a review of correlation, see Chapter 12). Ideally, each of the predictors will correlate moderately to highly with the criterion variable (higher correlation tends to lead to better prediction), and the predictors will not correlate too highly with each other (low correlations among the predictors allows them to make relatively unique contributions in predicting the dependent variable).³ The *Correlations* table shows that all correlations between the predictors and the criterion are significant (all have *p*-values < .05), with the highest correlation between **meaning** and **optimism** (.66), and the lowest correlation between **meaning** and **success** (.56). The predictors are moderately correlated with each other, with values ranging from .31 to .47.

Regression

negression							
Descriptive Statistics							
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν				
meaning	47.2000	13.90212	30				
connect	26.8667	6.76570	30				
optimism	31.9333	10.02044	30				
success	16.3000	4.38768	30	Г			

The correlation between the predictors and the criterion variable (meaning in life).

		meaning	connect	optimism	success
Pearson Correlation	meaning	1.000	.561	.663	.555
	connect	.561	1.000	.307	.425
	optimism	.663	.307	1.000	.474
	success	.555	.425	.474	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	meaning		.001	.000	.001
	connect	.001		.050	.010
	optimism	.000	.050		.004
	success	.001	.010	.004	
Ν	meaning	30	30	30	30
	connect	30	30	30	30
	optimism	30	30	30	30
	success	30	30	30	30

Correlations

(continued)

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	success, connect, optimism ^a		Enter

^{a.} All requested variables entered.

^{b.} Dependent Variable: meaning

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.778 ^a	.606	.560	9.22060

^{a.} Predictors: (Constant), success, connect, optimism

The predictors account for 61% of the variance in meaning in life scores.

ANOVA^b

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
1	Regression	3394.295	3	1131.432	13.308	.000 ^a	
	Residual	2210.505	26	85.019			
	Total	5604.800	29				

^{a.} Predictors: (Constant), success, connect, optimism

^{b.} Dependent Variable: meaning

Coefficients^a

		Unstan Coef	idardized ficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	-1.971	8.281		238	.814
	connect	.691	.282	.336	2.449	.021
	optimism	.653	.196	.470	3.334	.003
	success	.599	.470	.189	1.274	.214

Both **connect** and **optimism** are significant, since their *p*-values are less than .05. They both account for a significant amount of *unique* variance

in meaning scores.

Since the *p*-value is less than .05, the overall regression model (with all predictors included) is significant. The

predictors collectively

account for a significant amount of variance in meaning in

life scores.

^{a.} Dependent Variable: meaning

Figure 14.9 The output for the multiple linear regression procedure.

Variables Entered/Removed

The *Variables Entered/Removed* table summarizes the variables that were used to predict meaning in life. Notice that all of the predictors (**success, connect,** and **optimism**) are displayed in the *Variables Entered* box. A note below the table reads "all requested variables entered," which indicates that all predictors were included simultaneously in the regression model. Alternative procedures exist that allow for a subset of the predictors to enter the regression model first, followed by other predictors second, and so on. One of the more popular of these procedures is hierarchical regression, which allows predictors to be entered in an order specified by the user. With hierarchical regression, one or more predictors have the "first shot" at predicting the criterion variable, one or more other predictors have the "second shot," and so on.⁴

Model Summary

The *Model Summary* table displays R, R^2 (R-Square), Adjusted R^2 , and the standard error of the estimate. The first three of these values measure the degree to which meaning in life was predicted from the three independent variables and the last value measures the degree to which meaning in life was *not* predicted from the three variables. Each of these values is discussed below.

The first value, R, is known as the multiple correlation coefficient, and it is equal to the absolute value of the correlation between the original meaning in life scores and the predicted meaning in life scores from the regression analysis (R ranges from 0 to 1). The second value, R^2 , is the square of R (.778² \approx .61) and, when multiplied by 100%, is interpreted as the percentage of total variance in the dependent variable that is accounted for by the independent variables. In the current example, the variables **connect, optimism,** and **success** account for 61% (.61 * 100%) of the variance in meaning in life scores. Adjusted R^2 modifies the value of R^2 in an attempt to better estimate the true population value (R^2 calculated on the sample tends to overestimate the population value). Finally, the standard error of the estimate indicates the degree to which the independent variables were *unable* to predict scores on the dependent variable. The value of 9.2206 indicates that, when using the variables **connect, optimism,** and **success** to predict meaning in life, the regression equation was "off" in predicting meaning in life scores by about 9.22 points on average.

ANOVA—Testing the Overall Regression for Significance

The next table, *ANOVA*, tests whether the regression model, with all of the predictors included, significantly predicts meaning in life (which is analogous to a test of whether R^2 is significantly greater than zero). This test is conducted using an analysis of variance (ANOVA), which was discussed in Chapter 8. In the *ANOVA* table, a *p*-value less than or equal to .05 indicates that the regression model, with all the predictors included, significantly predicts meaning in life scores. Since the *p*-value of .000 (which should be read as "less than .001") is less than .05, the null hypothesis that $R^2 > 0$ is rejected, and it is concluded that the regression model (with the three predictors included) significantly predicts meaning in life.

Coefficients—Testing the Individual Predictors for Significance

The last table, *Coefficients*, provides the necessary values to construct a regression equation and test each of the predictors for significance.

The regression equation will be discussed first, followed by the test of whether **connect**, **optimism**, and **success** are significant predictors of meaning in life.

In multiple regression, an equation is created in the form of

$$\hat{Y} = a + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3$$

Where

- \hat{Y} = the predicted score on the dependent variable. In our example, \hat{Y} corresponds to the predicted meaning in life scores.
- a = the Y-intercept; the value of \hat{Y} when all Xs = 0.
- b_i = the regression coefficient for the *i*th predictor. In this example, *i* takes on the values of 1, 2, or 3 for the first (**connect**), second (**optimism**), and third (**success**) predictors, respectively.
- X_i = the score on the *i*th predictor (independent variable) for the participants. In this example, *i* takes on the values of 1, 2, and 3 for the first, second, and third predictors, respectively.

The regression equation can be extended for as many predictors as are included in the regression model. For example, if a fourth predictor was included, $+b_4X_4$ would be added to the above equation.

The values in the regression equation, a (the Y-intercept; called "constant" in SPSS) and b_1 , b_2 , and b_3 (the regression coefficients for each of the predictors), are found in the *Coefficients* table in the *Unstandardized Coefficients* column heading labeled "B." In the *Coefficients* table, the value of the Y-intercept is -1.971 and the coefficients of **connect**, **optimism**, and **success** are .691, .653, and .599, respectively. Substituting these values into the regression equation formula produces the following equation for predicting meaning in life scores:

 $\tilde{Y}_{\text{meaning in life}} = -1.971 + .691(\text{connect}) + .653(\text{optimism}) + .599(\text{success})$

This is the regression equation based on the scores of the 30 college students. Given an individual's score on the three predictors, a predicted meaning in life score can be found. For example, the first participant had **connect, optimism,** and **success** scores of 25, 14, and 12, respectively. Inserting these scores into the equation yields a predicted meaning in life score of

$$\hat{Y}_{\text{Meaning in life}} = -1.971 + .691(25) + .653(14) + .599(12)$$

 $\hat{Y}_{\text{Meaning in life}} = 31.634$

A predicted score can be found using this method for each individual in the dataset.⁵ The predicted scores will almost always have some error (they will not match the actual scores exactly); the higher the value of R, the more closely the predicted values will be to the actual values, with an R of 1 resulting in perfect prediction (the predicted scores would match the actual scores exactly).

Interpreting the Direction of the Regression Coefficients

The fact that the regression weights for each of the predictors are positive (.691, .653, and .599) means, for example, that a one-point increase in **connect** (e.g., moving from 25 to 26) would result in a .691 point predicted *increase* in meaning in life scores, *assuming all other predictors were held constant*. A negative regression weight has the opposite interpretation, that is, a one-point increase in the predictor would result in a *decrease* of the predicted score by the value of the regression weight, assuming all other predictors were held constant. It is important to emphasize that this interpretation of the regression coefficient hinges on the scores of all other predictors being held constant, which in practice is unlikely, as a person who has higher academic performance would also tend to be more optimistic, etc. (i.e., the predictors are correlated).

Testing Each of the Predictors for Significance

A test of each of the predictors may be found in the *Coefficients* table. The last two columns of the table present t and p-values for each of the predictors. In the *Coefficients* table, both **connect** (t = 2.449, p = .021) and **optimism** (t = 3.334, p = .003) are significant, since their p-values are less than .05. **Success**, on other hand, is not significant, since its p-value of .214 is greater than .05.

Notice the column labeled *Standardized Coefficients* in the *Coefficients* table. While the unstandardized coefficients were used in finding predicted scores, the standardized coefficients will be presented in the write-up of the results. The standardized coefficients are referred to as beta weights and are equal to the value of the regression coefficients if the predictors and the criterion were in z-score form (i.e., if they were standardized with a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 1).⁶

While in most cases the constant is not of interest in multiple regression, the test of the constant reported in the *Coefficients* table is a test of whether the *Y*-intercept is significantly different from zero. In this case, the value of -1.971 is not significantly different from zero since the *p*-value for the test (.814) is greater than .05.

Effect Sizes

Measures of effect size in regression are given by R^2 . Cohen (1988) expressed R^2 values of .02, .13, and .26 as corresponding to small, medium, and large effect sizes, respectively. In the above example, an R^2 of .61 would be considered to be a very large effect in practice, indicating that the predictors accounted for 61% of the variance in meaning in life scores.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In the write-up of the results for multiple regression, both the test of the regression model with all the predictors included (including R^2 and the results of the ANOVA test) and the test of the

individual predictors (including beta, the t value and the p-value) will be provided. A sample write-up is presented next.

Written Results

A multiple regression was conducted predicting meaning in life from the variables connectedness, optimism, and academic success. Overall, the regression was significant, F(3, 26) = 13.31, p < .05, $R^2 = .61$. Of the predictors investigated, both connectedness ($\beta = .34$, t(26) = 2.45, p > .05) and optimism ($\beta = .47$, t(26) = 3.33, p < .05) were significant. Academic success was not a significant predictor of meaning in life, $\beta = .19$, t(26) = 1.27, p > .05.

(*Note:* The degrees of freedom for each of the *t* tests in multiple regression are equal to *N*-p-1, where p = the number of predictors and N = number of participants in the study.)

Assumptions in Multiple Regression

- Independence of observations between the participants. Independence of observations means that the scores for each of the participants are independent of one another (the participants shouldn't influence each other in completing the measures). Violating this assumption can seriously compromise the accuracy of the statistical tests performed using the multiple regression procedure. If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, the multiple regression procedure should not be used.
- 2. The variables are distributed multivariate normal in the population. This assumption means that each variable should be both normally distributed on its own and for all possible combinations of the other variables (e.g., the success scores should be normally distributed for all possible score combinations on meaning, connect, and optimism). For moderate to large sample sizes, most types of nonnormal data tend to have relatively little impact on the accuracy of the multiple regression procedure.
- 3. Homoscedasticity.

Homoscedasticity means that the variances on the dependent variable are equal in the population for all possible combinations of levels of the independent variables. Mild to moderate violations of this assumption are generally tolerable in multiple regression.⁷

Summary of Steps for Conducting a Multiple Regression Analysis in SPSS

- I. Data Entry and Analysis
 - 1. Create a separate variable in SPSS for each predictor and for the criterion.
 - 2. Enter the data.
 - 3. Select Analyze > Regression > Linear
 - 4. Move the independent variables and the dependent variable to their respective boxes.
 - 5. Click *Statistics*. Select *Descriptives* (*Estimates* and *Model fit* should already be selected). Click *Continue*.
 - 6. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results

1. In the chapter description, all the tables (*Descriptive Statistics, Correlations, Variables Entered/Removed, Model Summary, ANOVA*, and *Coefficients*) were described. In this summary, only information from the *Model Summary, ANOVA*, and *Coefficients* tables will be described.

- a. Note the value of R^2 in the *Model Summary* table. In the *ANOVA* table, if $p \le .05$, the null hypothesis that $R^2 > 0$ is rejected, indicating that the regression model (with all the predictors included) accounts for a significant amount of variance in the dependent variable.
- b. In the *Coefficients* table, examine the *p*-value for each of the predictors.
 - If one or more of the predictors is significant ($p \le .05$), the null hypothesis that the beta weight is zero for the predictor(s) of interest is rejected. Write the results indicating the variable(s) that significantly predict(s) the criterion variable. Indicate any nonsignificant predictors as well.
 - If none of the predictors are significant (p > .05 for each of the predictors in the *Coefficients* table), the null hypothesis that the beta weight is zero for each of the predictors is not rejected. Write the results indicating that the predictor variables do not significantly predict the criterion variable.

Exercises

1. An industrial psychologist examined predictors of job satisfaction among 30 employees of different companies. The predictors investigated included the importance of work (**importance**), the opportunity for advancement in the company (**advance**), and the ability to express ideas to the boss (**express**). The criterion variable is job satisfaction (**satisfaction**). Higher scores on each of the variables indicate higher levels of the characteristic of interest (e.g., higher scores on satisfaction indicate greater satisfaction with one's job). The data are provided in Figure 14.10.

Satisfaction	Importance	Advance	Express
35	20	12	18
48	24	22	20
22	10	17	12
38	8	25	12
33	20	10	17
45	15	30	15
30	12	20	19
38	22	9	17
45	21	18	23
23	12	14	11
13	8	16	15
41	21	29	17
33	15	24	17
40	21	23	17
48	19	21	25
45	18	13	22
23	10	22	18
45	15	10	20
27	22	21	21
12	12	21	8
12	6	18	17
41	22	31	15
29	17	25	15
42	19	21	19
30	20	27	15
39	24	19	18
42	22	19	21
46	19	15	17
25	8	21	19
41	17	12	23

Figure 14.10 The data for Exercise 1.

Enter the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **satisfaction**, **importance**, **advance**, and **express**, respectively.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses for the individual predictors.
- b. State the null and alternative hypothesis for the overall regression model.
- c. Write a research question for each of the predictors and for the overall regression model.
- d. What is R^2 for the model? Is the overall model significant?
- e. Which, if any, of the predictors are significant? Which, if any, predictors are not significant?
- f. What is the effect size for the overall regression model? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- g. Write a regression equation for the data.
- h. Write the results using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. A researcher was interested in predicting happiness from the variables forgiveness and social support. Twenty-five people who agreed to participate in the study were administered the three measures of interest, which are described in Figure 14.11.

Measure	What It Measures	Scale Range
Happiness (happiness)	The level of happiness one currently finds in life.	7–35; higher scores indicate greater happiness.
Forgiveness (forgiveness)	The degree to which one can forgive others.	10–50; higher scores indicate a greater willingness to forgive.
Social Support (support)	The degree to which one feels they can turn to others for support.	5–25; higher scores indicate a stronger support network.

Figure 14.11 The variables for Exercise 2.

The data are provided in the file *Chapter 14_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 14 folder on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822. Open the file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses for the individual predictors.
- b. State the null and alternative hypothesis for the overall regression model.
- c. Write a research question for each of the predictors and for the overall regression model.
- d. What is R^2 for the model? Is the overall model significant?
- e. Which, if any, of the predictors are significant? Which, if any, predictors are not significant?
- f. What is the effect size for the overall regression model? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- g. Write a regression equation for the data.
- h. Write the results using APA format as appropriate.
- 3. A researcher was interested in predicting fear of death from the variables wellbeing and meaning in life. Fifty people who agreed to participate in the study were administered scales measuring each of the three variables, which are described in Figure 14.12.

Measure	What It Measures	Scale Range
Fear of death (feardeath)	The degree of fear one has toward death.	8–48; higher scores indicate greater fear of death.
Well-being (wellbeing)	The level of well-being one currently has in life.	5–40; higher scores indicate greater well-being.
Meaning in life (meaning)	The degree of meaning one currently finds in life.	30–70; higher scores indicate more meaning in life.

Figure 14.12 The variables for Exercise 3.

The data are provided in the file *Chapter 14_Exercise 3.sav* in the Chapter 14 folder on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822. Open the data file in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below.

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses for the individual predictors.
- b. State the null and alternative hypothesis for the overall regression model.
- c. Write a research question for each of the predictors and for the overall regression model.
- d. What is R^2 for the model? Is the overall significant model?
- e. Which, if any, of the predictors are significant? Which, if any, predictors are not significant?
- f. What is the effect size for the overall regression model? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- g. Write a regression equation for the data.
- h. Write the results using APA format as appropriate.

CHAPTER

The Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test

The chi-square goodness of fit procedure tests whether the proportion (or frequency) of cases that fall within each category of a variable are consistent with the proportions (or frequencies) specified under the null hypothesis. An example in which the chi-square goodness of fit test may be used is presented next.

Example

A student interested in social psychology wanted to investigate whether perceived responsibility varied as a function of group size (i.e., are people held more or less responsible for an action based solely on the size of the group they are in?). Ninety college students participated in the study and were described three scenarios in which a woman was in need of help, with the scenarios differing only by the number of people available nearby to help the woman. In the three scenarios, the group sizes consisted of 1 person, 5 people, and 25 people. In all three scenarios, the participants were told no one helped the woman. When the scenarios were described, the participants were asked to consider *a single person* in each of the groups and to indicate in which of the three scenarios the individual was most responsible for failing to help the woman (they were instructed to choose only one of the three scenarios).

The Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test							
Objective	Data Requirements	Example					
To test whether the proportions (or frequencies) in the sample differ significantly from the proportions (or frequencies) specified under the null	One categorical variable with two or more categories. Each participant provides a <i>frequency</i> (by falling in one of the categories).	Categorical Variable • Group size (1, 5, 25).					
hypothesis.							

Objective and Data Requirements of the Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

The null hypothesis states that perceived responsibility does *not* vary as a function of group size, which would imply an equal proportion of cases (or number of people) in each of the three categories:

 H_0 : Perceived responsibility does not vary by group size (the proportion or number of people who choose each category is equal in the population).

The alternative hypothesis states that perceived responsibility varies by group size, which would imply an unequal proportion of cases (or number of people) in the three categories:

 H_1 : Perceived responsibility varies by group size (the proportion or number of people who choose each category is not equal in the population).

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The chi-square goodness of fit tests whether the proportions in each of the categories are equal to those specified in the null hypothesis. If the test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur greater than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Question

The fundamental question of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of a research question, such as,

"Does perceived responsibility vary by group size?"

The Data

The data for the 90 participants are presented in Figure 15.1. Of the 90 participants, 42 chose the 1-person category as the most responsible, 30 chose the 5-person category, and 18 chose the 25-person category as the most responsible. These data are often referred to as *observed frequencies*, since they were observed in the study.

One person (alone)	5 person	25 person
42	30	18

Figure 15.1 The number of participants who chose the 1-person, 5-person, and 25-person categories as most responsible (i.e., the observed frequencies).

The chi-square goodness of fit test compares the frequencies observed in the study (those shown in Figure 15.1) to the frequencies that are expected under the null hypothesis (called the *expected frequencies*). To determine the expected frequencies, first we'll need to find the proportion of people expected to choose each of the categories if the null hypothesis is true. Since the null hypothesis states that perceived responsibility does *not* vary for the different group sizes, we would expect an equal proportion of people to select each category. With three categories, one-third of the participants should select each category, as is shown in Figure 15.2 below.

One person (alone)	5 person	25 person
1/3	1/3	1/3

Figure 15.2 The proportion of participants expected to choose each category if the null hypothesis is true.

Once the proportions are determined for each of the categories, they are converted into expected frequencies by multiplying each proportion by the total sample size (N) in the study.

The formula for generating the expected frequency for each of the categories is provided as follows:

Applying this formula to each of the categories produces the following expected frequencies:

Expected frequency in "1-person" category = (1/3) * 90 = 30. Expected frequency in "5-person" category = (1/3) * 90 = 30. Expected frequency in "25-person" category = (1/3) * 90 = 30.

The expected frequencies for the three categories are summarized in Figure 15.3.

One person (alone)	5 person	25 person
30	30	30

Figure 15.3 The number of people expected to fall within each category if the null hypothesis is true (the expected frequencies).

The chi-square goodness of fit test compares the observed frequencies in Figure 15.1 to the expected frequencies in Figure 15.3. In general, the greater the discrepancy between the observed and expected frequencies, the more likely the null hypothesis will be rejected.¹

Before entering the data into SPSS, we'll discuss two different methods of data entry for the chi-square procedure. The two methods differ in how the data file is structured and will be referred to as the *weight cases* and the *individual observations* methods of data entry. These methods are described next.

Weight Cases Method

With the weight cases method of data entry, the number of rows required in the *Data View* window is equal to the number of *categories* for the variable of interest (in this example there would be three rows of data for the three different group size categories). Two variables are required in SPSS: one for the different categories and one for the number of people falling within each category (i.e., the frequencies). The weight cases method is typically used when the frequencies are already tallied for each of the categories (as the data are in this example; see Figure 15.1 for more details).

Individual Observations Method

With the individual observations method of data entry, the number of rows required in the *Data View* window is equal to the number of *people* in the study (in this example there would 90 rows of data for the 90 participants). Only one variable is required in SPSS, with a participant receiving a given value (e.g., 1, 2, or 3) for falling in a particular category. This approach is most useful when the frequencies have not already been tallied in each of the categories but instead are entered directly, such as from an instrument or a survey form.

Method	Number of variables required in SPSS	Number of rows of data required in the <i>Data View</i> window	When to use
Weight cases	Two — one for the different categories and one for the frequencies	Equal to the number of <i>categories</i> of the variable (in our example, 3)	When the data are provided in summarized form (e.g., see Figure 15.1)
Individual observations	One — for the different categories	Equal to the number of <i>participants</i> in the study (in our example, 90)	When the data have not already been summarized (e.g., entering data from surveys)

The features of the weight cases and individual observations methods of data entry are summarized in Figure 15.4.

Figure 15.4 Features of the weight cases and individual observations methods of data entry for the chi-square goodness of fit procedure.

Since the data are already tallied for each of the categories (as is shown in Figure 15.1), we'll use the weight cases method of data entry, which will require two variables to be entered in SPSS. (For those who prefer to use the individual observations method of data entry, a description of how

to structure the data file using this method is provided in Figure 15.7 and an example may be found in Exercise 2 in the end-of-chapter exercises.)

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *no help.sav* in the Chapter 15 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, two variables will be created, one for the different group size categories (i.e., 1, 5, and 25) and one for the frequencies (i.e., the number of people who chose each group size as most responsible). The variables will be named **groupsize** and **frequency**, respectively.

3. Enter the name **groupsize** and **frequency** in the first two rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 15.5).

2 F				1	81 M		4≥ Ⅲ			5	
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	groupsize	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	& Scale	S Input
2	frequency	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	🔳 Right	@ Scale	🖌 Input
3											
	1	And in case of the local diversion of the local diversion of the local diversion of the local diversion of the	-				Contraction of the local division of the loc	COLUMN STREET,	and the second second second	And the owner of the owner of the	Statement of the local division of the local

Figure 15.5 The Variable View window with the variables groupsize and frequency entered.

Next, we'll create value labels for the different categories in our study. We'll assign the 1-person category a 1, the 5-person category a 2, and the 25-person category a 3.

4. Using the process described in Chapter 1, create value labels for the variable **groupsize**. For **groupsize**, 1 = "1 person," 2 = "5 person," and 3 = "25 person."

Step 2: Enter the Data

1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **groupsize** and **frequency** appear in the first two columns of the *Data View* window.

Since we're using the weight cases method of data entry, we'll need to create a separate row in the data file for each of the three conditions in our study. The structuring of the data file using the weight cases method is illustrated in Figure 15.6.

Inspecting Figure 15.6, we'll enter three rows of data in SPSS, with each row corresponding to a different category in our study.

To enter the data

1. In the first row of the *Data View* window, enter a *1* and *42* for the variables **groupsize** and **frequency**, respectively. In row 2, enter a 2 and 30 for **groupsize** and **frequency**, respectively, and in row 3, enter a *3* and *18*. The completed data set is presented in Figure 15.7.

Groupsize	Frequency
1	42
2	30
3	18

Figure 15.6 The values for groupsize and frequency using the weight cases method of data entry. For groupsize, 1 corresponds to the 1-person category, 2 corresponds to the 5-person category, and 3 corresponds to the 25-person category.

"Untilled" [DataSet0] - PASW Statistics Data Editor Ris Edit View Onto Transform Analyze Origins Unline Advance Window	w Heb	- 🗆 ×
(216 Q r ~ 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	S I I 4 I 4 6 6 46	
	งาะเล	e: 2 of 2 Variables
groupsize frequency var var 1 1.00 42.00 var var 2 2.00 30.00 3 3 3.00 18.00 4 1 5 1 6 1 7 0 9 0 10 created, one to indicate the 11 different categories (groupsize) 13 and one for the frequencies (frequency). 15 15 16 19 17 18 18 19	ver v	
	PASW/Statistics Processor is ready	

Figure 15.7 The completed data file for the chi-square goodness of fit example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

Prior to running the chi-square analysis, we'll first need to weight the cases for **frequency**.² Weighting the cases indicates that the values for a given variable represent *the total number of observations* as opposed to a single score. For example, when **frequency** is weighted, this indicates in SPSS that the value of 42 in the first category corresponds to 42 *people*, not a score of 42.

To weight the cases for frequency

- From the menu bar, select Data > Weight Cases ... (see Figure 15.8 on page 196).
- 2. The *Weight Cases* dialog box opens. Select *Weight cases by* and select the variable, **frequency**. Click the right-arrow button () to move **frequency** into the *Frequency Variable* box (see Figure 15.9 on page 196).
- 3. Click *OK*. This indicates that the frequency values (42, 30, and 18) correspond to *the total number of people* in each category, not a single score.³

With the cases weighted for **frequency**, we can now perform the chi-square goodness of fit test in SPSS.

8	*Unti	tled1 [l)ataSe	etO] - PASW	/ Statistic	:s Data E	ditor		-	. 🗆 🗙
File	Edit	⊻iew	Data	Transform	<u>A</u> nalyze	Graphs	Utilities	Add- <u>o</u> ns	Window	Help
				Define <u>∨</u> ariab Copy Data Pro	le Propertie operties	S	ŀ		81	
			1	New Custom	Attribute			Visib	le: 2 of 2 V	ariables
		g	鼠	Define Dates.				var	var	
	1		**	- Define Multiple	e Response	Sets				4
	2			Validation			•			
	3	_		Identify Duplic	ate Cases.					
	4	_	53	Identify Unus	ual Cases					_
	5	_		Sort Cases						- 8
	6	_		- Sort Variable:	s		-			-8
	/	_		- Transpose			-			-8
	a	_		Restructure			-			-8
	10			- Merge Files			•			-
	11	-	围	Aggregate						- 1
	12	_		Orthogonal De	esign		•			
	13		毘	Copy <u>D</u> ataset						
	14			Split <u>F</u> ile						
	15		Ħ	Select Cases						
	16		573	- Weight Cases						
	17		100		and a		100			

Figure 15.8 Menu commands for the Weight Cases procedure.

P groupsize	 Do not weight cases Weight cases by Frequency Variable: Frequency 	
OK P	Current Status: Do not weight cases	

Figure 15.9 The Weight Cases dialog box.

 From the menu bar, select Analyze > Nonparametric Tests > Legacy Dialogs > Chi-square ... (see Figure 15.10). (*Note*: If you are using SPSS version 17.0 or earlier, the menu commands are Analyze > Nonparametric Tests > Chi-square All other commands remain the same.)

A *Chi-Square* dialog box appears with the variables **groupsize** and **frequency** in the lefthand side of the box (see Figure 15.11).

- 2. Select the variable, **groupsize**, and click the right-arrow button () to move it into the *Test Variable List* box (see Figure 15.12 on page 198). (*Note*: **Frequency** should remain on the left-hand side of the dialog box.)
- 3. Click OK.

			Reports Descriptive Statis Tables	stics				4	1.		Visible	: 2 of 2 Variable
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	groupsize 1.00 2.00 3.00	frequer 4 3 1	Compare Means General Linear M Generalized Line Mixed Models Correlate Regression Loginear Classify Dimension Redu	Iodel	var	var	V	ar	var	Var	Var	var
8 9 10 11 12			Scale Nonparametric Tr Forecasting Survival	e b	▲ One Sa ▲ Indeper ▲ Related	mple ident Samples Samples						
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21			Mutiple Imputatio Complex Sample Quality Control	n ► s ►	<u>Fedac</u> A	Dialogs	•	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	hi-square inomial uns Sample K-S Independent Sa Independent Sa Related Sample Related Sample	mples smples ss		
22 23 24 25 26	4								' <i>Weigh</i> cases	<i>it On</i> ' ind have bee	licates that en weight	at the ed.

Figure 15.10 Menu commands for the chi-square goodness of fit procedure.

Test Variable List:
Expected Values

Figure 15.11 The Chi-Square Test dialog box.

frequency	groupsize
	*
Expected Range	Expected Values
<u>Get from data</u> <u>Use specified range</u> <u>Lower:</u>	 Ø All categories equal Ø ⊻alues:
Upper:	Add Change Remove

Figure 15.12 The Chi-Square Test dialog box (continued).

The chi-square goodness of fit test runs and the results are presented in the Viewer window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The output of the chi-square goodness of fit procedure is displayed in Figure 15.13.

NPar Tests					
Chi–Square Test					
Frequencies groupsize					
	Observed N	Expected N	Residual		
1 person	42	30.0	12.0		
5 person	30	30.0	.0		
25 person	18	30.0	-12.0		
Total	90				

Test Statistics

	groupsize
Chi-Square ^a	9.600
df	2
Asymp. Sig.	.008

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected frequencies less than 5. The minimum expected cell frequency is 30.0.

Figure 15.13 Output for the chi-square goodness of fit test procedure.

Groupsize

The first table, labeled *groupsize*, reports the observed frequencies (*Observed N*), the expected frequencies (*Expected N*), and the residual, or the difference between the observed and expected frequencies for the 1-person, 5-person, and 25-person categories. In the table, observed frequencies of 42, 30, and 18 are reported for the 1 person, 5 person, and 25 person categories, respectively. An expected frequency of 30 is reported for each of the three categories, which confirms our calculations for the expected frequencies shown earlier. Notice that in the residual column there is a difference of 12 between the observed and expected frequencies for the 1-person categories (for the 1-person category *Observed N* > *Expected N*, while for the 25-person category *Expected N* > *Observed N*). Whether the difference between the observed and expected frequencies is large enough to be statistically significant will be considered next.

Test Statistics

The next table, *Test Statistics*, provides the answer to our research question, that is, whether perceived responsibility varies as a function of group size. The chi-square goodness of fit test produces a chi-square statistic, which is given by

$$\chi^{2} = \sum \frac{(\text{observed frequency} - \text{expected frequency})^{2}}{\text{expected frequency}}$$

where the observed and expected frequencies correspond to the *Observed N* and the *Expected N*, respectively, in the *groupsize* table. Inserting the observed and expected frequencies from the *groupsize* table produces a chi-square (χ^2) value of

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{(42 - 30)^{2}}{30} + \frac{(30 - 30)^{2}}{30} + \frac{(18 - 30)^{2}}{30}$$
$$\chi^{2} = 9.60$$

which is equal to the *Chi-Square* value in the *Test Statistics* table. The chi-square test has 2 degrees of freedom (df = the number of categories -1), and a *p*-value (reported as *Asymp. Sig.*) of .008. Because the *p*-value is less than .05, the null hypothesis that the frequencies are equal across the three categories is rejected, indicating that perceived responsibility varies by group size.

Since the results are significant, we'll examine the difference between the observed and expected frequencies in the *groupsize* table to determine which of the categories the participants viewed as being most (and least) responsible for not helping. For the 1-person category, the observed frequency was 42 while the expected frequency was 30, indicating that 12 more people chose the 1-person category than expected. For the 5-person category, there was no difference between the observed and expected frequencies (30 observed vs. 30 expected), while for the 25-person category, 12 fewer people chose the category than expected (18 observed vs. 30 expected). In summary, people chose the 1-person category more than expected and the 25-person category less than expected (with no difference between the observed and expected categories for the 5-person category). This suggests that people perceive a greater responsibility to help others when a person is alone as compared to being in a large group.

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In writing the results, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the degrees of freedom, the sample size, the chi-square value, and the *p*-value are reported. For significant results, the relationship between the observed and expected frequencies should also be described. An example of a brief write-up in APA format is presented next.

Written Results

The perceived responsibility for failing to help a person in need varied as a function of group size, $\chi^2 (2, N = 90) = 9.60, p < .05$. Participants rated people who are alone as most responsible

and those who are in large groups (25 people) as least responsible for failing to help a person in need. The percentage of people who rated the 1-person, 5-person, and 25-person groups as being the most responsible was 46.7%, 33.3%, and 20.0%, respectively.⁴

(*Note*: The "2" to the right of χ^2 in the above results is for the degrees of freedom. The sample size is also provided in parentheses, because unlike the other procedures covered in this text, the sample size cannot be determined from the degrees of freedom for the chi-square test.)

Assumptions of the Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test

1. Observations are independent.

The independence assumption means that the observations (i.e., the participants) in each of the cells are independent of each other. An example of violating this assumption would be if a person was counted in two different cells (e.g., each participant was asked to provide the top *two* scenarios where perceived responsibility is greatest). Violating the independence assumption can seriously compromise the accuracy of the chi-square test. If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, the chi-square goodness of fit test should not be used.

2. The magnitude of the expected cell frequencies. For tables with four cells (categories) or less an expected frequency of 5 or more in each cell is recommended. For larger tables, having one or a few cells with expected frequencies less than 5 will most likely not invalidate the results of the chi-square procedure, although the power may suffer if the total sample size is small.

Summary of Steps for Conducting a Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test in SPSS

Instructions for conducting a chi-square goodness of fit test in SPSS are provided for both the weight cases and individual observations methods of data entry. (As noted previously, the structuring of the data file for the individual observations method is illustrated in Exercise 2 of the end-of-chapter exercises.)

Ia. Data Entry and Analysis—Weight Cases Method

- 1. Create two variables in SPSS (one for the categorical variable and one for the frequency variable).
- 2. Create value labels for the categorical variable. In the *Value Labels* dialog box, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click *OK*.
- 3. Enter the data. (For the weight cases method of data entry, the number of rows in the data file is equal to the number of *categories* of the variable.)
- Weight the cases for the frequency variable by selecting Data > Weight Cases
- 5. Select *Weight cases by* and move the frequency variable to the *Frequency Variable* box. Click *OK*.
- 6. Select Analyze > Nonparametric Tests > Chi-Square
- 7. Move the categorical variable to the *Test Variable List* box (the frequency variable should remain on the left).
- 8. Click OK.

Ib. Data Entry and Analysis—Individual Observations Method

- 1. Create one variable in SPSS (for the categories).
- 2. Create value labels for the variable. In the *Value Labels* dialog box, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click *OK*.
- 3. Enter the data. (For the individual observations method of data entry, the number of rows in the data file is equal to the number of *participants* in the study).

- 4. Select Analyze > Nonparametric Tests > Chi-Square
- 5. Move the variable to the Test Variable List box.
- 6. Click OK.

II. Interpretation of the Results (the interpretation is the same regardless of which method of data entry is used)

In the Test Statistics table, examine the p-value (shown in SPSS as Asymp. Sig.).

- If $p \le .05$, the null hypothesis is rejected. Write the results indicating the nature of the relationship between the observed and expected frequencies.
- If p > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected. Write the results indicating that there is not a significant difference between the observed and expected frequencies (e.g., there is not a preference for any of the categories).

Exercises

1. A researcher was interested in investigating the influence of eye size on attraction. Photos of two different people (who were determined by experts to be of similar attractiveness and eye size) were used in the study. The photos were manipulated prior to the study so that one of the two people in the photos had moderately larger eyes (the photos were counterbalanced so that one person had larger eyes for half of the participants and the other person had larger eyes for the other half of the participants). Eighty people viewed both photos, indicating which of the two they found more attractive. The data are presented in Figure 15.14.

Large eyes	Small eyes
60	20

Figure 15.14 The number of participants who chose each photo as most attractive.

Enter the data into SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **eyesize** and **frequency** (be sure to weight the cases prior to analyzing the data). For **eyesize**, code large eyes a "1" and small eyes a "2."

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is there a preference for one of the photos? Test using $\alpha = .05$.
- d. Write the results using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. A market researcher investigated whether people perceive a difference in the picture quality of plasma and LCD televisions. Shoppers at a local warehouse store were shown a movie trailer on both a plasma and LCD television and were asked to indicate which of the two televisions had the better picture quality. The choices of the 65 shoppers included in the study are provided in Figure 15.15.

Plasma	LCD
35	30

Figure 15.15 The number of people who chose plasma and LCD televisions as having the best picture quality.

The data are provided in the file *Chapter 15_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 15 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variable is named **tv** with plasma televisions coded a "1" and LCD televisions coded a "2"). The data file
has been entered using the individual observations method (i.e., there is no need to weight the cases for this example). Open the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below (instructions for analyzing the data using the individual observations method are provided in the "Summary of Steps" section prior to the chapter exercises).

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is there a difference in the perceived picture quality of plasma and LCD televisions? Test using $\alpha = .05$.
- d. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.
- 3. A marketing firm conducted a study to assess consumer preferences of differently priced coffees. The leading brand in each category of \$3-, \$6-, and \$10-priced coffee (for 12 oz. of ground coffee) was selected. One hundred and fifty people tasted the three coffee brands (without knowing the cost of each) and indicated which coffee they preferred. The preferences of the 150 participants are shown in Figure 15.16.

\$3 brand	\$6 brand	\$10 brand
30	62	58

Figure 15.16 The number of people who chose the \$3, \$6, and \$10 coffee brands as the best tasting.

The data are provided in the file *Chapter 15_Exercise 3.sav* in the Chapter 15 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822. (In the file, the variables are named **cost** and **frequency** with the \$3 brand coded a "1," the \$6 brand coded a "2," and the \$10 brand coded a "3" for **cost**.) Open the data in SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below (for this problem, be sure to weight the cases prior to analyzing the data).

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is there a preference for one (or more) of the coffees? Test using $\alpha = .05$.
- d. Write the results of the study using APA format as appropriate.

CHAPTER

The Chi-Square Test of Independence

The chi-square test of independence provides a test of whether there is a relationship between two categorical variables, with each variable consisting of two or more categories. An example in which the chi-square test of independence may be used is presented next.

Example

A researcher wanted to investigate whether there was a relationship between personality type (introvert, extrovert) and choice of recreational activity (going to an amusement park, taking a oneday retreat). Each of the 100 participants who agreed to participate in the study were administered a personality measure and, based on their scores on that measure, were classified as either an introvert or extrovert. Each participant was then asked to indicate which day-long recreational activity they would prefer: going to an amusement park or on a retreat. The personality type and choice of recreational activity for each of the participants is provided in Figure 16.1.

		Amusement park	Retreat	Total
Porconality type	Introvert	12	28	40
	Extrovert	43	17	60
Total		55	45	100

Figure 16.1 Classification table of the 100 study participants.

In Figure 16.1, personality type is presented in the rows of the table and the preferred recreational activity is presented in the columns. Of the 100 people in the study, 40 were classified as introverts and 60 were classified as extroverts. Of the introverts, 12 preferred the amusement park and 28 preferred the retreat. Of the extroverts, 43 preferred the amusement park and 17 preferred the retreat. The categorical variables in the study are personality type and preferred recreational activity, and the data to be analyzed are in the form of counts or frequencies. The current example is referred to as a 2×2 (read "two by two") chi-square with two levels each for personality and recreational activity, resulting in a total of four cells.

Chi-Square Test of Independence			
Objective	Data Requirements	Example	
To determine if a relationship exists	Frequencies or counts	Frequencies (the number of people in each cell)	
between two categorical variables.	Two categorical variables with two or more categories each	Categorical variables • Personality type (introvert, extrovert)	
		• Recreational activity (amusement park, retreat)	

Objective and Data Requirements of the Chi-Square Test of Independence

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

The null and alternative hypotheses for the chi-square test of independence are as follows:

- H_0 : There is not a relationship between personality type and choice of recreational activity.
- H_1 : There is a relationship between personality type and choice of recreational activity.

Evaluation of the Null Hypothesis

The chi-square test of independence provides a test of the null hypothesis that there is no relationship between personality type and choice of recreational activity. If the test produces results that seem unlikely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur less than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is rejected. If the test produces results that seem fairly likely if the null hypothesis is true (results that occur more than 5% of the time), then the null hypothesis is not rejected.

Research Question

The fundamental question of interest in a research study can also be expressed in the form of a research question, such as,

"Is there a relationship between personality type and preferred recreational activity?"

Data Entry and Analysis in SPSS

Steps 1 and 2 below describe how to enter the data in SPSS. The data file is also on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 under the name *choice.sav* in the Chapter 16 folder. If you prefer to open the file from the web site, skip to Step 3.

Step 1: Create the Variables

- 1. Start SPSS.
- 2. Click the Variable View tab.

In SPSS, three variables will be created, one for the different personality types, one for the preferred activity, and one for the frequencies. The variables will be named **personality**, **activity**, and **frequency**, respectively.

3. Enter the variable names **personality**, **activity**, and **frequency**, respectively, in the first three rows of the *Variable View* window (see Figure 16.2).

				1	#1		\$2 Ⅲ	1 1 1			
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	personality	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	🖉 Scale	S Input
2	activity	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	🖉 Scale	S Input
3	frequency	Numeric	8	2		None	None	8	≡ Right	Ø Scale	S Input
4											
	4							-			

Figure 16.2 The Variable View window with the variables personality, activity, and frequency entered.

4. Using the process described in Chapter 1, create value labels for the categorical variables **personality** and **activity**. For **personality**, 1 = "introvert" and 2 = "extrovert." For **activity**, 1 = "amusement park" and 2 = "retreat."

Step 2: Enter the Data

Next, we'll enter the data into SPSS. There are two different methods for entering data into SPSS for the chi-square test of independence: the *weight cases* method and the *individual observations* method (see Chapter 15 for a description of these two methods). As was noted in Chapter 15, the weight cases method is used when the data are provided with the frequencies already tallied in the cells. Since the frequencies are tallied in the cells in our example (see Figure 16.1), we'll use the weight cases method for entering the data. (A description of how to structure the data file using the individual observations method is provided in Figure 16.4.)

In our example, recall that introverts and extroverts can choose either the amusement park or the retreat, resulting in four different conditions in the study (introvert/amusement park, introvert/retreat, extrovert/amusement park, extrovert/retreat). Since we're using the weight cases method of data entry, we'll need to create a separate *row* in the *Data View* window for each of these four conditions. The proper structuring of the data file using the weight cases method is illustrated in Figure 16.3.

Personality	Activity	Frequency
1	1	12
1	2	28
2	1	43
2	2	17

Figure 16.3 The four conditions in the study design. For personality, introverts are assigned a "1" and extroverts are assigned a "2." For activity, amusement park is assigned a "1" and retreat is assigned a "2."

To enter the data

1. Click the *Data View* tab. The variables **personality**, **activity**, and **frequency** appear in the first three columns of the *Data View* window.

Inspecting Figure 16.3, the first condition corresponds to introverts (coded a "1") who chose the amusement park (also coded a "1"), of which there were 12 people total. Therefore, these values will be entered in the first row of the *Data View* window.

2. Enter the values 1, 1, and 12, for **personality**, **activity**, and **frequency**, respectively, in the first row of the *Data View* window. Enter the values for the remaining three conditions in rows 2 through 4 of the *Data View* window (i.e., enter a 1, 2, and 28 in row 2, a 2, 1, and 43 in row 3, and a 2, 2, and 17 in row 4). The completed data file is shown in Figure 16.4.

	11 [DataSet0] - Jew Data Ira (🖨 🔍	PASW Statist hsform <u>A</u> naly	tics Data Edito rze: <u>G</u> rophs 11	u Unites Antes La Sala	rs water	Hato	-								×
Visibia 3 of 3 Vinakias															
	personality	activity	frequency	var	Vər	Var	Var	Var	var	Var	var	Yar.	Var	Var	Var
1	1.00	1.00	12.00												*
2	1.00	2.00	28.00				-								
3	2.00	1.00	43.00				If th	o indivi	ام ادبيل	hearvat	tions n	nothor	l of dat	o ontr	
4	2.00	2.00	17.00				ii ui		uuai u	USEIVa		nethod	i ui uai		У
5		< ▲					was	used,	only tv	vo varia	ables v	vould	be crea	ited	
6		\mathbf{n}					(fre	quency	/ would	d not b	e crea	ted), a	nd eac	h pers	son 📃
7		\sim				-	- wou	Id rece	, ive the	annro	nriate	value	son		
8	Us	sina the	e weiah	t cases	S	_					priate in	laste		1 4 6 - 5 - 5	
9	m	athod c	f data	ontry t	hroo		pers	sonalit	y and	activity	y to in	dicate	the cer	i they	I be 12 nd
10			Ji uala	entry, t	mee		were	e in. In	the cu	rrent e	xampl	e, ther	e woul	d be 1	
11	va	riables	are cre	eated, 1	two to	r	rows	s with v	alues	of 1 for	both i	nerso	nality a	and	
12	the	e catec	iorical v	/ariable	es (i.e.		ooti	vitu (in	trovort	o who	ahaaa	the or	nucom	ont no	
1.1	ne	rsona	, litv ac	tivity)	anḋ	· —	activity (introvents who chose the antusement park),							ик),	
14	pe	- few th	inty, uo	(ivicy)			- 28 r	ows wi	th valu	es of 1	and 2	l, 43 rc	ows with	h valu	es 📃
15	on	e for tr	ie irequ	lencies	s (i.e.,		of 2 and 1, and 17 rows with values of 2 and 2 on								
17	fre	equence	cy).				nore	onalit	hac v	activity	roor	octive	ly (for	a total	of
18		-						Jonani	y and t		y , 103p				
19							100	rows c	or data)	. See I	zxerci	se 2 in	the en	a-ot-	
20							cha	oter ex	ercises	s for mo	ore de	tails oı	n this m	nethoc	
21							of d	ata ent	rv						
22									· .						
23															
	4	Contraction of the local division of the loc		Contract of the local division of the local		Conception in the local division in the loca			And in case of the local division of the loc	and the second second second					5
Bate View	Variable View														

Figure 16.4 The completed data file for the chi-square test of independence example.

Step 3: Analyze the Data

Prior to running the chi-square test, we'll first need to weight the cases for **frequency**. Weighting the cases indicates that the values for a given variable represent the *total number of observations* as opposed to a single score. For example, when **frequency** is weighted, a value of 12 for **frequency** will be interpreted as 12 *people*, not a score of 12.

To weight the cases for **frequency**

- 1. From the menu bar, select **Data > Weight Cases**... (see Figure 16.5).
- 2. The *Weight Cases* dialog box opens. Select *Weight cases by* and select the variable, **frequency**. Click the right-arrow button () to move **frequency** into the *Frequency Variable* box (see Figure 16.6).
- 3. Click *OK*. This indicates that the frequency values (12, 28, 43, and 17) correspond to *the total number of people* in each cell, not a single score.¹

With the cases weighted for **frequency**, now we can perform the chi-square test of independence in SPSS.

To perform the chi-square test of independence

1. From the menu bar, select **Analyze** > **Descriptive Statistics** > **Crosstabs**... (see Figure 16.7 on page 208).

The *Crosstabs* dialog box opens with the variables **personality**, **activity**, and **frequency** in the left-hand side of the box (see Figure 16.8 on page 208).

2. Select **personality**, and click the upper right-arrow button (>>) to move it into the Row(s) box.

	*Unt	itled1	[Data	Set0] - PASW Statistics Data I	Edit	tor	-	
File	Edit	⊻iew	Data	Transform Analyze Graphs Utilitie	88	Add- <u>o</u> ns	Window	Help
				Define ⊻ariable Properties Copy Data Properties				£1
			-	New Custom Attribute		Visible: 3	3 of 3 Va	riables
			副	Define Dates		Va	ır	
	1			- Define Multiple Response Sets		1		4
	2			Validation	•	1		
	3			Identify Duplicate Cases		1		
	4			Identify Unusual Cases				
	5			Sort Cases				-81
	7		53	Sort Variables				-11
-	8			Transpose		-		-8
	9		南	Restructure				-11
	10			Merge Files				
	11		围	Aggregate				
	12			Orthogonal Design				
	13		毘	Copy <u>D</u> ataset				
	14			Split <u>F</u> ile				
	15			Select Cases				
	16		40	Weight Cases				
	17							

Figure 16.5 Menu commands for the Weight Cases procedure in SPSS.

 personality activity 	Do not weight cases Weight cases by Frequency Variable: Frequency
OK Pas	Current Status: Do not weight cases

Figure 16.6 The Weight Cases dialog box.

- 3. Select **activity**, and click the middle right-arrow button (^{SE}) to move it into the *Column(s)* box. See Figure 16.9 on page 208 for details. (*Note:* **Frequency** should remain on the left-hand side of the *Crosstabs* dialog box.)
- 4. Click the *Statistics* button. The *Crosstabs: Statistics* dialog box opens. Select *Chi-square* and *Phi and Cramer's V* (see Figure 16.10 on page 209).
- 5. Click Continue.



Figure 16.7 Menu commands for the chi-square test of independence.

personality activity frequency	Row(s):	Exact Statistics
e noqueney	Column(s):	<u>E</u> ormat
	Layer 1 of 1	
	Previous Next	
	*	
Display clustered bar	charts	

Figure 16.8 The Crosstabs dialog box.

frequency	Personality	Exact
	Column(s):	Cells Eormat
	Layer 1 of 1	
	Previous Ne	xt
	•	
=	charte	

Figure 16.9 The Crosstabs dialog box (continued).

🛿 Chi-square	Correlations
Nominal	-Ordinal
Contingency coefficient	🔲 <u>G</u> amma
✔ Phi and Cramer's V	Somers' d
📕 Lambda	📗 Kendall's tau- <u>b</u>
Uncertainty coefficient	🔲 Kendall's tau- <u>c</u>
Nominal by Interval	 Kappa Risk McNemar
Cochran's and Mantel-Haer	uals: 1

Figure 16.10 The Crosstabs: Statistics dialog box.

6. Click *Cells*. The *Crosstabs: Cell Display* dialog box opens. Under *Counts* select *Expected* (*Observed* should already be selected) and under *Percentages* select *Row*. See Figure 16.11 for details.

📰 Crosstabs: Ce	ll Display 🗙
Counts	
Observed	
Expected	
Percentages	Residuals
Row	Distandardized
Column	Standardized
Total	Adjusted standardized
-Noninteger Weights	3
Round cell cour	nts © Round case <u>w</u> eights
O Truncate cell co	ounts 🔘 Truncate case weights
O No adjustments	8
Continue	Cancel Help

Figure 16.11 The Crosstabs: Cell Display dialog box.

- 7. Click Continue.
- 8. Click OK.

The chi-square test of independence runs in SPSS and the results are presented in the *Viewer* window.

Step 4: Interpret the Results

The results of the chi-square test of independence are presented in Figure 16.12.

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

			Ca	ses		
	Va	lid	Missing		Total	
	Ν	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
personality *activity	100	100.0%	0	.0%	100	100.0%

personality * activity Crosstabulation

			activit		
			amusement		Tatal
			park	retreat	Iotai
personality	introvert	Count	12	28	40
		Expected Count	22.0	18.0	40.0
		% within personality	30.0%	70.0%	100.0%
	extrovert	Count	43	17	60
		Expected Count	33.0	27.0	60.0
		% within personality	71.7%	28.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	55	45	100
		Expected Count	55.0	45.0	100.0
		% within personality	55.0%	45.0%	100.0%

Exact Sig. Asymp. Sig. Exact Sig. df (1-sided) Value (2-sided) (2-sided) Pearson Chi-Square 16.835^b .000 1 Continuity Correction^a .000 1 15.194 Likelihood Ratio 17.230 1 .000 Fisher's Exact Test .000 .000 Linear-by-Linear Association 16.667 1 .000 N of Valid Cases 100

Chi-Square Tests

^{a.} Computed only for a 2x2 table

^{b.} 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 18.00.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by	Phi	410	.000
Nominal	Cramer's V	.410	.000
N of Valid Cases		100	

^{a.} Not assuming the null hypothesis.

^{b.} Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Figure 16.12 Output for the chi-square test of independence.

Case Processing Summary

The *Case Processing Summary* table displays the number of valid (and missing) cases for the data set. All 100 participants had valid observations in the data set, since everyone was classified on both personality type and activity.

Personality * activity Crosstabulation

The *personality* * *activity Crosstabulation* table provides important information about the observed and expected frequencies. The values identified as "*Count*" in the table consist of the observed frequencies we originally entered into SPSS (i.e., 12, 28, 43, and 17), and the values labeled "*Expected Count*" are the frequencies that are expected (within sampling error) if the null hypothesis is true. If the chi-square test is significant, the discrepancy between the observed and expected frequencies will be inspected to determine the nature of the relationship between the two variables.

Chi-Square Tests

While the *Chi-Square Tests* table provides the results of a number of different tests, the most commonly used is the *Pearson Chi-Square*. As shown in the previous chapter, the formula for the *Pearson Chi-Square* statistic is

$$\chi^2 = \Sigma \frac{(\text{observed frequency} - \text{expected frequency})^2}{\text{expected frequency}}$$

where the observed and expected frequencies correspond to *Count* and *Expected Count*, respectively, in the *personality* * *activity Crosstabulation* table. Inserting the observed and expected frequencies into the chi-square formula produces a chi-square value of

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{(12 - 22)^{2}}{22} + \frac{(28 - 18)^{2}}{18} + \frac{(43 - 33)^{2}}{33} + \frac{(17 - 27)^{2}}{27}$$
$$\chi^{2} = 16.835$$

which corresponds to the value of the *Pearson Chi-Square* reported in the *Chi-Square Tests* table. The chi-square test has 1 degree of freedom [df = (the number of categories of personality - 1)*(the number of categories of recreational activity - 1)] and a*p*-value (*Asymp. Sig.*) of .000 (read as < .001). Because the*p*-value is less than .05, the null hypothesis is rejected, and it is concluded that a relationship exists between personality type and activity preference.

Since the test is significant, we'll examine the difference between the observed and expected frequencies (the counts) in the *personality* * *activity Crosstabulation* table to determine the nature of the relationship between the two variables. Inspecting the first cell in the table (introverts who chose the amusement park), only 12 chose the amusement park while 22 were expected to, indicating that introverts chose the amusement park less frequently than expected. Moving to the retreat column, 28 introverts chose the retreat while only 18 were expected to, indicating that introverts chose the retreat more frequently than expected. For extroverts, the opposite pattern exists: Extroverts chose the amusement park more often than expected (observed count of 43 vs. an expected count of 33) and the retreat less often than expected (observed count of 17 vs. an expected count of 27). The results are summarized in Figure 16.13.

	Amusement park	Retreat	Conclusion
Introvert	Fewer chose than expected (12 observed, 22 expected)	More chose than expected (28 observed, 18 expected)	Introverts preferred the retreat
Extrovert	More chose than expected (43 observed, 33 expected)	Fewer chose than expected (17 observed, 27 expected)	Extroverts preferred the amusement park

Figure 16.13 Summary of the results for the chi-square test of independence.

In summary, the results indicated that introverts preferred the retreat while extroverts preferred the amusement park.

Symmetric Measures

The Symmetric Measures table will be discussed in the following section on effect sizes.

Effect Sizes

A commonly used measure of effect size for the chi-square test of independence is Cramer's V. The formula for Cramer's V is

$$V = \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2}{N(k-1)}}$$

where χ^2 is the value of the *Pearson Chi-Square* provided in the *Chi-Square Tests* table, N is the total sample size in the study, and k is the number of levels of the variable with the *fewest* categories.

Inserting the appropriate values from Figure 16.12 yields a value of

$$V = \sqrt{\frac{16.835}{100(2-1)}} = .41$$

which agrees with the value provided in the Symmetric Measures table under Cramer's V.

Cohen's (1988) conventions for small, medium, and large effect sizes for Cramer's V are .10, .30, and .50, respectively (these effect size designations apply only to tables where at least one of the variables has *only* two categories, i.e., 2×2 , 2×3 , 2×4 tables, etc.). Therefore, the value of .41 corresponds to a medium effect size, indicating a moderate relationship between the two variables.*

Expression of the Results in APA Format

In writing the results, the conclusion of the hypothesis test, the degrees of freedom, the sample size, the chi-square value, the *p*-value, and the effect size are reported. For significant results, the relationship between the observed and expected frequencies and the percentage of people falling within each category may also be described. An example of a brief write-up in APA format is presented next.

Written Results

There is a significant relationship between personality type and choice of recreational activity, χ^2 (1, N = 100) = 16.84, p < .05, Cramer's V = .41. Given the choice of attending a retreat or going to an amusement park, introverts preferred to attend the retreat (70% of the introverts chose the retreat) while extroverts preferred the amusement park (72% of the extroverts chose the amusement park).

Assumptions of the Chi–Square Test of Independence

1. Observations are independent.

The independence assumption means that the observations in each of the cells are independent of each other. An example of violating this assumption would be if a person was counted in two different cells (e.g., a person was allowed to select *both* recreational activities). Violating this assumption can seriously compromise the

*(*Note*: For 2×2 tables only, Cramer's V is also known as the phi coefficient, which is equal to the Pearson r correlation coefficient between two dichotomous variables.)

accuracy of the chi-square test. If there is reason to believe the independence assumption has been violated, the chi-square test of independence should not be used.

2. The magnitude of the expected cell frequencies. For small tables (tables with four cells) an expected frequency of 5 or more in each cell is recommended. For larger tables, having one or a few cells with expected frequencies less than 5 will most likely not invalidate the results of the chi-square procedure, although the power may suffer if the total sample size is small.

Summary of Steps for Conducting a Chi-Square Test of Independence in SPSS

Instructions for conducting a chi-square test of independence in SPSS are provided below for both the weight cases and individual observations methods of data entry. (As noted previously, the structuring of the data file using the individual observations method is illustrated in Exercise 2 of the end-of-chapter exercises.)

Ia. Data Entry and Analysis—Weight Cases Method

- 1. Create three variables in SPSS (one for each of the categorical variables and one for the frequency variable).
- 2. Create value labels for each of the categorical variables. In the *Value Labels* dialog box, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click *OK*.
- 3. Enter the data. (For the weight cases method of data entry, the number of rows is equal to the multiple of the number of *categories* of the two variables.)
- Weight the cases for the frequency variable by selecting Data > Weight Cases
- 5. Select *Weight cases by* and move the frequency variable to the *Frequency Variable* box. Click *OK*.
- 6. Select Analyze > Descriptive Statistics > Crosstabs
- 7. Move one of the categorical variables to the *Row(s)* box and the other to the *Column(s)* box. (The frequency variable should remain in the left-hand side of the dialog box.)
- 8. Click Statistics. Select Chi-square and Phi and Cramer's V. Click Continue.
- 9. Click *Cells*. Under *Counts* click *Expected* (*Observed* should already be selected) and under *Percentages*, select *Row*. Click *Continue*.
- 10. Click OK.

Ib. Data Entry and Analysis—Individual Observations Method

- 1. Create two variables in SPSS (one for each categorical variable).
- 2. Create value labels for each of the categorical variables. In the *Value Labels* dialog box, enter the numeric values and labels as appropriate. Click *OK*.
- 3. Enter the data. (For the individual observations method of data entry, the number of rows in the data file is equal to the number of *participants* in the study.)
- 4. Select Analyze > Descriptive Statistics > Crosstabs
- 5. Move one of the categorical variables to the *Row(s)* box and the other to the *Column(s)* box.
- 6. Click Statistics. Select Chi-square and Phi and Cramer's V. Click Continue.
- 7. Click *Cells*. Under *Counts* select *Expected* (*Observed* should already be selected) and under *Percentages*, select *Row*. Click *Continue*.
- 8. Click OK.
- II. Interpretation of the Results (the interpretation is the same regardless of which method of data entry is used)

Check the *p*-value (Asymp. Sig.) for Pearson Chi-Square in the Chi-Square Tests table.

- If $p \le .05$, the null hypothesis is rejected. Write the results indicating the nature of the relationship between the two variables.
- If p > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected. Write the results indicating that there is not a relationship between the two variables.

Exercises

1. A researcher investigated whether there was a relationship between the type of feeding received as a baby (classified as breastfed—yes/no) and weight in first grade (classified as overweight—yes/no). The parental report of the type of feeding provided as a baby and the body mass index (BMI) of 300 first graders was ascertained. Based on the BMI scores, the first graders were classified as either being overweight or not. The data are provided in Figure 16.14.

		Overv		
		Yes	No	Total
Breastfed	Yes	16	84	100
	No	56	144	200
	Total	72	228	300

Figure 16.14	Data for the 300	children in the	breastfeeding stud	ly.
--------------	------------------	-----------------	--------------------	-----

Enter the data into SPSS and perform the appropriate analyses to answer the questions below. Name the variables **breastfed**, **overweight**, and **frequency** (be sure to weight the cases prior to analyzing the data). For **breastfed** and **overweight**, code yes a "1" and no a "2."

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is there a significant relationship between the type of feeding received as a baby and weight in first grade? Test using $\alpha = .05$.
- d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- e. Write the results using APA format as appropriate.
- 2. A student wanted to examine whether there was a relationship between gender and movie preference. One hundred and sixty people who entered a video store (95 women and 65 men) were asked whether they would prefer watching an action film or a drama (assuming they had to choose between the two types of movies). The choices of the participants are presented in Figure 16.15.

		Fi		
		Action	Drama	Total
gender	Females	15	80	95
	Males	35	30	65
	Total	50	110	160

Figure 16.15 Gender and movie preference of the 160 study participants.

The data are provided in the file *Chapter 16_Exercise 2.sav* in the Chapter 16 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 and are entered using the indi-vidual observations method. In the file, the variables are named **gender** (with females coded a "1" and males coded a "2") and **film** (with action movies coded a "1" and dramas coded a "2"). Open the file and perform the appropriate analyses in SPSS to answer the questions below (instructions for analyzing the data using the individual observations method are provided in the "summary of steps" section prior to the chapter exercises).

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is there a significant relationship between gender and movie preference? Test using $\alpha = .05$.
- d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- e. Write the results using APA format as appropriate.
- 3. A medical researcher was interested in investigating whether there was a relationship between exercise (yes/no) and experiencing a heart attack (yes/no) among the elderly. Four hundred and five elderly were questioned about their exercise habits and whether or not they had ever experienced a heart attack. The responses of the study participants are presented in Figure 16.16.

		Heart		
		Yes	No	Total
Exercise	Yes	10	140	150
	No	35	220	255
	Total	45	360	405

	Figure 16.16	Data for the 4	405 elderly in	the exercise study
--	--------------	----------------	----------------	--------------------

The data are provided in the file *Chapter 16_Exercise 3.sav* in the Chapter 16 folder online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822 (the variables are named **exercise**, **heartattack**, and **frequency**, with both **exercise** and **heartattack** coded a "1" for yes and a "2" for no). Open the file and perform the appropriate analyses in SPSS to answer the questions below (for this problem, be sure to weight the cases prior to analyzing the data).

- a. State the null and alternative hypotheses.
- b. State a research question for the data.
- c. Is there a significant relationship between exercise and whether or not one has a heart attack? Test using $\alpha = .05$.
- d. What is the effect size? Would you characterize the effect size as small, medium, or large?
- e. Write the results using APA format as appropriate.

APPENDIX

A

Data Transformations and Other Procedures

In the context of data analysis, certain operations often need to be performed on one or more variables prior to analyzing your data. For example, one commonly required operation is to add a number of variables together in SPSS to produce a total score. In this appendix, a number of commonly used procedures in SPSS will be illustrated, including the *Recode*, *Compute*, *Select Cases*, and *Split File* procedures.

To illustrate each of these procedures, the data presented in Figure A.1 will be used (we'll open the data file from your computer shortly). The data consist of values from 10 participants on the following four variables: gender (1 ="male," 2 ="female"), meaning1, meaning2, and meaning3. The last three variables are items from a scale measuring meaning in life.

Participant	gender	meaning1	meaning2	meaning3
1	1	4	2	5
2	1	1	4	2
3	1	5	1	5
4	1	3	3	3
5	1	4	2	4
6	2	4	1	5
7	2	5	2	4
8	2	5	1	5
9	2	1	4	1
10	2	5	1	5

Figure A.1 The sample data. (*Note*: The participant variable is included for illustration but will not be entered into the data file.)

The items on the meaning in life scale are presented in Figure A.2.

The Recode Procedure

For the items on the meaning in life scale shown in Figure A.2, the participants read each statement and choose a response—ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree—that best characterizes their feelings toward the statement. Reading through each of the items, notice that **meaning1** and **meaning3** are written so that a person with a very high degree of meaning in life would most likely answer "strongly agree" to the items (they would circle a "5" for each item), while **meaning2** is written so that this same person would most likely answer "strongly disagree" to the item (they would circle a "1"). **Meaning2** is an example of a *negative* item, where a person high on the characteristic of interest (meaning in life) answers in the *opposite* direction of the scale. Therefore, in this example, a person with a very high degree of meaning in life would most likely answer items **meaning1**, **meaning2**, and **meaning3** with values of 5, 1, and 5, respectively. Typically, when analyzing the responses on

Item	Items on the Strongly Neithe Meaning in Life Disagree Disagree Scale or Agre		Neither Disagree or Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	
meaning1	I feel good about the direction of my life.	1	2	3	4	5
meaning2	My life has little meaning.	1	2	3	4	5
meaning3	In general, I feel like I'm on track in my life.	1	2	3	4	5

Figure A.2 Items on the meaning in life scale. For each item, the response options are 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (neither disagree or agree), 4 (agree) and 5 (strongly agree). Each participant was instructed to choose only one response option for each question.

the scale, a total score is calculated by adding together the responses on the items. In this example, a person with a very high degree of meaning in life would have a total score of 11 (5 + 1 + 5). However, because the scale is ultimately designed so that higher scores on the scale correspond to higher levels of meaning in life, a person with a very high degree of meaning in life *should* have a score of 15 (5 + 5 + 5). (Since **meaning2** is a negative item, however, this isn't the case.) To correct for this, a process called reverse coding is conducted where the responses to the negative item on the scale (**meaning2**) are literally reversed, so that a 1 becomes a 5, a 2 becomes a 4, a 3 remains a 3, a 4 becomes a 2, and a 5 becomes a 1. With **meaning2** reverse coded, a person with a high degree of meaning in life would have a total score of 15 (instead of 11), which is consistent with the intent of the scale. With the rationale for reverse coding provided, let's open the data file and recode the negative item **meaning2** in SPSS.

(*Note*: The following instructions assume that the *SPSS Demystified* files have been downloaded from the web site to your computer. If the files are not on your computer, they may be obtained online at www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/9780205735822.)

To open the file from your computer

1. Locate the *SPSS Demystified* files on your computer. In the *Appendix A* folder, open the file *Appendix A.sav*.

To recode the item meaning2 in SPSS

 From the menu bar, select Transform > Recode into Different Variables... (see Figure A.3). (*Note*: If you are using SPSS version 14.0 or below, the menu commands are Transform > Recode > Into different variables. All other commands are the same.)

	Untit	led1 (I	DataSet	0] - PASW	Statistic	s Data E	ditor			- 🗆 ×
File	Edit	View	Data	Transform	<u>A</u> nalyze	Graphs	Utilities	Add-ons	Window	Help
	3 6			Compu	ite Variable Values witi ∕alues	hin Cases.		8	ible: 4 of 4	Variables
	-		gender	Recod	e into <u>S</u> ame	e Variables	s	[var	
	1		1	Recod	e into Diffe	rent Varial	bles	1		-
	3		1	Autom	atic Recode	e				

Figure A.3 Menu commands for the *Recode* (*Into Different Variables*) procedure.

2.	The Recode into	Different	Variables	dialog b	ox opens	(see Figure	A.4).
----	-----------------	-----------	-----------	----------	----------	-------------	-------

∮ gender ∮ meaninα1	Input <u>V</u> ariable -> Output Variab	le: Output Variable Name:
rmeaning2 meaning3		Label:
	*	Change
	Old and New Values	
	If (optional case selection	condition)

Figure A.4 The Recode into Different Variables dialog box.

Select meaning2 and click the right-arrow button () to move it to the *Input Variable* → *Output Variable* box (the box reads *Numeric Variable* → *Output Variable* once meaning2 is moved into it). Under *Name*, enter the name meaning2_recode (see Figure A.5). This is the name of the new variable that will contain the reverse coded values.

	Numeric Variable -> Output	Variable: Output Variable
≥gender ≥meaning1	meaning2> ?	Name:
> meaning3		[meaning2_recode]
		Label:
	Old and New Values	Change
	Old and New Values	
	If (optional case selec	tion condition)

Figure A.5 The Recode into Different Variables dialog box (continued).

- 4. Click *Change*. You should see *meaning2* → *meaning2_recode* in the *Numeric Variable* → *Output Variable* box.
- 5. Click *Old and New Values*. The *Recode into Different Variables: Old and New Values* dialog box opens. This dialog box will be used to recode the values for **meaning2**, so that a 1 becomes a 5, a 2 becomes a 4, and so on.
- 6. Under *Old Value*, enter a *1* in the *Value* text box. Under *New Value*, enter a 5 in the *Value* text box (see Figure A.6).
- 7. Click the *Add* button. The *Old* \rightarrow *New* box should display $1 \rightarrow 5$ (see Figure A.7).

Old Value	New Value
lo ⊻alue:	Value: 5
1	System-missing
◎ System-missing	Copy old value(s)
System- or user-missing	
© Range:	
through	Add
Repose 1 OMEST through value:	Change
Trange, corvest milougin value.	Remove
Range, value through HIGHEST:	
	Output variables are strings Width: 8
O All other values	Convert numeric strings to numbers ('5'->5)

Figure A.6 The Recode into Different Variables: Old and New Values dialog box.

Old Value	New Value
log ⊻alue:	Vajue:
	System-missing
© System-missing	Copy old value(s)
\bigcirc System- or <u>u</u> ser-missing	
🔘 Range:	Old> New:
	1>5
through	Add
	Change
Range, LOWEST through value:	Remove
Range, value through HIGHEST:	
	Output variables are strings Width: 8
◯ All <u>o</u> ther values	Convert numeric strings to numbers ('5'->5)

Figure A.7 The *Recode into Different Variables: Old and New Values* dialog box (continued).

- 8. Enter the remaining values to be recoded (2→4, 3→3,¹4→2, 5→1), clicking the *Add* button each time an *Old Value* and *New Value* combination has been entered. Once all five pairs of values have been entered, the *Old* → *New* box should contain the recoded values shown in Figure A.8 (page 220).²
- 9. Click Continue.
- 10. Click OK.

In the SPSS *Data View* window, you should see the new variable **meaning2_recode** in the data file containing the reverse coded items of the variable **meaning2** (see Figure A.9 on page 220).

Did Value	New Value
<u>V</u> alue:	Vajue:
	System-missing
	Copy old value(s)
System- or user-missing	
🕽 Range:	UI <u>d</u> > New:
	2> 4
through	Add 3> 3
	Change 4> 2
Range, LOWEST through value:	Remove 5> 1
Range, value through HIGHEST:	
	Output variables are strings Width: 8
All other values	Convert numeric strings to numbers ('5'->5)

Figure A.8 The *Recode into Different Variables: Old and New Values* dialog box (continued).

📰 *Untitle	ed1 [DataSet0] -	PASW Statist	ics Data Edito	٦r		- 🗆 ×				
<u>File</u> <u>E</u> dit	<u>⊻</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	ansform <u>A</u> naly	ze <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add-o	ns <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp					
) 🖻 k					# # 2	- - - 				
Visible: 5 of 5 Variables										
	gender	meaning1	meaning2	meaning3	meaning2_recode	var				
1	1.00	4.00	2.00	5.00	4.00)				
2	1.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.00)				
3	1.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00					
4	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00					
5	1.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00					
6	2.00	4.00	1.00	5.00	5.00					
7	2.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	4.00					
8	2.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00					
9	2.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	2.00	1				
10	2.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00					
	4					N.				
Data View	Variable View									
				PASWS	Statistics Processor is ready					

Figure A.9 The *Data Editor* window with the recoded variable meaning2_recode added to the data file.

The Compute Procedure

As was indicated at the beginning of this appendix, a commonly performed operation in SPSS is to add a number of variables together to create a total score. To add variables together in SPSS, the *Compute* procedure is used.

We'll use the *Compute* procedure to add together the items of the meaning in life scale to produce a total score.

To add variables in SPSS

 From the menu bar, select Transform > Compute Variable (see Figure A.10). (*Note*: If you are using SPSS version 14.0 or earlier, the menu commands are Transform > Compute All other commands are the same.)

🔢 *Untitled1 [DataSet0] - PASW Statistics Data Editor							-							
File	Edit	⊻iew	<u>D</u> ata	Transform	<u>A</u> nalyze	<u>G</u> raphs	Utilities	Add-on	is <u>W</u> i	ndow	Help			
			Compu	ite Variable Values with	in Cases			11				5		
				Shift V	alues						1	√isible: 5	of 5 Va	riables
	gender 1 1.		Record	Recode into Same Variables				m	eaning2	_recode	U	var		
			Recode into Same Variables						4	.00		-		

Figure A.10 Menu commands for the Compute procedure.

2. The Compute Variable dialog box opens (see Figure A.11).

Target Variable:	Numeric Expression: + + - - + - + - + - + + - +	Function group: All Arithmetic CDF & Noncentral CDF Conversion
	* = ~= 1 2 3 / & 1 0 . ** ~ () Delete	Current Date/Time Date Arithmetic Date Creation
(optional case selection o	ndition)	

Figure A.11 The Compute Variable dialog box.

To compute a total score for the meaning in life scale, we'll need to add together the items **meaning1**, **meaning2_recode**, and **meaning3**.³ To create a new variable in SPSS that is equal to the sum of the three variables, we'll first need to provide a name for the new variable in SPSS that will contain the total score. We'll name the variable **meaning_total**.

1. In the *Target Variable* box, enter the name **meaning_total**.

To create a total score, we'll move the appropriate variables to the *Numeric Expression* box and add them together using the plus (+) sign.⁴

Select the first variable, meaning1, and click the right-arrow button () to move it to the *Numeric Expression* box. Click the plus (+) button below the *Numeric Expression* box (or press the *Shift* and + keys on your keyboard). Move meaning2_recode to the *Numeric Expression* box and click the plus (+) button. Finally, move meaning3 to the *Numeric Expression* box. In the *Numeric Expression* box, the statement should read, *meaning1 + meaning2_recode + meaning3* (see Figure A.12).

Target Variable: meaning_total Type & Label	Numeric Expression: meaning1 + meaning2_recode + meaning	g3
meaning1 meaning2 meaning3 meaning2_recode meaning2_recode	+ < > 7 8 9 - <= >= 4 5 6 * = ~= 1 2 3 / 8 1 0 . ** ~ () Delete	Function group: All Arithmetic CDF & Noncentral CDF Conversion Current Date/Time Date Arithmetic Date Creation Functions and Special Variable
(optional case selection c	ndition)	

Figure A.12 The Compute Variable dialog box (continued).

3. Click *OK*. A new variable is created in the *Data View* window named **meaning_total** which is the sum of variables **meaning1**, **meaning2_recode**, and **meaning3** (see Figure A.13).

📰 *Untitle	ed1 [DataSet0] -	PASW Statist	ics Data Edit	Dr			- 🗆 ×
<u>File</u> <u>E</u> dit	⊻iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	ansform <u>A</u> naly	ze <u>G</u> raphs	Utilities Add-or	ns <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp		
					#1 #3 🖾 冒		06
						Visible	6 of 6 Variables
	gender	meaning1	meaning2	meaning3	meaning2_recode	meaning_total	var
1	1.00	4.00	2.00	5.00	4.00	13.00	-
2	1.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	5.00	
3	1.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	15.00	
4	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	9.00	
5	1.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	12.00	
6	2.00	4.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	14.00	
7	2.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	13.00	
8	2.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	15.00	
9	2.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	
10	2.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	15.00	
	4						4
Data View	Variable View						
					PASW Statist	ics Processor is ready	

Figure A.13 The Data Editor window with the variable meaning_total added to the data file.

The Select Cases Procedure

When analyzing data, certain questions of interest may require performing analyses on only *part* of the data file. In this situation, the *Select Cases* procedure may be used.

To illustrate the *Select Cases* procedure, suppose that you wanted to conduct a one-sample t test on *males* to see if their responses to **meaning1** were significantly different from a neutral response on the scale (a neutral response is a value of "3" on the scale; see Chapter 5 for more information on the one-sample t test). This would require selecting *only* males in the data set, a situation where the select cases procedure could be used.

To select only males using the select cases procedure

1. From the menu bar, select **Data** > **Select Cases** \dots (see Figure A.14).

🏢 *Unt	itled1 (Da	taSet0] - PASW Statistics Da	ata Edito	r		- 🗆 ×
<u>File</u> Edit	View	Data Transform Analyze	<u>G</u> raphs <u>l</u>	Utilities Add-or	ns Window Help	
		Copy Data Properties				
		New Custom Attribute				Visible: 6 of 6 Variables
	g	B Define Dates		meaning3	meaning2_recode	meaning_total
1		Define Multiple Response Set	ts	5.00	4.00	13.00 🛋
2		Validation	*	2.00	2.00	5.00
3		Identify Dunlicate Cases		5.00	5.00	15.00
4		Rentify Universe Cases		3.00	3.00	9.00
5		And identity of usual cases		4.00	4.00	12.00
6		Sort Cases	/ Sort Cases		5.00	14.00
7		Sort Variables		4.00	4.00	13.00
8		Transpose		5.00	5.00	15.00
9		Restructure		1.00	2.00	4.00
10		Merge Files		5.00	5.00	15.00
11		🙀 Aggregate				
12		Orthogonal Design	•			
13		🖫 Copy Dataset				
14		E Split File				
15		Elect Cases				
4.0						

Figure A.14 Menu commands for the Select Cases procedure.

- 2. The Select Cases dialog box opens (see Figure A.15 on page 224).
- 3. Under Select, click If condition is satisfied.
- 4. Click the *If* button (located below *If condition is satisfied*). The *Select Cases: If* dialog box opens (see Figure A.16 on page 224).
- 5. Select the variable **gender**, and click the right-arrow button (>>) to move it to the box on the right.

Recall for this example that we want to select *only* males. Since males are coded with a 1, enter " = I" (do not include the quotation marks) to the right of **gender** (see Figure A.17 on page 225). This indicates that only males will be selected by SPSS.

- 6. Click Continue.
- 7. The *Select Cases* dialog box should now read *gender* = *1* to the right of the *If* button in the *Select Cases* dialog box (see Figure A.18 on page 225).
- 8. Click OK.

Notice in the *Data View* window shown in Figure A.19 on page 226 that there are diagonal lines in the row headings of observations 6 through 10, indicating that females will not be included

 gender meaning1 meaning2 meaning3 meaning2_recode 	All cases All cases If condition is satisfied If O Random sample of cases
Meaning_total	Sample O Based on time or case range Range O Use filter variable:
	Output Eiter out unselected cases O Copy selected cases to a new dataset
	Dataset name:

Figure A.15 The Select Cases dialog box.

Select Cases: If	•		Eucition group:
 <i>I</i> meaning3 <i>I</i> meaning2_recode <i>I I</i> meaning_total 		+ < > 7 8 9 - <= >= 4 5 6 * = ~= 1 2 3 / & 0 . * ~ () Delete	All Arithmetic CDF & Noncentral CDF Conversion Current Date/Time Date Arithmetic Date Creation
		Continue Cancel Help	

Figure A.16 The Select Cases: If dialog box.

gender meaning1 meaning2 meaning3 meaning2_recode	gender = 1	Function group:
meaning_total	- «= »= 4 5 6 * = ~= 1 2 3 / & 1 0 . * * () Delete	CDF & Noncentral CDF Conversion Current Date/Time Date Arithmetic Date Creation
	Continue Cancel Help	

Figure A.17 The Select Cases: If dialog box (continued).

gender meaning1 meaning2 meaning3 meaning2_recode meaning_total	 All cases If condition is satisfied If gender = 1 Random sample of cases Sample Based on time or case range Range Use filter variable:
	Output Output Eiter out unselected cases Copy selected cases to a new dataset Dataset name: Dateset name: Dataset name: Dataset na

Figure A.18 The Select Cases dialog box.

					#1 #1 🖬 🖬 📄			5
							Visible:	7 of 7 V
	gender	meaning1	meaning2	meaning3	meaning2_recode	meaning_total	filter_\$	var
1	1.00	4.00	2.00	5.00	4.00	13.00	1	
2	1.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	5.00	1	
3	1.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	15.00	1	
4	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	9.00	1	
5	1.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	12.00	1	
6	2.00	4.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	14.00	0	
7-	2.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	13.00	0	
8	2.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	15.00	0	
8	2.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	0	
10	2.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	15.00	0	
	1							

Figure A.19 The data file with males selected.

in the analysis. Also, a filter variable has been created in the data set indicating which cases are selected (those that receive a "1" are selected while those that receive a "0" are not).

To answer the initial question that prompted the use of the select cases procedure for this example, a one-sample t test was conducted on **meaning1** for males. The results of the one-sample t test are provided in Figure A.20.

T-Test

One-Sample Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
meaning1	5	3.4000	1.51658	.67823

	Test Value = 3									
				Mean	95% Co Interva Diffe	nfidence al of the rence				
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Difference	Lower	Upper				
meaning1	.590	4	.587	.40000	-1.4831	2.2831				

One-Sample Test

Figure A.20 The results of the one-sample *t* test on meaning1 for males.

Notice in the output shown in Figure A.20 that there is no indication that males were selected. The *One-Sample Statistics* table shows an *N* of 5, however, indicating that the test was conducted on only five of the participants in the data file. (As a review of the material covered in Chapter 5, the results indicate that males do not score significantly different from a response of "3" on **meaning1** since the *p*-value of .587 is greater than .05.)⁵

Next we'll illustrate how to use the *Split File* procedure, which is another way to perform analyses on separate parts of a data set in SPSS. Prior to using the *Split File* procedure, however, we'll need to turn off the *Select Cases* procedure so that we have access to the entire data set. If the *Select Cases* procedure is not turned off, all subsequent analyses would be conducted *only* on males.

To turn off the Select Cases procedure

- 1. From the menu bar select **Data > Select Cases**....
- 2. Under *Select*, click the *All Cases* button (see Figure A.21).
- 3. Click OK.

	Select
gender meaning1 meaning2 meaning3 meaning2_recode meaning_total gender = 1 (FILTER) [fil	All cases If condition is satisfied If gender = 1 Random sample of cases Sample Based on time or case range Range Use filter variable: Output
	Eiter out unselected cases Copy selected cases to a new dataset Dataset name: O Delete unselected cases
urrent Status: Filter cases by	values of filter_\$

Figure A.21 The Select Cases dialog box.

In the *Data View* window, all of the cases should now be selected (there should no longer be a line through observations 6–10). While the filter variable still remains in the data file, it is no longer enforced. It may be deleted (if desired) by clicking on the **filter_\$** column heading in the *Data View* window and pressing the *Delete* key on the keyboard.

The Split File Procedure

Suppose that instead of conducting a one-sample *t* test for males only, you wanted to conduct separate one-sample *t* tests on **meaning1** for males and females. While the *Select Cases* procedure could be used in this situation, it would require performing the analysis twice (once for males and once for females). A more efficient approach would be to use the *Split File* procedure, which automatically performs separate analyses for each group of a variable of interest. The *Split File* procedure is illustrated next.

To perform the Split File procedure

- 1. From the menu bar select **Data > Split File** ... (see Figure A.22 on page 228).
- 2. The Split File dialog box opens (see Figure A.23 on page 228).

There are two different methods for splitting the file: *Compare groups* and *Organize output* by groups. *Compare groups* reports the results for the groups in the same table, while *Organize output* by groups reports the results for the groups in separate tables. We'll use the *Compare groups* method.

- 3. Select Compare groups.
- 4. Select **gender**. Click the right-arrow button (≥) to move **gender** to the *Groups Based on* box (see Figure A.24 on page 229).
- 5. Click OK.

🏢 *Untitled1 [DataSet0] - PASW Statistics Data Editor							
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit ⊻iew	Data Transform Analyze Graphs	Utilities Add-o	ns <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp				
	Ø Define ⊻ariable Properties If <u>C</u> opy Data Properties		# # # #		00		
J	New Custom Attribute			Visit	ble: 6 of 6 Variables		
g	B Define Dates	meaning3	meaning2_recode	meaning_total	var		
1	Define Multiple Response Sets	5.00	4.00	13.00			
2	Validation	2.00	2.00	5.00			
3	ldentify Duplicate Cases	5.00	5.00	15.00			
4		3.00	3.00	9.00			
5		4.00	4.00	12.00			
6	Son Cases	5.00	5.00	14.00			
7	Sort Variables	4.00	4.00	13.00			
8	Transpose	5.00	5.00	15.00			
9	Restructure	1.00	2.00	4.00	416		
10	Merge Files	5.00	5.00	15.00			
11	Aggregate						
12	Orthogonal Design						
13	🖫 Copy Dataset						
14	Split File						
15	Select Cases						

Figure A.22 Menu commands for the Split File procedure.

gender	Analyze all cases, do not create groups
meaning1	© Compare groups
meaning2	Organize output by groups
meaning2 recode	Groups Based on:
🖉 meaning_total	•
	Sort the file by grouping variables
	File is already sorted
urrent Status: Analysis by	groups is off.

Figure A.23 The Split File dialog box.

After clicking *OK* you should see "*Split File On*" displayed in the bottom right-hand corner of the *Data Editor* window.

The results of the separate one-sample t tests for males and females evaluating whether the responses on **meaning1** are significantly different from a "3" are reported in Figure A.25. (*Note*: See Chapter 5 for more details on how to run the one sample t test.)

Using the *Compare groups* option, notice that the output shows the results for males and females together in the *same* tables, with the results for males presented first, followed by the results for females. Alternatively, if the *Organize output by groups* option was selected, the results for males and females would be presented in *separate* tables. (As a review again of the material

 meaning1 meaning2 meaning3 meaning2_recode meaning_total 	 Analyze all cases, do not create groups Compare groups Organize output by groups Groups Based on: Gender
urrent Status: Analysis by g OK Pa	 Sort the file by grouping variables File is already sorted groups is off. ste Reset Cancel Help

Figure A.24 The Split File dialog box (continued).

T-Test

One-Sample Statistics

gender		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
male	meaning1	5	3.4000	1.51658	.67823
female	meaning1	5	4.0000	1.73205	.77460

One-Sam	ple	Test

				Test V	alue = 3		
					Mean	95% Co Interva Diffe	onfidence al of the rence
gender		t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Difference	Lower	Upper
male	meaning1	.590	4	.587	.40000	-1.4831	2.2831
female	meaning1	1.291	4	.266	1.00000	-1.1506	3.1506

Figure A.25 The results of the one-sample t test on meaning1 for males and females.

covered in Chapter 5, is the average response on **meaning1** for either males or females significantly different from a "3"? It's not, since the *p*-values of .587 and .266 are both greater than .05.)

Turning off the Split File Procedure

As was the case with the *Select Cases* procedure discussed earlier, if you wanted to perform subsequent analyses on the *entire* sample, the *Split File* procedure would need to be turned off first. To turn off the *Split File* procedure, in the *Split File* dialog box select *Analyze all cases, do not create groups* and click *OK* (see Figure A.26 on page 230). (Alternatively, if SPSS is closed after using the *Split File* or *Select Cases* procedure, the option will be turned off when SPSS is started again by default.)

 meaning1 meaning2 meaning3 meaning2_recode meaning_total 	 <u>Analyze all cases, do not create groups</u> <u>Compare groups</u> <u>Organize output by groups</u> <u>Groups Based on:</u> <u>Frederication</u>
urrent Status: Analysis by	Sort the file by grouping variables Sort the file by grouping variables File is already sorted groups is off.

Figure A.26 Turning off the *Split File* procedure.

This concludes the discussion of the Recode, Compute, Select Cases, and Split File procedures.

Importing Files into SPSS

In this appendix, how to import Microsoft Excel files into SPSS is illustrated. To demonstrate this procedure, an Excel file was created using the data from Appendix A. The Excel file is presented in Figure B.1.

	А	В	С	D
1	gender	meaning1	meaning2	meaning3
2	1	4	4 2	
3	1	1 4		2
4	1	5	1	5
5	1	3	3	3
6	1	4	2	4
7	2	4	1	5
8	2	5	2	4
9	2	5	1	5
10	2	1	4	1
11	2	5	1	5

Figure B.1 The Excel file Excel File_Appendix B.xls.

To import the Excel file into SPSS, we'll use the *SPSS Database Wizard*, which provides assistance with importing files into SPSS.¹

To access the Database Wizard

1. From the menu bar select File > Open Database > New Query... (see Figure B.2).

📰 *Untitled1 [Datas	Set0] - PASW St	atistics	; Data	Editor	_ [
<u>File Edit V</u> ew <u>D</u> ata]	<u>T</u> ransform <u>A</u> nalyze	Graphs	Utilities	Add-ons	Window	Help
New		×.	1			j.
Open		•	- Here			
Open Database		•		ew Query.		ables
🕼 Rea <u>d</u> Text Data			Ec	lit Query		
Close	Ctrl+F4		E Ru	in Query		4
Save	Ctrl+S	ľ				1

Figure B.2 Menu commands for importing an Excel file into SPSS.

APPENDIX

2. The Database Wizard dialog box opens (see Figure B.3).

📰 Database Wizard		×
Welcome to the Database Wizard! This wizard will help you get data from a non-PASW Statistics You can choose the data source, specify which cases are ref specify variable names and properties here. Some features are	data source. trieved, aggregate and sort the data before retrieval, and e available only when connected to PASW Statistics Server.	
From which data source would you like to retrieve data?	ODBC Data Sources Control of the second sec	
< Back Next > Fin	ish Cancel Help	

Figure B.3 The Database Wizard dialog box.

Three different types of files are listed in the *Database Wizard* dialog box, including *dBASE Files*, *Excel Files*, and *MS Access Database* files. Since we want to import an Excel file, we'll select *Excel Files*.

- 3. Select Excel Files from the Database Wizard dialog box.
- 4. Click Next. The ODBC Driver Login dialog box opens (see Figure B.4).

III ODBC Driv	ver Login	×
Data Source: Database:	Excel Files OK Cancel	Browse

Figure B.4 The ODBC Driver Login dialog box.

Next we'll open the Excel file from your computer.

- 5. Click the *Browse* button (see Figure B.4) and select the location of the *SPSS Demystified* files on your computer. (If the files have not been downloaded to your computer, they may be obtained on the web at www.routledgetextbooks.com/ textbooks/9780205735822.)
- 6. Open the *Appendix B* folder and select the file *Excel File_Appendix B.xls*. Click *Open*.

7. The file should appear in the *Database* textbox of the *ODBC Driver Login* window (see Figure B.5). (*Note*: While the file is located on drive F: in Figure B.5, it may be in a different location on your computer.)

ODBC Driv	ver Login	×
Data Source:	Excel Files	
Database:	F:VAppendix BVExcel File_Appendix B.xls	Browse
	OK	

Figure B.5 The ODBC Driver Login dialog box (continued).

- 8. Click OK.
- 9. The Database Wizard: Select Data dialog box opens (see Figure B.6).

- Available Tables: ■ 🖶 Sheet1\$		R <u>e</u> trieve Fields	in this Order:	
ia-₽n Sheet2\$ ia-₽n Sheet3\$	ſ			*
		*		+

Figure B.6 The Database Wizard: Select Data dialog box.

Three different sheets (*Sheet1*\$, *Sheet2*\$, and *Sheet3*\$) are presented in the *Available Tables* box, which correspond to the three worksheets created (by default) for an Excel file. Our data are located in the first worksheet, *Sheet1*\$. (To verify this, if you click on the + button to the left of *Sheet1*\$, you should see the variables **gender**, **meaning1**, **meaning2**, and **meaning3** displayed.) The instructions in the *Database Wizard* dialog box indicate to drag the items of interest from the *Available Tables* box to the *Retrieve Fields in This Order* box. Since our data are in *Sheet1*\$, we'll drag *Sheet1*\$ to the *Retrieve Fields in This Order* box.

10. Select *Sheet1*\$ and drag it to the *Retrieve Fields in This Order* box (see Figure B.7 on page 234).

uvailable Tables: ■ Point Sheet1\$ ■ Point Sheet2\$ ■ Point Sheet3\$	•	Retrieve Fields in this Order: 문 Sheet1\$: gender 문 Sheet1\$: meaning1 문 Sheet1\$: meaning2 문 Sheet1\$: meaning3	¢

Figure B.7 The Database Wizard: Select Data dialog box (continued).

The *Retrieve Fields in This Order* box displays the four variables in the data file, **gender**, **meaning1**, **meaning2**, and **meaning3**, confirming that the correct file has been selected.

11. Click *Finish*. The data file opens in SPSS with the participants' scores in rows 1 through 10 of the *Data View* window and the variables in the first four columns (see Figure B.8).

📰 *Untitle	d1 [DataSet0]	- PASW Statist	ics Data Edito)r	- 🗆 ×	
<u>File E</u> dit	<u>∨</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ra	nsform <u>A</u> nalyze	e <u>G</u> raphs <u>U</u> t	ilities Add- <u>o</u> ns	<u>Window</u> <u>H</u> elp	
					#1 🚟	
	Visible: 4 of 4 Variable					
	gender	meaning1	meaning2	meaning3	var	
1	1.00	4.00	2.00	5.00	4	
2	1.00	1.00	4.00	2.00		
3	1.00	5.00	1.00	5.00		
4	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00		
5	1.00	4.00	2.00	4.00		
6	2.00	4.00	1.00	5.00		
7	2.00	5.00	2.00	4.00		
8	2.00	5.00	1.00	5.00		
9	2.00	1.00	4.00	1.00		
10	2.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	*	
	1				M	
Data View	Variable View					
		PAS	/V Statistics Proc	essor is ready		

Figure B.8 The Excel file imported into SPSS.

This concludes the illustration of importing Excel files into SPSS. If you have data saved in *MS Access*, you may open it in SPSS using the *New Query* procedure. If you have data saved in a format where the values are separated by commas, spaces, or tabs, the file will need to be opened using an alternative method in SPSS. To open these types of files, select **File > Read Text Data**... from the menu bar and follow the on-screen instructions.

APPENDIX

C

Solutions to Chapter Exercises

Chapter 1—Introduction to SPSS

1. c.

		000011	occooling ou	in in incar y				
		Cases						
	Inclu	uded	Excl	uded	То	otal		
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
age	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%		
gender	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%		
wellbeing	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%		
activities	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%		

Case Processing Summary^a

^{a.} Limited to first 100 cases.

Case Summaries ^a						
	age	gender	wellbeing	activities		
1	86.00	male	4.00	2.00		
2	72.00	female	7.00	6.00		
3	59.00	female	6.00	5.00		
4	86.00	female	8.00	7.00		
5	92.00	female	4.00	1.00		
6	68.00	male	2.00	3.00		
7	73.00	male	8.00	5.00		
Total N	7	7	7	7		

^{a.} Limited to first 100 cases.

d. The value labels (male/female) are output in the *Case Summaries* table. When value labels are created, they are printed in the results by default instead of the numeric values (1, 2) originally entered into SPSS. This is beneficial as it makes reading the results easier and avoids confusing males and females (in the event that it was forgotten which group was assigned a 1 and which group was assigned a 2).

2. b.

Case Processing Summary^a Cases Included Excluded Total Ν Percent Ν Percent Ν Percent 100.0% therapy 12 0 .0% 12 100.0% gender 12 100.0% 0 .0% 100.0% 12 12 100.0% 0 100.0% selfesteem .0% 12 100.0% 0 angermanage 12 .0% 12 100.0%

^{a.} Limited to first 100 cases.

Case Summanes					
		therapy	gender	selfesteem	angermanage
1		nondirective	male	25.00	12.00
2		nondirective	male	30.00	15.00
3		nondirective	male	34.00	13.00
4		nondirective	female	28.00	19.00
5		nondirective	female	39.00	21.00
6		nondirective	female	42.00	15.00
7		directive	male	37.00	9.00
8		directive	male	29.00	19.00
9		directive	male	26.00	22.00
10		directive	female	38.00	17.00
11		directive	female	43.00	11.00
12		directive	female	26.00	21.00
Total	Ν	12	12	12	12

Case Summaries^a

^{a.} Limited to first 100 cases.

3. b.

Case	Summ	naries ^a

	gender	number classes	hoursworked
1	male	3.00	20.00
2	male	5.00	10.00
3	female	5.00	20.00
4	female	4.00	14.00
5	female	6.00	6.00
6	male	2.00	.00
7	female	1.00	40.00
8	female	3.00	20.00
9	male	5.00	15.00
10	female	6.00	25.00
Total N	10	10	10

^{a.} Limited to first 100 cases.
Chapter 2—Descriptive Statistics

- 1. a. For gender there are four boys and four girls. For method there are four toe kickers and four heel kickers.
 - b.

	Statistics		
	experience	distance	accuracy
Mean	4.6250	38.8750	5.7500
Std. Deviation	2.61520	7.10005	1.83225

c.

		Report		
gender		experience	distance	accuracy
boys	Mean	5.5000	42.5000	6.0000
	Std. Deviation	2.88675	6.45497	2.16025
girls	Mean	3.7500	35.2500	5.5000
	Std. Deviation	2.36291	6.39661	1.73205

Boys have a higher mean on all three variables. Boys also have a larger standard deviation on all three variables.

d.

Report					
method		experience	distance	accuracy	
toe kick	Mean Std. Deviation	5.0000 2.16025	44.0000 4.69042	4.5000 1.29099	
heel kick	Mean Std. Deviation	4.2500 3.30404	33.7500 5.05800	7.0000 1.41421	

Toe kickers have more experience (5.00) and distance (44.00) in their kicks, while heel kickers have greater accuracy (7.00). Heel kickers have a larger standard deviation on all three variables.

e.

	Report				
gender	method		experience	distance	accuracy
boys	toe kick	Mean Std. Deviation	5.5000 .70711	47.5000 3.53553	4.5000 .70711
	heel kick	Mean Std. Deviation	5.5000 4.94975	37.5000 3.53553	7.5000 2.12132
girls	toe kick	Mean Std. Deviation	4.5000 3.53553	40.5000 2.12132	4.5000 2.12132
	heel kick	Mean Std. Deviation	3.0000 1.41421	30.0000 2.82843	6.5000 .70711

Girls using the heel kicking method had the lowest mean experience (3.00), while both boys and girls who used the toe kicking method tied for the lowest mean accuracy score (4.50). Boys using the toe kicking method had the highest mean kicking distance of all four conditions (47.50).

2. a. For location, there are 10 people from each region (West Coast, Midwest, and East Coast). There are 15 males and 15 females in the data set.

b.

Statistics				
taste clarity				
Mean	6.8667	8.1333		
Std. Deviation	1.45586	1.16658		

c.

Report				
location		taste	clarity	
West Coast	Mean	6.9000	7.8000	
	Std. Deviation	1.28668	1.03280	
Midwest	Mean	7.8000	8.7000	
	Std. Deviation	.91894	1.15950	
East Coast	Mean	5.9000	7.9000	
	Std. Deviation	1.52388	1.19722	

The Midwest has the highest mean on both taste (7.80) and clarity (8.70). The East Coast has the largest standard deviation on both taste (1.52) and clarity (1.20).

d.

Report				
gender		taste	clarity	
male	Mean	6.6667	8.0667	
	Std. Deviation	1.58865	1.27988	
female	Mean	7.0667	8.2000	
	Std. Deviation	1.33452	1.08233	

Females have the highest mean ratings on taste (7.07) and clarity (8.20). Males have the largest standard deviation on both taste (1.59) and clarity (1.28).

e.

gender location taste clarity male West Coast Mean 6.6000 8.0000 Std. Deviation 1.14018 1.22474 Midwest Mean 8.0000 8.8000 Std. Deviation 7.0711 1.30384 East Coast Mean 5.4000 7.4000 Std. Deviation 1.67332 1.14018 female West Coast Mean 7.2000 7.6000 Std. Deviation 1.48324 .89443 .89443 Midwest Mean 7.6000 8.6000 Std. Deviation 1.14018 1.14018 East Coast Mean 7.6000 8.6000 Std. Deviation 1.44018 1.14018	Report				
male West Coast Mean Std. Deviation 6.6000 1.14018 8.0000 1.22474 Midwest Mean Std. Deviation 8.0000 7.0711 8.8000 1.30384 East Coast Mean Std. Deviation 5.4000 1.67332 7.4000 1.14018 female West Coast Mean Std. Deviation 7.2000 1.48324 7.6000 8.6000 Std. Deviation Midwest Mean Std. Deviation 7.6000 1.14018 8.6000 1.14018 East Coast Mean Std. Deviation 7.6000 1.14018 8.4000 1.14018	gender	location		taste	clarity
Midwest Mean Std. Deviation 8.0000 .70711 8.8000 1.30384 East Coast Mean Std. Deviation 5.4000 7.4000 female West Coast Mean Std. Deviation 7.2000 7.6000 Midwest Mean Std. Deviation 7.6000 8.6000 Midwest Mean Std. Deviation 7.6000 8.6000 East Coast Mean Std. Deviation 7.6000 8.4000 Coast Mean Std. Deviation 1.14018 1.14018	male	West Coast	Mean Std. Deviation	6.6000 1.14018	8.0000 1.22474
East Coast Mean Std. Deviation 5.4000 1.67332 7.4000 1.14018 female West Coast Mean Std. Deviation 7.2000 1.48324 7.6000 8.89443 Midwest Mean Std. Deviation 7.6000 1.14018 8.6000 1.14018 East Coast Mean Std. Deviation 6.4000 1.24018 8.4000		Midwest	Mean Std. Deviation	8.0000 .70711	8.8000 1.30384
female West Coast Mean Std. Deviation 7.2000 7.6000 Midwest Mean Std. Deviation 7.6000 8.6000 1.14018 1.14018 1.14018 East Coast Mean Otd Deviation 6.4000 8.4000		East Coast	Mean Std. Deviation	5.4000 1.67332	7.4000 1.14018
Midwest Mean 7.6000 8.6000 Std. Deviation 1.14018 1.14018 East Coast Mean 6.4000 8.4000 Otd Deviation 1.24164 1.14018	female	West Coast	Mean Std. Deviation	7.2000 1.48324	7.6000 .89443
East Coast Mean 6.4000 8.4000		Midwest	Mean Std. Deviation	7.6000 1.14018	8.6000 1.14018
Std. Deviation 1.34164 1.14018		East Coast	Mean Std. Deviation	6.4000 1.34164	8.4000 1.14018

Males on the East Coast have the lowest mean ratings on both taste (5.40) and clarity (7.40). Males in the Midwest have the highest mean ratings on both taste (8.00) and clarity (8.80).

3. a. There are 15 males and 15 females. There are 10 people in each SES group (10 low, 10 middle, and 10 high).

b.

Statistics				
	hourstv	readingscores		
Mean	3.1500	28.2667		
Std. Deviation	1.37922	12.34262		

c.

Report				
gender		hourstv	readingscores	
male	Mean	3.3367	25.9333	
	Std. Deviation	1.52976	11.90718	
female	Mean	2.9633	30.6000	
	Std. Deviation	1.23512	12.73241	

Males have a higher mean on hoursty (3.34) and females have a higher mean on readingscores (30.60). Males have the largest standard deviation on hoursty (1.53), while females have the largest standard deviation on read-ingscores (12.73).

d.

		Repo	ort	
gender	ses		hourstv	readingscores
male	low	Mean Std. Deviation	3.3400 1.47792	18.0000 9.87421
	middle	Mean Std. Deviation	3.9000 1.03983	23.8000 6.30079
	high	Mean Std. Deviation	2.7700 2.03150	36.0000 12.16553
female	low	Mean Std. Deviation	3.3400 1.47792	18.0000 9.87421
	middle	Mean Std. Deviation	3.6000 .51841	36.4000 11.32696
	high	Mean Std. Deviation	1.9500 .95851	37.4000 6.58027

Middle SES males watch the most TV (3.90). High SES females have the highest mean reading scores (37.40).

Chapter 3—Graphical Procedures

1. a.



There are three males and seven females.



The mean number of hours studied was 3.05.



d.



There are no outliers in the boxplot.

c.





There are three people who have allergies and seven people who do not have allergies.

b.



The mean number of days missed per year was 11.20.

c.



Observation number 6 is an outlier with 15 visits made to the doctor last year.



3. a.





c.



b.



There are no outliers in the boxplot.

Chapter 4—Reliability

- 1. a. Coefficient alpha = .72
 - b. The reliability of the scale is fair.
 - c. Coefficient alpha for a seven-item measure of resident satisfaction was calculated based on the responses of 20 nursing home residents. The reliability of the scale was fair, with a coefficient alpha of .72. The mean score on the total scale was 25.75 with a standard deviation of 7.18.
- 2. a. Coefficient alpha = .95
 - b. The reliability of the scale is excellent.
 - c. Coefficient alpha for a 10-item measure of resilience was calculated based on the responses of 30 participants. The scale possessed a very high degree of internal consistency reliability, with a coefficient alpha of .95. The mean score on the total scale was 50.37 with a standard deviation of 13.59.
- 3. The estimate of coefficient alpha is low because it was calculated on the *combined* scales. Because the two scales are measuring different characteristics, coefficient alpha should be calculated *separately* for each scale. Recall that coefficient alpha measures the internal consistency of a set of items. When two scales that are measuring different constructs are combined, the internal consistency will be reduced, since self-confidence and manual dexterity do not measure the same thing.

d.

Chapter 5—One-Sample t Test

- 1. a. $H_0: \mu = 50$
 - $H_1: \mu \neq 50$
 - b. "Do the prognosticators pick winners in football games different from chance levels (50%)?"
 - c. No. The prognosticators did not pick winners at different than chance levels (p = .085).
 - d. Effect size = (48.0667 50)/4.04381 = -0.48 (or 0.48). Using Cohen's standards, the effect size is small (although it is close to a medium effect).
 - e. The prognosticators do not pick winning football teams at a rate different from chance levels (M = 48.07%, SD = 4.04%), t(14) = -1.85, p > .05, d = 0.48.

2. a. $H_0: \mu = 50$

 $H_1: \mu \neq 50$

- b. "Are the math scores for the fourth graders at the local school district different from the national average (of 50)?"
- c. Yes. The students perform significantly better (higher) on the math exam than the national average (p = .018).
- d. Effect size = (55.44 50)/10.73577 = 0.51. Using Cohen's standards, this represents a medium effect, with the students from the local school district scoring approximately one-half of a standard deviation higher on the exam than the national average.
- e. The students using the new program at the local school district scored significantly higher (M = 55.44, SD = 10.74) on the standardized math exam than the national average of 50, t(24) = 2.53, p < .05, d = 0.51.
- 3. a. $H_0: \mu = 3$ $H_1: \mu \neq 3$
 - b. "Are the students either in favor of or opposed to the candidate?"
 - c. No. The students were neither in favor of nor opposed to the candidate (p = .290).
 - d. Effect size = (3.16 3)/1.05676 = 0.15. Using Cohen's standards, the effect size is very small.
 - e. The students were neither significantly in favor of nor opposed to the candidate, (M = 3.16, SD = 1.06), t(49) = 1.07, p > .05, d = 0.15.

Chapter 6—Independent-Samples t Test

- 1. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{minimally invasive}} = \mu_{\text{traditional}}$ $H_1: \mu_{\text{minimally invasive}} \neq \mu_{\text{traditional}}$
 - b. "Is there a difference in reported pain between minimally invasive and traditional surgical procedures?"
 - c. The variances are not significantly different, Levene's F = .005, p > .05.
 - d. Yes (p = .001).
 - e. $d = -3.58\sqrt{\frac{15+15}{15*15}} = -1.31$ or 1.31. The effect is very large, with MIS patients reporting pain levels that were 1.31 standard deviations lower on average than patients who had traditional surgery.
 - f. There is a significant difference in the reported pain levels between minimally invasive and traditional surgical procedures, t(28) = -3.58, p < .05, d = 1.31. Those who had minimally invasive surgery (M = 4.73, SD = 1.67) reported significantly less postoperative
 - pain than those who had traditional surgery (M = 6.87, SD = 1.60).
- 2. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{systematic desensitization}} = \mu_{\text{implosion}}$ $H_1: \mu_{\text{systematic desensitization}} \neq \mu_{\text{implosion}}$

- b. "Is there a difference in the level of snake fear between those who received systematic desensitization and those who received implosion therapy?"
- c. The variances are not significantly different, Levene's F = 2.29, p > .05.
- d. Yes (p = .010).
- e. $d = -2.86\sqrt{\frac{10+10}{10*10}} = -1.28$ or 1.28. This is a very large effect size, with fear levels 1.28 standard deviations lower for those who had systematic desensitization.
- f. There is a significant difference in the level of reported snake fear between systematic desensitization and implosion therapeutic techniques, t(18) = -2.86, p < .05, d = 1.28. Those who received systematic desensitization (M = 47.10, SD = 6.82) reported significantly less fear of snakes than those who received implosion therapy (M = 59.30, SD = 11.64).
- 3. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{home with a pet}} = \mu_{\text{home without a pet}}$ $H_1: \mu_{\text{home with a pet}} \neq \mu_{\text{home without a pet}}$
 - b. "Is there a difference in the resident satisfaction between nursing homes with a pet and those without a pet?"
 - c. The variances are not significantly different, Levene's F = .241, p > .05.
 - d. No (p = .284).
 - e. $d = -1.08\sqrt{\frac{30+30}{30*30}} = -0.28$ or 0.28. This is a small effect.
 - f. There is a not significant difference in the satisfaction levels between nursing home residents who have access to a pet and those who do not, t(58) = -1.08, p > .05, d = 0.28.

Chapter 7—Dependent-Samples t Test

- 1. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{before film}} \mu_{\text{after film}} = 0$ $H_1: \mu_{\text{before film}} - \mu_{\text{after film}} \neq 0$
 - b. "Is there a difference in attitudes toward the political candidate after viewing the campaign film as compared to before?"
 - c. Yes (p = .001).
 - d. d = (-6/5.73212) = -1.05 or 1.05. The effect size is 1.05, indicating that attitudes were over one standard deviation higher after viewing the campaign film as compared to before. This is a large effect.
 - e. The attitudes toward the political candidate were significantly more favorable after viewing the campaign film (M = 60.13, SD = 17.53) as compared to before (M = 54.13, SD = 15.68), t(14) = -4.05, p < .05, d = 1.05.
- 2. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{stress before relaxation}} \mu_{\text{stress after relaxation}} = 0$ $H_1: \mu_{\text{stress before relaxation}} - \mu_{\text{stress after relaxation}} \neq 0$
 - b. "Is there a difference in the stress levels of air traffic controllers before using the relaxation exercises as compared to after?"
 - c. Yes (p = .047).
 - d. d = (1.4/3.69156) = 0.38 The effect size is 0.38, indicating that stress levels were .38 standard deviations lower after using the relaxation exercise as compared to before. This is a small effect.
 - e. The stress levels of the air traffic controllers were significantly lower after using the relaxation exercise (M = 34.83, SD = 8.78) as compared to before, (M = 36.23, SD = 9.76), t(29) = 2.08, p < .05, d = 0.38.
- 3. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{before training}} \mu_{\text{after training}} = 0$ $H_1: \mu_{\text{before training}} - \mu_{\text{after training}} \neq 0$
 - b. "Is there a difference in accuracy of tennis shots before receiving training from a sports psychologist as compared to after?"
 - c. Yes (p = .002).

- d. d = (-4.2/4.21223) = -1.00 or 1.00. The effect size is 1.00, indicating that the shots were more accurate by the amount of one standard deviation after receiving the eight weeks of training. This is a large effect.
- e. The accuracy scores of the collegiate tennis players were significantly higher after receiving training from the sports psychologist (M = 89.27, SD = 5.78) as compared to before (M = 85.07, SD = 6.46), t(14) = -3.86, p < .05, d = 1.00.

Chapter 8—One-Way ANOVA

1. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{Drug }A} = \mu_{\text{Drug }B} = \mu_{\text{Placebo}}$

 H_1 : At least one of the means is different from the others.

- b. "Does the level of pain experienced by people with migraine headaches depend on the type of drug used?"
- c. The variances are not significantly different, F(2, 18) = 1.27, p > .05.
- d. Yes (p = .002).

e.
$$\eta^2 = \frac{46.205}{93.057} = .50$$
. This is a large effect.

- f. A and B are less than the placebo. There is not a significant difference between A and B.
- g. The pain levels of migraine sufferers differed by the type of drug used, $F(2, 18) = 8.88, p < .05, \eta^2 = .50$. Tukey's post hoc procedure revealed that those who took drug A (M = 4.64, SD = 1.61) and those who took drug B (M = 4.60, SD = 1.87) had significantly lower reported pain than those who took the placebo (M = 7.76, SD = 1.30). There was not a significant difference in the pain levels between drug A and drug B.
- 2. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{motorcycle}} = \mu_{\text{hybrid car}} = \mu_{\text{nonhybrid car}}$ $H_1: \text{ At least one of the means is different from the others.}$
 - b. "Does the level of frustration over gas prices differ for the owners of different types of vehicles?"
 - c. The variances are not significantly different, F(2, 27) = .05, p > .05.
 - d. Yes (p < .001).
 - e. $\eta^2 = \frac{101.267}{169.367} = .60$. This is a large effect.
 - f. Hybrid owners are less frustrated than motorcycle and nonhybrid car owners. Motorcycle owners are less frustrated than nonhybrid car owners.
 - g. The frustration levels differed for the different vehicle owners, $F(2, 27) = 20.08, p < .05, \eta^2 = .60$. Tukey's post hoc procedure revealed that hybrid vehicle owners (M = 3.50, SD = 1.43) and motorcycle owners (M = 5.80, SD = 1.69) reported significantly less frustration than nonhybrid vehicle owners (M = 8.00, SD = 1.63). Hybrid owners also reported significantly less frustration than motorcycle owners.
- 3. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{Company A}} = \mu_{\text{Company B}} = \mu_{\text{Company C}}$ $H_1: \text{ At least one of the means is different from the others.}$
 - b. "Does the response time to alarm calls differ by alarm company?"
 - c. The variances are not significantly different, F(2, 42) = .001, p > .05.
 - d. No (p = .974).
 - e. $\eta^2 = \frac{132.844}{104933.2} = .001$. The effect size is (essentially) zero.
 - f. The ANOVA was not significant. Tukey's test is not required.
 - g. The response time to alarm calls was not significantly different for the different alarm companies, F(2, 42) = .03, p > .05, $\eta^2 = .001$.

Chapter 9—Two-Way ANOVA

- a. H₀: μ_{males} = μ_{females}; H₁: μ_{males} ≠ μ_{females}; H₀: μ_{cell phone} = μ_{no cell phone}; H₁: μ_{cell phone} ≠ μ_{no cell phone} H₀: There is not a gender × cell phone interaction; H₁: There is a gender × cell phone interaction.
 - b. "Is there a difference in driving performance between males and females?"
 "Is there a difference in driving performance between those who spoke on a cell phone while driving and those who did not?"
 "Is there an interaction between gender and cell phone usage?"
 - c. There is not a significant difference in the cell variances, F(3, 20) = 2.47, p > .05.
 - d. Gender is not significant (p = .584); cell phone is significant
 - (p < .001); gender × cell phone is not significant (p = .258).

e.

Test	Partial eta–square
Gender	.02
Cell phone	.76
Gender $ imes$ cell phone	.06

Cell phone has the largest effect.

- f. A 2 × 2 between-subjects ANOVA was conducted with driving performance as the dependent variable and gender and cell phone usage as the independent variables. There was a significant cell phone effect, F(1, 20) = 61.63, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .76$, with those speaking on a cell phone having significantly poorer driving performance (M = 31.58, SD = 4.42) than those who did not speak on a cell phone (M = 44.50, SD = 3.53). There was not a significant gender effect, F(1, 20) = .31, p > .05, partial $\eta^2 = .02$, nor was there a significant interaction effect, F(1, 20) = 1.36, p > .05, partial $\eta^2 = .06$.
- 2. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{method A}} = \mu_{\text{method B}}; H_1: \mu_{\text{method A}} \neq \mu_{\text{method B}};$ $H_0: \mu_{\text{natural science}} = \mu_{\text{social science}}; H_1: \mu_{\text{natural science}} = \mu_{\text{social science}}$ $H_0:$ There is not a method \times major interaction; $H_1:$ There is a method \times major interaction.
 - b. "Is there a difference in math anxiety between the different teaching methods?"
 "Do natural salarse majors and sacial salarse majors differ in math as

"Do natural science majors and social science majors differ in math anxiety?" "Is there an interaction between teaching method and college major?"

- c. There is not a significant difference in the cell variances, F(3, 36) = 2.26, p > .05.
- d. Method (p = .002), major (p = .001), and the method \times major interaction (p = .008) are all significant.
- e.

Test	Partial eta-square		
Method	.24		
Major	.27		
Method $ imes$ major	.18		

Major has the largest effect.

- f. A 2 × 2 between-subjects ANOVA was conducted with math anxiety as the dependent variable and teaching method and college major as the independent variables. There was a significant teaching method effect, F(1, 36) = 11.35, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .24$, with those who received instruction under method B having significantly lower math anxiety (M = 35.55, SD = 8.44) than those under method A (M = 45.75, SD = 14.29). There was a significant major effect, F(1, 36) = 13.20, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .27$, with natural science majors reporting significantly lower math anxiety (M = 35.15, SD = 9.20) than social science majors (M = 46.15, SD = 13.48). There was also a significant method × major interaction effect, F(1, 36) = 7.88, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .18$. Under method B, the difference in math anxiety between natural science majors (M = 34.30) and social science majors (M = 55.50) had substantially higher levels of math anxiety than natural science majors (M = 36.00).
- 3. a. The 31–50 pound group on the whole grain diet lost the most weight (11.50 pounds). The 11–30 pound group on the nonwhole grain diet lost the least weight (.40 pounds).
 - b. For the 11–30 pound group, those on the whole grain diet lost slightly more weight than those on the nonwhole grain diet (they lost 2 pounds more). For the 31–50 pound group, however, those on the whole grain diet lost substantially more weight than those on the nonwhole grain diet (a difference of 9.40 pounds). The interaction illustrates the combined effect of eating the whole grain diet *and* being 31–50 pounds overweight on weight loss, as this group lost substantially more weight than the other groups. The whole grain diet appears to be particularly effective for those who began the study more overweight (31–50 pounds).

Chapter 10—One-Within ANOVA

- 1. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{three months}} = \mu_{\text{six months}} = \mu_{\text{nine months}}$ $H_1:$ At least one of the means is different from the others.
 - b. "Does the number of truancies change over time under the truancy prevention program?"
 - c. Yes (p < .001-Greenhouse-Geisser; p < .001-sphericity assumed).
 - d. Partial $\eta^2 = .52$.
 - e. Each test will be conducted at .016 (.05/3).
 - f. The truancy rate differed significantly over time, *Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted F(1.71, 23.98) = 15.20, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .52$. Dependent-samples *t* tests revealed that students had significantly fewer truancies at nine months (M = 25.47, SD = 8.18) than at six months (M = 32.00, SD = 7.46), t(14) = 3.92, p < .016, and at nine months compared to three months (M = 33.00, SD = 7.08), t(14) = 4.75, p < .016. There was not a significant difference in the number of truancies between six months and three months, t(14) = .87, p > .016. (*Note*: If you prefer to use the sphericity assumed values, substitute the Greenhouse-Geisser df with 2 and 28 (the sphericity assumed df), and delete

Greenhouse-Geisser *df* with 2 and 28 (the sphericity assumed *df*), and delete the phrase "*Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted.")

- 2. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{before therapy}} = \mu_{\text{four weeks}} = \mu_{\text{eight weeks}}$ $H_1:$ At least one of the means is different from the others.
 - b. "Does well-being change over time when receiving psychoanalytic therapy?"
 - c. No (p = .330-Greenhouse-Geisser; p = .341-sphericity assumed).
 - d. Partial $\eta^2 = .07$.
 - e. Follow-up tests are not conducted since the overall ANOVA is not significant.

- f. The general well-being scores of those who received psychoanalytic therapy did not change over time, *Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted F(1.50, 20.94) = 1.12, p > .05, partial η² = .07.
 (*Note*: If you prefer to use the sphericity assumed values, substitute the Greenhouse-Geisser df with 2 and 28 (the sphericity assumed df), and delete
- the phrase "Greenhouse-Geisser adjusted.") 3. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{free wifi}} = \mu_{\text{pay per wifi}} = \mu_{\text{no wifi}}$ $H_1:$ At least one of the means is different from the others.
 - b. "Does the likelihood of visiting a fast-food restaurant differ for the type of wifi service offered?"
 - c. Yes (p < .001-Greenhouse-Geisser; p < .001-sphericity assumed).
 - d. Partial $\eta^2 = .70$.
 - e. Each test will be conducted at .016 (.05/3).
 - f. The likelihood of dining at a fast-food restaurant differed for the type of wifi service offered, *Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted F(1.78, 16.00) = 20.53, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .70$. Dependent-samples *t* tests revealed that people were more likely to eat at a restaurant if free wifi was offered (M = 7.00, SD = 2.58) than if the service was not free (M = 3.80, SD = 2.30), t(9) = 4.95, p < .016. People were also more likely to eat at a fast-food restaurant if free wifi was offered versus no wifi at all (M = 3.40, SD = 1.96), t(9) = 5.25, p < .016. There was not a significant difference in the likelihood of eating at a fast-food restaurant if there was pay-per-use wifi versus no wifi at all, t(9) = .80, p > .016.

(*Note*: If you prefer to use the sphericity assumed values, substitute the Greenhouse-Geisser *df* with 2 and 18 (the sphericity assumed *df*), and delete the phrase "*Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted.")

Chapter 11—One-Between–One-Within ANOVA

- 1. a. $H_0: \mu_{\text{cognitive-behavioral}} = \mu_{\text{psychoanalytic}}$
 - $H_1: \mu_{\text{cognitive-behavioral}} \neq \mu_{\text{psychoanalytic}}$
 - $H_0: \mu_{\text{before program}} = \mu_{\text{week 8}} = \mu_{\text{week 16}}$
 - H_1 : At least one of the means is different from the others.
 - H_0 : There is not a time \times therapy interaction.
 - H_1 : There is a time \times therapy interaction.
 - b. "Do well-being scores differ for those who receive psychoanalytic therapy versus cognitive-behavioral therapy?"
 "Do well-being scores differ over time?"

"Do well-being scores change at different rates over time for the psychoanalytic and cognitive behavioral groups (that is, is there an interaction)?"

- c. Time (p = .007—Greenhouse–Geisser; p = .002—sphericity assumed) and time × therapy (p < .001–Greenhouse–Geisser; p < .001 sphericity assumed) are significant. Therapy is not (p = .388).
- d.

Test	Partial eta-square	
Time	.29	
Therapy	.04	
Time \times therapy	.49	

Time \times therapy has the largest effect.

e. A one-between–one-within ANOVA was conducted on well-being with time (before, 8 weeks, 16 weeks) as the within-subjects factor and type of therapy (cognitive-behavioral, psychoanalytic) as the between-subjects factor. There

was a significant time effect on well-being, *Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted F(1.36, 24.44) = 7.46, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .29$. There was not a significant therapy effect on well-being, F(1, 18) = .78, p > .05, partial $\eta^2 = .04$. There was a significant time × therapy interaction, *Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted F(1.36, 24.44) = 17.02, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .49$. The interaction plot shows that there was little difference in well-being scores between the two therapy groups before the onset of therapy and at 8 weeks; however, at 16 weeks, those who had cognitive behavioral therapy had considerably higher general well-being scores than those who had psychoanalytic therapy.

(*Note*: If you prefer to use the sphericity assumed values, substitute the Greenhouse-Geisser df with 2 and 36 for time and time \times therapy (the sphericity assumed df), and delete the phrase "*Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted.")

- 2. The results of the simple effects analyses revealed that, at week 16, those who had cognitive behavioral therapy (M = 24.00, SD = 3.71) had significantly higher well-being scores than those who had psychoanalytic therapy (M = 20.00, SD = 2.94), t(18) = 2.67, p < .016. (The *p*-value for this test is approximately .0156, which can be found by double-clicking on the *Independent Samples Test* table and then double-clicking on the value .016.) There was not a significant difference in the well-being scores between the two therapies before the onset of therapy, t(18) = -.54, p > .016, or at 8 weeks, t(18) = -.25, p > .016.
- 3. a. $H_o: \mu_{\text{before mentored}} = \mu_{4 \text{ weeks mentored}} = \mu_{8 \text{ weeks mentored}}$ $H_1: \text{ At least one of the means is different from the others.}$
 - b. "Do the stress levels of mentored teachers differ before beginning teaching, at four weeks, or at eight weeks?"
 - c. Yes, time is significant (p = .001-Greenhouse-Geisser; p < .001, sphericity assumed).
 - d. Stress levels at eight weeks were significantly lower than at four weeks and at before. There was not a significant difference between before and four weeks.
 - e. Simple effects analyses were conducted to assess whether mentored teachers' stress scores differed over the three time occasions. The results of a one-way within subjects ANOVA showed that time was significant, *Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted F(1.17, 10.56) = 18.39, p < .05, partial $\eta^2 = .67$. Follow-up dependent-samples *t* tests were conducted, with each test conducted at an alpha level of .016. The results indicated that mentored teachers reported significantly less stress at eight weeks compared to before the program began, t(9) = 4.41, p < .016, and at eight weeks compared to four weeks, t(9) = 4.46, p < .016. There was not a significant difference in stress scores between before the program began and at four weeks, t(9) = 2.49, p > .016.

between before the program began and at four weeks, t(9) = 2.49, p > .016. Means and standard deviations for the two groups at each level of time are reported in Figure AC.1 below.

(*Note*: If you prefer to use the sphericity assumed values, substitute the Greenhouse-Geisser *df* with 2 and 18 (the sphericity assumed *df*), and delete the phrase "*Greenhouse-Geisser* adjusted.")

	Mentored	
Time	М	SD
Before	41.00	3.30
4 weeks	39.60	4.09
8 weeks	34.70	6.00

Figure AC.1 Means and standard deviations for mentored teachers before the academic year began, at 4 weeks, and at 8 weeks.

Chapter 12—Correlation

- 1. a. $H_0: \rho = 0$ $H_1: \rho \neq 0$
 - b. "Is there a relationship between the amount of time studying and the grade on the exam?"
 - c. *r* = .68
 - d. Yes (p < .001).
 - e. The effect size is large (r = .68).
 - f. There is a significant positive relationship between the amount of time studying and the grade on the exam, r(23) = .68, p < .05.
- 2. a. $H_0: \rho = 0$

 $H_1: \rho \neq 0$

- b. "Is there a relationship between marital satisfaction and empathic understanding?"
- c. r = .56
- d. Yes (p = .004).
- e. The effect size is large (r = .56).
- f. There is a significant positive relationship between marital satisfaction and empathic understanding, r(23) = .56, p < .05.
- 3. a. H_0 : $\rho = 0$
 - $H_1: \rho \neq 0$
 - b. "Is there a relationship between the amount of time being read to as a threeyear-old and scores on a second-grade English exam?"
 - c. r = .52
 - d. Yes (p = .003).
 - e. The effect size is large (r = .52).
 - f. There is a significant positive relationship between the time being read to as a three-year-old and scores on a second-grade English exam, r(28) = .52, p < .05.

Chapter 13—Simple Regression

- 1. a. $H_0: \beta_{\text{father}} = 0$
 - $H_1: \beta_{\text{father}} \neq 0$
 - b. "Is a father's level of optimism predictive of his son's optimism as a young adult?"
 - c. Yes (p = .001).
 - d. $R^2 = .44$. This is a large effect.
 - e. $\hat{Y}_{son} = 16.313 + .571(father)$
 - f. Fathers' optimism scores were a significant predictor of their sons' level of optimism, $\beta = .66$, t(18) = 3.75, p < .05, $R^2 = .44$.
- 2. a. $H_0: \beta_{\text{math exam}} = 0$ $H_1: \beta_{\text{math exam}} \neq 0$
 - b. "Are the scores on a seventh-grade math entrance exam predictive of the end-of-the-year grade in mathematics?"
 - c. Yes (p < .001).
 - d. $R^2 = .38$. This is a large effect.
 - e. $Y_{\text{grade}} = 47.92 + .506 (\text{mathexam})$
 - f. The entrance exam was a significant predictor of the final grade in seventhgrade mathematics, $\beta = .62$, t(28) = 4.17, p < .05, $R^2 = .38$.
- 3. a. $H_0: \beta_{\text{agreeableness}} = 0$
 - $H_1: \beta_{\text{agreeableness}} \neq 0$
 - b. "Is an employee's level of agreeableness predictive of their supervisor's satisfaction with their work?"
 - c. No (p = .093).
 - d. $R^2 = .12$. This is a medium effect in practice.

- e. $\hat{Y}_{\text{satisfaction}} = 5.332 + .097(\text{agreeableness})$
- f. Employees' level of agreeableness was not a significant predictor of their supervisor's satisfaction with their work, $\beta = .34, t(23) = 1.75, p > .05, R^2 = .12.$

Chapter 14—Multiple Regression

- 1. a. $H_0: \beta_{\text{importance}} = 0; H_0: \beta_{\text{advance}} = 0; H_0: \beta_{\text{express}} = 0$ $H_1: \beta_{\text{importance}} \neq 0; H_1: \beta_{\text{advance}} \neq 0; H_1: \beta_{\text{express}} \neq 0$
 - b. $H_0: R^2 = 0$ $H_1: R^2 > 0$
 - c. Individual predictors:

"Does the importance of one's job predict job satisfaction?" "Does the opportunity to advance in one's job predict job satisfaction?" "Does the ability to express ideas to one's boss predict job satisfaction?" All predictors simultaneously:

"When taken together, does the importance of one's job, the opportunity to advance, and the ability to express ideas to one's boss predict job satisfaction?" $p^2 = 57$. You there all words bigging for (500)

- d. $R^2 = .57$. Yes, the overall model is significant, p < .001.
- e. Importance (p = .001) and express (p = .018) are significant. Advance (p = .403) is not significant.
- f. $R^2 = .57$. This is a large effect.
- g. $Y_{\text{job satisfaction}} = -5.756 + 1.051(\text{importance}) + .205(\text{advance}) + 1.069(\text{express}).$
- h. A multiple regression was conducted predicting job satisfaction from the variables importance of one's job, the opportunity to advance, and the ability to express ideas to one's boss. Overall, the regression was significant, $F(3, 26) = 11.51, p < .05, R^2 = .57$. Of the predictors investigated, the importance of one's job was a significant predictor of job satisfaction, $\beta = .52, t(26) = 3.65, p < .05$, as was the ability to express ideas to one's boss, $\beta = .37, t(26) = 2.53, p < .05$. The opportunity to advance was not a significant predictor of job satisfaction, $\beta = .11, t(26) = .85, p > .05$.
- 2. a. $H_0: \beta_{\text{forgiveness}} = 0; H_0: \beta_{\text{support}} = 0$ $H_1: \beta_{\text{forgiveness}} \neq 0; H_1: \beta_{\text{support}} \neq 0$
 - b. $H_0: R^2 = 0$ $H_1: R^2 > 0$
 - c. Individual predictors:
 - "Does forgiveness predict happiness?"

"Does social support predict happiness?"

All predictors simultaneously:

"When taken together, do the variables forgiveness and social support predict happiness?"

- d. $R^2 = .46$. Yes, the overall model is significant, p = .001.
- e. Forgiveness (p < .001) is significant. Support (p = .246) is not.
- f. $R^2 = .46$. This is a large effect.
- g. $Y_{happiness} = 5.798 + .399$ (forgiveness) + .299(support)
- h. A multiple regression was conducted predicting happiness from the variables social support and forgiveness. Overall, the regression was significant, F(2, 22) = 9.32, p < .05, $R^2 = .46$. Of the predictors investigated, forgiveness was significant, $\beta = .66$, t(22) = 4.22, p < .05, while social support was not, $\beta = .19$, t(22) = 1.19, p > .05.
- 3. a. $H_0: \beta_{\text{well-being}} = 0; H_0: \beta_{\text{meaning in life}} = 0$ $H_1: \beta_{\text{well-being}} \neq 0; H_1: \beta_{\text{meaning in life}} \neq 0$

b.
$$H_0: R^2 = 0$$

 $H_1: R^2 > 0$

- c. Individual predictors:
 - "Does well-being predict fear of death?"
 - "Does meaning in life predict fear of death?"
 - All predictors simultaneously:

"When taken together, do the variables well-being and meaning in life predict fear of death?"

- d. $R^2 = .13$. Yes, the overall model is significant, p < .05.
- e. Meaning (p = .010) is significant. Well-being is not (p = .176).
- f. $R^2 = .13$. This is a medium effect. $\hat{Y}_{\text{fear of death}} = 36.434 + .290 (\text{wellbeing}) - .349 (\text{meaning})$
- g. A multiple regression was conducted predicting fear of death from the variables well-being and meaning in life. Overall, the regression was significant, $F(2, 47) = 3.60, p < .05, R^2 = .13$. Of the predictors investigated, meaning in life was significant, $\beta = -.41, t(47) = -2.68, p < .05$, but well-being was not, $\beta = .21, t(47) = 1.38, p > .05$.

Chapter 15—Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test

1. a. H_0 : Eye size is not related to attractiveness (there is not a preference for one of the photos.)

 H_1 : Eye size is related to attractiveness (there is a preference for one of the photos).

- b. "Is eye size related to attractiveness?"
- c. Yes (p < .001).
- d. There was a significant preference for the photos with larger eyes, $\chi^2(1, N = 80) = 20.0, p < .05$. Of the two types of photos, 75% of the participants rated the person with larger eyes as more attractive, while 25% rated the person with the smaller eyes as more attractive.
- 2. a. H_0 : There is not a difference in the perceived picture quality of plasma and LCD televisions.

 H_1 : There is a difference in the perceived picture quality of plasma and LCD televisions.

- b. "Is there a difference in perceived picture quality of plasma and LCD televisions?"
- c. No (p = .535).
- d. There is not a difference in perceived picture quality between plasma and LCD televisions, $\chi^2(1, N = 65) = .39, p > .05$.
- 3. a. H_0 : There is not a preference among the three coffees. H_1 : There is a preference among the three coffees.
 - b. "Is there a preference among the three coffees?"
 - c. Yes (p = .002).
 - d. There was a preference among the three coffees, $\chi^2(2, N = 150) = 12.16, p < .05$. Of the three price categories of coffee, 20% of the participants preferred the \$3 brand, 41% preferred the \$6 brand, and 39% preferred the \$10 brand.

Chapter 16—Chi-Square Test of Independence

1. a. H_0 : There is not a relationship between the type of feeding received as an infant and weight in first grade.

 H_1 : There is a relationship between the type of feeding received as an infant and weight in first grade.

b. "Is there a relationship between the type of feeding received as an infant and weight in first grade?"

- c. Yes (p = .022).
- d. Effect size = -.13 (or .13). This is a small effect.
- e. There is a significant relationship between type of feeding received as an infant and whether or not a child is overweight in first grade, $\chi^2(1, N = 300) =$ 5.26, p < .05, Cramer's V = .13. Those who were breastfed were overweight at a significantly lower rate (16% overweight) than those who were not breastfed (28% overweight).
- 2. a. H_0 : There is not a relationship between gender and movie preference. H_1 : There is a relationship between gender and movie preference.
 - b. "Is there a relationship between gender and movie preference?"
 - c. Yes (p < .001).
 - d. Effect size = -.40 (or .40). This is a medium effect.
 - e. There is a significant relationship between gender and movie preference, $\chi^2(1, N = 160) = 26.02, p < .05$, Cramer's V = .40. Given the choice of an action film or drama, females preferred dramas (84% to 16%), while males preferred action films (54% to 46%).
- 3. a. H_0 : There is not a relationship between exercise and heart attack rates among the elderly.

 H_1 : There is a relationship between exercise and heart attack rates among the elderly.

- b. "Is there a relationship between exercise and heart attack rates among the elderly?"
- c. Yes (p = .029).
- d. Effect size = -.11 (or .11). This is a small effect.
- e. There is a significant relationship between exercise and heart attack rates among the elderly, $\chi^2(1, N = 405) = 4.77, p < .05$, Cramer's V = .11. Those who did not exercise experienced a higher heart attack rate (13.7%) than those who exercised (6.7%).

(*Note*: Even though the effect size is small using Cohen's guidelines, notice that the heart attack rate is twice as high (13.7/6.7 = 2.04) in the no exercise group, indicating that a small effect *can be* at times of great practical importance.)

Notes

Chapter 1

- 1. An icon is a picture on your computer. and distant are examples of icons.
- 2. To "double-click" the mouse means to press the left button twice in rapid succession; to "click" the mouse means to press the left button once (also referred to as a single click); and to "right-click" the mouse means to press the right button once.
- 3. A dialog box is a window that allows certain operations to be performed by selecting options, clicking buttons, moving variables, and so on.
- 4. The word "data" is plural, referring to two or more values. Datum is singular, referring to one value.
- 5. Default values are the settings in SPSS that are in place when the SPSS program starts.
- 6. SPSS versions 11.5 and earlier required variable names to be no more than eight characters. If you are using version 11.5 or earlier, name the variable **employ** instead of **employment.**
- 7. If you click on the far right-hand side of the *Values* cell (where the ellipsis button is located after clicking the cell), the *Value Labels* dialog box will open immediately (i.e., it will only take one step to open the box instead of two).
- 8. If you prefer to move the variables over individually, select **gender** and click the upper-right arrow button, then select **age** and click the upper-right arrow button, and continue with this process until all variables are moved into the *Variables* box.

Chapter 2

- Alternatively, each variable may be moved over individually by selecting gender and clicking the right-arrow button (), and then selecting college and clicking the right-arrow () button.
- 2. Measures of central tendency and variability may also be obtained using the *Descriptives* procedure in SPSS. To run the *Descriptives* procedure, from the menu bar select **Analyze > Descriptive Statistics > Descriptives**... and move the variables to be analyzed to the *Variable(s)* box. In the *Descriptives* procedure, the mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values are selected by default. Additional descriptive statistics may be selected by clicking the *Options* button.
- 3. An out-of-range value is a data point that is outside the minimum and maximum values for a variable (a value of 50 would be an example of an out-of-range value for **satquant**). While having no out-of-range values does not ensure that there are no data entry errors (there can be errors within the possible range of values as well), it is a simple check against one fairly common type of data entry error. For protection against data entry errors within the range of possible values, enter the data carefully and at a minimum double-check all entered values.

Chapter 4

- 1. Interrater reliability is one type of reliability that does not fall into either of these categories. Interrater reliability measures the consistency of raters or judges who are assessing some characteristic of interest.
- 2. While coefficient alpha can be negative, this typically indicates that an error has been made in the calculation, such as including one or more negative items that have not been reverse coded in the estimate (negative items will be discussed shortly).
- 3. *M* and *SD* are abbreviations for the mean and standard deviation, respectively, using the format of the American Psychological Association (APA).

Chapter 5

- 1. An alternative measure of effect in this example would be to simply state the difference in hours worked between the employees at the leading accounting firm and the national average (employees from the leading firm worked seven more hours per week on average). When the dependent variable makes sense intuitively, this is a reasonable practice (although *d* can still be reported as well). However, when the dependent variable doesn't have intuitive meaning (such as a measure of assertiveness on a 10 to 50 scale), then a standardized measure such as *d* is better.
- 2. While reporting the exact *p*-value in the written results is recommended in the 6th edition of the APA Publication Manual, the tradition of reporting "p < .05" is used in this text to provide continuity with classes (e.g., introductory statistics) where SPSS analyses supplement hand calculations. For those reporting results in research papers or presentations, reporting the specific *p*-value is recommended. For example, if a *p*-value of .003 is reported in SPSS, then report "p = .003" instead of "p < .05" in your written results. If a *p*-value of .000 is output in SPSS, report "p < .001."

Chapter 6

- 1. An alternative solution for *d* may be found by taking the mean difference between the two groups divided by the pooled standard deviation. While this formula is more appealing intuitively, since SPSS does not provide the pooled standard deviation in the output, the formula is not presented here.
- 2. It is the responsibility of the researcher to ensure that the guidelines of the American Psychological Association have been followed regarding the ethical treatment of research participants.

Chapter 7

1. The term independent variable used in this text is not necessarily meant to imply a variable that is actively manipulated by the researcher but is instead used to help identify the characteristics of each statistical procedure. Whether the variable is a true independent variable, quasi-experimental, or nonexperimental will not affect the calculations of the *t* test; it will, however, affect the type of conclusions that may be drawn from the study.

Chapter 8

1. "Factor" is another name for an independent variable in ANOVA. "Level" is another name for a category or group. The factor gender, for example, has two levels: male and female.

- 2. *Brown-Forsythe* and *Welch* (shown in Figure 8.7) are alternative tests to ANOVA when the variances are not equal between the groups. If Levene's test suggests unequal variances, then one of these tests can be used in place of the standard one-way ANOVA (both Levene's test and assumption of equal variances will be discussed shortly).
- 3. If Levene's test suggests unequal variances, a post hoc test under *Equal Variances Not Assumed* should be used (instead of Tukey's).
- 4. Tukey's post hoc procedure has a built-in control so that the overall alpha (Type I error rate) for the three tests combined does not exceed .05. More will be said about controlling the overall alpha for follow-up tests in Chapters 10 and 11.
- 5. Eta-square can be calculated in SPSS using the *General Linear Model— Univariate* procedure. The *General Linear Model* procedure (and the calculation of eta-square using it) is illustrated in Chapter 9.

Chapter 9

- 1. A fixed factor is an independent variable where the levels were specifically chosen for the study with the intent not to generalize to other possible levels. A random factor, on the other hand, consists of an independent variable whose levels were randomly selected from a larger number of potential levels with the intent to generalize the findings to the wider population of levels. Fixed factors are more common than random factors in most areas of study, although random factors do occur with some regularity in certain disciplines.
- 2. In the *Tests of Between-Subjects Effects* table, the corrected total sum of squares is equal to the sum of squares for **phyther**, **relax**, **phyther*****relax**, and error. The corrected model sum of squares is equal to the sum of squares for **phyther**, **relax**, and **phyther*****relax**. The intercept and total are usually not of interest in the two-way ANOVA.
- 3. The presence of a significant interaction does not necessarily mean the main effects should uniformly not be interpreted, but instead indicates that they *can be* mislead-ing. In main effects are interpreted, they should be done so *in light of* the interaction.

Chapter 10

- 1. While within subjects factors most commonly consist of the same people measured on multiple occasions, they can also consist of related people each measured once (e.g., husbands and wives).
- 2. While **before**, **week8**, and **after** are entered as *variables* in SPSS, in the one-way within subjects ANOVA design they are *levels* of the within subjects factor **time**.
- 3. When there are only two levels to a within subjects factor, the assumption of sphericity is not required.
- 4. While Mauchley's test of sphericity won't be evaluated here, the approach for evaluating it is as follows: The null hypothesis is that the data are spherical in the population; if $p \le .05$ for Mauchley's test, the null hypothesis is rejected and it is assumed that the sphericity assumption has *not* been satisfied. If p > .05, the null hypothesis is not rejected and it is assumed that the sphericity assumption has been satisfied.
- 5. While all four procedures in the *Tests of Within-Subjects Effects* table produce the same value for *F*, they frequently differ in their degrees of freedom (and subsequently in their *p*-values).

Chapter 11

1. While within subjects factors most commonly consist of the same people measured on multiple occasions, they can also consist of related people each measured once (e.g., siblings).

- 2. Since the alternative hypothesis is nonspecific, if **time** is significant, further testing will be required to assess where the differences are. Compare this to the hypothesis for **support** which has only two groups: If **support** is significant, the means for the two groups only need to be examined to determine which group has less stress (i.e., further testing is not required).
- 3. To this point we have not created a name for the within subjects factor in SPSS (we've created names for the *levels* of the within subjects factor—**before**, week4, week8).
- 4. The *Multivariate Tests* table tests the within subjects factor (**time**) and any interaction that includes the within subjects factor. Between subjects factors (by themselves) are not tested in this table.
- 5. When there are only two levels to a within subjects factor, the assumption of sphericity is not required.
- 6. There are not different adjustment procedures for **support** since the sphericity assumption does not apply to between subjects factors.
- 7. For example, mentored vs. nonmentored teachers may be significantly different only at time 3, or they may be significantly different at both time 2 and time 3.

Chapter 12

1. While most correlations are calculated on two continuous variables, the Pearson correlation coefficient can also be calculated on one dichotomous and one continuous variable (called a point-biserial correlation), or on two dichotomous variables (called a phi coefficient).

Chapter 13

- 1. Linear regression is related to correlation (correlation was discussed in Chapter 12); in fact, a significant correlation between two variables is required in order for one variable to be a significant predictor of the other in linear regression. However, the objectives of the two procedures are somewhat different. Where correlation describes the relationship between two variables, in simple linear regression the goal is to assess whether one variable is a significant predictor of another variable.
- 2. While continuous predictors are typically used in simple regression, a predictor variable can be categorical if it consists of only two values (i.e., it is dichotomous). If the categorical variable consists of more than two categories, special coding of the variable is required and multiple regression should be used.
- 3. While the *ANOVA* and *Coefficients* tables provide the same test in simple regression, they are not identical in multiple regression, as is illustrated in Chapter 14.
- 4. The predicted scores can be solved for in SPSS by clicking the *Save* button in the *Linear Regression* dialog box (shown in Figure 13.6) and then clicking *Unstandardized* in the *Predicted Values* section of the dialog box. The predicted scores will then be placed in the data file to the right of the variable **wellbeing.**
- 5. When the regression coefficients are standardized, the *Y*-intercept is equal to zero and is dropped from the *Coefficients* table (which is why there is no value reported for *Constant* in the *Standardized Coefficients* column).

Chapter 14

- 1. Multiple regression is a fairly complex topic and only an introduction is presented here. Stevens (2002) is a good resource for more information on the topic.
- 2. Categorical variables with two levels (e.g., gender) may be entered directly into SPSS as a single predictor; categorical variables with three or more levels must be recoded into multiple predictors (with the number of predictors equal to the number

of categories -1) prior to entering them into the regression equation. See Cohen, Cohen, West, and Aiken (2002) for more information on coding categorical predictors with three or more levels.

- 3. High correlations among the predictors can lead to a problem known as multicollinearity, which can result in unstable estimates for the predictors in the regression equation. See Stevens (2002) for more details on multicollinearity.
- 4. In hierarchical regression one or more predictors enter the model and a first regression is run, then one or more *new* predictors enter the model and a second regression is run, and so on. A primary objective of hierarchical regression is to see if the predictor(s) added in a later run account for a significant amount of variance above and beyond the predictor(s) added earlier.
- 5. SPSS can solve for these scores by clicking the *Save* button in the *Linear Regression* dialog box (shown in Figure 14.6) and then clicking on *Unstandardized in the Predicted Values* section of the dialog box. The predicted scores will then be placed in a new variable to the right of **success** in the data file.
- 6. When the regression coefficients are standardized, the *Y*-intercept is equal to zero and is dropped from the *Coefficients* table (which is why there is no value reported for *Constant* in the *Standardized Coefficients* column).
- 7. If one or more categorical predictors are included in the model, and the inequality in the sample sizes is moderate to large, then the presence of homoscedasticity can compromise the accuracy of the multiple regression procedure.

Chapter 15

- 1. Strictly speaking, the value of the chi-square statistic is also affected by the absolute size of the expected frequencies.
- 2. When using the weight cases method of data entry, if the cases are not weighted prior to running the chi-square, the results will be incorrect.
- 3. It is important to note that the cases remain weighted until either the weight cases option is turned off (by clicking *Do not weight cases* in the *Weight Cases* dialog box and clicking *OK*) or until SPSS is closed. If additional analyses are performed other than the chi-square test, failing to turn the weight cases option off can lead to incorrect analyses and/or error messages.
- 4. The percentage of people in each of the categories may be calculated in SPSS by running the *Frequencies* procedure on **groupsize** (assuming the cases are weighted). See Chapter 2 for more information on using the *Frequencies* procedure in SPSS.

Chapter 16

1. It is important to note that the cases remain weighted until either the weight cases option is turned off (by selecting *Do not weight cases* in the *Weight Cases* dialog box and clicking *OK*) or until SPSS is closed. If additional analyses are performed other than the chi-square test, failing to turn the weight cases option off can lead to incorrect analyses and/or error messages.

Appendix A

- 1. While the recode step, $3 \rightarrow 3$, may seem unnecessary, if it is omitted, there will be missing values for all 3s in the data set.
- More than one variable may be recoded in a single SPSS run as long as all the recoded variables have the same scale values (e.g., all variables have the response option 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). To add additional variables, move each variable to the *Numeric Variable* → *Output Variable* box (see Figure A.5) and assign an

appropriate name for each recoded variable. For example, if a fourth item, **meaning4**, existed and was negative, **meaning4** would be moved to the *Numeric Variable* \rightarrow *Output Variable* box and the variable name **meaning4_recode** would be entered in the *Output Variable Name* box. Since the recoded values were already entered for **meaning2_recode**, they would not need to be entered again.

- 3. Because **meaning2** is a negative item, **meaning2_recode** is used in computing the total score.
- 4. An alternative method for adding the variables together would be to use the sum function in SPSS. Functions are built-in commands in SPSS that carry out certain operations on variables. Coverage of these functions, however, is beyond the scope of this text.
- 5. While the *t* test was conducted to illustrate the *Select Cases* procedure, it is unlikely it would have been significant with a sample size of only five participants (due to low power).

Appendix B

Excel files can also be opened in SPSS 18.0 by the following method: From the menu bar select File > Open > Data and then in the *Open Data* dialog box select *Excel files* under *files of type*. Next, locate and select the Excel file of interest, click the *Open* button, and then follow the instructions in the *Opening Excel Data Source* window in SPSS to open the file. If the Excel file is formatted with the variables in row 1 of the spreadsheet and the data beginning in row 2, then the file should open successfully in SPSS after clicking the *OK* button in the *Opening Excel Data Source* window.

References

- American Psychological Association (2009). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.), Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S.G., & Aiken, L.S. (2002). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences* (3rd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Howell, D. C. (2007). Statistical methods for psychology (6th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). *Designing experiments and analyzing data: A model comparison perspective* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Stevens, J. P. (2002). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences* (4th ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics* (5th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Index

A

Active cell, 5 Adjusted R^2 in multiple regression, 184–185 in simple regression, 171–172 Alpha adjusted for multiple tests, 133–134 defined. 60 Alternate form reliability, 49 Alternative hypothesis, 58–59 Analysis of variance (ANOVA), onebetween-one-within subjects assumptions, 154-155 commands for analyzing, 142-146 effect size, 153-154 entering the data, 142 example, 139 exercises. 156-157 expression of results in APA format, 154 null and alternative hypotheses, 140 objectives and data requirements of, 139 output of, 146-154 research questions, 140-141 summary of steps for conducting, 155-156 Analysis of variance (ANOVA), oneway between subjects assumptions, 100 commands for analyzing, 94–95 effect size, 99-100 entering the data, 92–93 example, 91 exercises, 101-103 expression of the results in APA format. 100

null and alternative hypotheses, 91-92 objective and data requirements of, 91 output of, 95-99 research question, 92 summary of steps for conducting, 100-101 Analysis of variance (ANOVA), oneway within subjects assumptions, 136 commands for analyzing, 126-128 effect size, 134-135 entering the data, 124–125 example, 123 exercises, 137-138 expression of the results in APA format, 135–136 null and alternative hypotheses, 123-124 objective and data requirements of, 123 output of, 128-135 research question, 124 summary of steps for conducting, 136-137 Analysis of variance (ANOVA), twoway between subjects assumptions, 118-119 commands for analyzing, 107–111 effect size, 117-118 entering the data, 107-108 example, 104 exercises, 120-122 expression of the results in APA format, 118 null and alternative hypotheses, 105 - 106

Analysis of variance (*continued*) objectives and data requirements of, 105 output of, 112–118 research questions, 106 summary of steps for conducting, 119 - 120APA results section chi-square goodness of fit test, 200 - 201chi-square test of independence, 212 Pearson r correlation coefficient, 163 dependent samples t test, 87 independent samples t test, 78 multiple regression, 187 one-sample t test, 67-68 one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA, 154 one-way between subjects ANOVA, 100one-way within subjects ANOVA, 135-136 simple regression, 174 two-way between subjects ANOVA, 118 Assumptions chi-square goodness of fit test, 200 chi-square test of independence, 212-213 dependent samples t test, 87-88 independent samples t test, 78 multiple regression, 187 one-sample t test, 68 one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA, 154–155 one-way between subjects ANOVA, 100 one-way within subjects ANOVA, 136 Pearson r correlation coefficient, 163 simple regression, 174 two-way between subjects ANOVA, 118-119 Average. See mean

B

Bar chart, 38–39, 44, 111, 114, 117, 119
Beta weight, 166, 173, 177–178, 186
Between-subjects factor, 91
Bivariate correlation. *See* Pearson *r* correlation coefficient
Bivariate linear regression. *See* simple regression

Boxplot, 42–46 Brown-Forsythe test, 101

C

Categorical variables, 8 Cell. 5 Central tendency, measures of, 22 Charts. See graphical procedures Chi-square goodness of fit test assumptions, 200 commands for analyzing, 195–198 entering the data, 194–195 example, 191 exercises, 201-202 expression of the results in APA format, 199-200 null and alternative hypotheses, 191-192 objective and data requirements of, 191 output of, 198 research question, 192 summary of steps for conducting, 200-201 Chi-square test of independence assumptions, 212-213 commands for analyzing, 206–210 effect size, 212 entering the data, 205–206 example, 203 exercises, 214-215 expression of the results in APA format, 212 null and alternative hypotheses, 204 objective and data requirements of, 204 output of, 210-212 research question, 204 summary of steps for conducting, 213 - 214Coefficient alpha commands for analyzing, 53 - 54entering the data, 52 example, 50–51 exercises, 56-57 expression of the results, 56 objective and data requirements of, 51 output of, 54-55 summary of steps for conducting, 56

Cohen's *d* dependent samples *t* test, 87 independent samples *t* test, 78 one-sample *t* test, 67 Column(s) in data view window, 5 in variable view window, 6 Completely crossed design, 104 Compute procedure, 220–222 Correlation. *See* Pearson *r* correlation coefficient Cramer's *V*, 212 Criterion variable, 166, 177 Cronbach's alpha. *See* coefficient alpha

D

d statistic. See Cohen's d Data, defined, 5 Data editor, 3–6 Data entry, 11–12 Data file, 6–7 Data view window, 5 Dependent samples t test. See t test, dependent samples Dependent variable, 62 Dialog box, 3 Difference scores, 87–88 Dunnett's T3, 101

Ε

Effect size statistic, computing chi-square test of independence, 212 dependent samples t test, 87 independent samples t test, 78 multiple regression, 186 one-between-one-within ANOVA, 153 - 154one-sample t test, 67 one-way between subjects ANOVA, 99-100 one-way within subjects ANOVA, 134-135 Pearson r correlation coefficient, 162-163 simple regression, 173 two-way between subjects ANOVA, 117-118 Entering data. See data entry Equal variances, assumption of independent samples t test, 76–77

one-between–one-within subjects ANOVA, 155–156 one-way between subjects ANOVA, 96–98 two-way between subjects ANOVA, 114–115 Eta-square, 99–100. *See also* partial eta square Expected frequencies chi-square goodness of fit test, 192–193, 198–200 chi-square test of independence, 210–213 Extension. *See* file extension

F

F test, for Levene's. See Levene's test of equality of variances F test one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA, 150-151 one-way between subjects ANOVA, 96-98 one-way within subjects ANOVA, 131-132 two-way between subjects ANOVA, 115-116 Factor, 91 File extension, 16–18 File menu open, 37 print, 18-20 save as, 16–18 File, splitting. See split file procedure Follow-up tests one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA, 151–153 one-way between subjects ANOVA, 98-99 one-way within subjects ANOVA, 132-134 two-way between subjects ANOVA, 120 Frequencies procedure, 24–26

G

General linear model procedure in one-between–one-within subjects ANOVA, 142–143 General linear model (continued) in one-way within subjects ANOVA, 126 in two-way between subjects ANOVA, 107-108 Goodness of fit test. See chi-square goodness of fit test Graphical procedures bar chart, 38–39, 44, 111, 114, 117, 119 boxplot, 42–46 histogram, 39-40, 44 scatterplot, 40-42, 44-45 Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment procedure in one-between-one within subjects ANOVA, 147–148, 150–151 in one-way within subjects ANOVA, 130 - 132

Η

 H_0 . See null hypothesis H_1 . See alternative hypothesis Histogram, 39–40, 45 Homogeneity of variance. See equal variances, assumption of Huynh-Feldt adjustment procedure in one-between–one-within subjects ANOVA, 148, 150 in one-within subjects ANOVA, 130–131 Hypothesis testing, 58–59

Ι

Icon. 2 Independence of observations, assumption of, 68, 79, 88, 100, 118, 136, 154, 163, 174, 187, 200, 212 Independent samples t test. See t test, independent samples Independent variable, 71 Inferential statistics, 58 Interaction effect one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA, 150–151 two-way between subjects ANOVA, 115-117 Intercept in multiple regression, 185–186 in simple regression, 172-173

Internal consistency reliability. See coefficient alpha

L

Labels. See value labels Levels, of a factor, 91 Levene's test of equality of variances independent samples t test, 76–77 one-way between subjects ANOVA, 96-98 two-way between subjects ANOVA, 114-115 Linear regression. See simple regression LMATRIX command, in two-way between subjects ANOVA, 117 Lower-bound adjustment procedure in one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA, 147-148, 150 in one-way within subjects ANOVA, 130 - 131

Μ

Main effect, 105, 117, 139 Marginal means, 116, 152 Matched subjects t test. See t test, dependent samples Mauchley's test of sphericity in one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA, 147, 150-151 in one-way within subjects ANOVA, 129 - 132Mean. See measures of central tendency Means procedure, 26–30, 32–33 Measures of central tendency, 22 Median. See measures of central tendency Menu bar. 3 Mode. See measures of central tendency Multiple comparisons, 98–99 Multiple correlation coefficient in multiple regression, 185 in simple regression, 171–172 Multiple linear regression assumptions, 187 commands for analyzing, 180–183 effect size, 186 entering the data, 180 example, 177 exercises, 188–190

expression of the results in APA format, 186–187 null and alternative hypotheses, 177–178 objective and data requirements of, 177 output of, 183–186 research questions, 179 summary of steps for conducting, 187–188 Multivariate test in one-between–one-within subjects ANOVA, 146–147, 150 in one-way within subjects ANOVA, 129–130

Ν

Negative item, 50, 216–220 Normality, assumption of, 68, 79, 87–88, 100, 119, 136, 155, 163, 174, 187 Null hypothesis, 58–59

0

Observed frequencies chi-square goodness of fit test, 192-193, 198-199 chi-square test of independence, 211 One-between-one-within subjects ANOVA. See Analysis of variance (ANOVA), one-between-one-within subjects One-sample *t* test. See *t* test, one-sample One-tailed test, 59 One-way between subjects ANOVA. See Analysis of variance (ANOVA), one-way between subjects One-way within subjects ANOVA. See Analysis of variance (ANOVA), one-way within subjects Outliers in boxplots, 42, 45, 46 correlation, 163 Output chi-square goodness of fit test, 198-199 chi-square test of independence, 210-212 coefficient alpha, 54-55

dependent samples t test, 86–87 independent samples t test, 75–78 multiple regression, 183–187 one-sample t test, 66–67 one-between-one-within subjects ANOVA. 146–154 one-way between subjects ANOVA, 95-99 one-way within subjects ANOVA, 128-135 Pearson r correlation coefficient, 161-163 simple regression, 170–173 two-way between subjects ANOVA, 112 - 118Output window, 15–16

P

Paired samples t test. See t test, dependent samples Pairwise comparisons, 97-99, 132-134 Partial eta-square in one-way within subjects ANOVA, 134-135 in one-between-one-within ANOVA, 153-154 in two-way between subjects ANOVA, 117-118 Pearson chi-square test statistic chi-square goodness of fit test, 198-199 chi-square test of independence, 210-212 Pearson r correlation coefficient assumptions, 163 commands for analyzing, 160-161 effect size, 162-163 entering the data, 159-160 example, 158 exercises, 164–165 expression of the results in APA format, 163 null and alternative hypotheses, 158-159 objective and data requirements of, 158 output of, 161-163 research question, 159 summary of steps for conducting, 163-164 Phi coefficient, 212

Population, 58 Post hoc tests. See follow-up tests Power. 59 Predicted value in multiple regression, 185-186 in simple regression, 172–173 Predictor in multiple regression, 177 in simple regression, 166 Print dialog box, 20 Printing the data file, 20 the output file, 18–20 Profile plots, 113, 115, 149, 151 Programs menu, 2 *p*-value, 60–61

R

R in multiple regression, 184–185 in simple regression, 171–172 R^2 in multiple regression, 184–187 in simple regression, 170–174 Recode procedure, 50, 216–220 Regression multiple. See multiple regression simple. See simple regression **Regression equation** in multiple regression, 185–186 in simple regression, 172–173 Related samples t test. See t test, dependent samples Reliability. See coefficient alpha Repeated measures t test. See t test, dependent samples Results. See output

S

Sample, 58 Sampling error, 59–60 Saving files data, 18 output, 16–18 Scatterplot, 40–42, 44–45 Select cases procedure, 223–227 Simple effects, 117, 151–153 Simple regression assumptions, 174

commands for analyzing, 168–170 effect size, 173 entering the data, 167–168 example, 166 exercises, 175-176 expression of the results in APA format, 174 null and alternative hypotheses, 166 - 167objective and data requirements of, 166 output of, 170-173 research question, 167 summary of steps for conducting, 174-175 Sphericity, assumption of, 129–132, 136, 148, 150-151 Split file procedure, 227–230 Split-half reliability, 49 Standard error of the estimate in multiple regression, 184–185 in simple regression, 171–172 Starting SPSS, 2-3

Т

t test, dependent samples, assumptions, 87-88 commands for analyzing, 85–86 effect size, 87 entering the data, 83-84 example, 82 exercises, 88-89 expression of the results in APA format, 87 null and alternative hypotheses, 82–83 objective and data requirements of, 82 output of, 86–87 research question, 83 summary of steps for conducting, 88 t test, independent samples, assumptions, 79 commands for analyzing, 74–75 effect size, 78 entering the data, 72–73 example, 71 exercises, 80-81 expression of the results in APA format, 78 null and alternative hypotheses, 71–72 objective and data requirements of, 71

output of, 75-78 research question, 72 summary of steps for conducting, 79 t test, one-sample assumptions, 68 commands for analyzing, 65-66 effect size, 67 entering the data, 64 example, 62 exercises, 69-70 expression of the results in APA format, 67 null and alternative hypotheses, 62-63 objective and data requirements of, 62 output of, 66-67 research question, 63 summary of steps for conducting, 68 Test-retest reliability, 49 Toolbar buttons, 3 Transform menu, 217, 221 Tukey's test interpreting the results of, 98-99 selecting, 94-95 Two-tailed test, 59 Two-way between subjects ANOVA. See Analysis of variance (ANOVA), two-way between subjects Type I error controlling for, 133-134 defined, 59 Type II error, 59

U

Univariate procedure. See General linear model procedure

۷

Value labels, 9–11 Variability, measures of, 22 Variable categorical, 7 continuous, 7 creating, 8–9 defined, 6 dichotomous, 7 Variable view window, 5–6 Viewer window. *See* output window

W

Weight cases method chi-square goodness of fit test, 193, 195–196 chi-square test of independence, 205–207 Welch's test, 101 Within subjects factor, 123